			STATE SUMMARY			
1.	1. 2. 4.				5.	
Program name	SFY	Appropriation		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2015-16	\$382,106,527 (Amount equals \$964,000,000 allocation, plus \$636,867 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$15,986,965  transferred in from SEV 14.15 EEES, less \$413,212,061 budgeted towards Child Walfare Other than Title XX, \$180,969,543			Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"	
					\$375,606,109	
		vices: 11 project(s) to serve 578 families	11 project(s) served 1,199 families			
		ject(s) to serve 125 families	1 project(s) served 421 families			
		n: 11 project(s) to serve 2,568 families		11 project(s) served 2,477 families		
		Reunification Services: 29 project(s) to serve 1,160 families	29 project(s) served 3,245 families			
		nily Formation: 3 project(s) to serve 536 families	3 project(s) served 403 families		_	
		et Development: 3 project(s) to serve 906 families	3 project(s) served 546 families		_	
		project(s) to serve 1,162 families k Pregnancies: 1 project(s) to serve 0 families	14 project(s) served 4,457 families 1 project(s) served 0 families		_	
		uth: 24 project(s) to serve 8,373 families	24 project(s) served 19,467 families	_		
		ject(s) to serve 7,791 families	29 project(s) served 13,715 families			
		nt Costs: 18 project(s) to serve 1,023,720 families	18 project(s) served 2,702,779 families			
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	nol Requirements	14,526 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
1,7		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1,989 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]			
			763 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from			
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	7,163 individuals indicated danger			
compij with Done	2310 11010	roonee opnon (x + o)	4,541 individuals granted a waiver from program requir	rements		
50.% Combined "A	\II Eamilia	c" Participation Pata	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 15.	3.708		
0 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ		<del></del>	
			36,601 Unsubsidized Employment	ny [c]	$\dashv$	
			1,031 Subsidized Employment			
			4,822 Work Experience			
			103 Community Service		<del> </del>	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	8 On the Job Training	
	1,263 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	2,991 Job Search	
	2,581 Vocational Education	
	2,985 Job Skills Training	
	1,128 Education Related to Employment	
	59 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	21 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 28,115	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 30.9%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 45,512	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 50%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2015 to December 2015, NYC data April 2015 to December 2015)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- \* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 03/31/2016

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX ROS SUMMARY					
1. Program name	2. SFY	SFY Appropriation Level Contract/Award Cycle				
FFFS	\$125,071,930 (Amount equals \$413,722,053 allocation, plus \$636,867 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$12,626,884 transferred in from SFY 14-15 FFFS, less \$234,896,943 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$62,681,230 transferred to Title XX, \$3,800,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$386,330 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$149,371 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			Allocation		
		6.	7.		8.	
		Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/16"	Spending As Of: "6/30/16"	
					\$118,602,014	
		vices: 11 project(s) to serve 578 families	11 project(s) served 1,199 families			
		ect(s) to serve 125 families	1 project(s) served 421 families			
		: 11 project(s) to serve 2,568 families	11 project(s) served 2,477 families			
		Reunification Services: 29 project(s) to serve 1,160 families	29 project(s) served 3,245 families			
		ily Formation: 3 project(s) to serve 536 families	3 project(s) served 403 families			
		t Development: 3 project(s) to serve 906 families	3 project(s) served 546 families			
		project(s) to serve 1,162 families	14 project(s) served 4,457 families			
		R Pregnancies: 1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 0 families		<u> </u>	
		th: 23 project(s) to serve 4,868 families	23 project(s) served 9,400 families		<u> </u>	
	upportive Services: 28 project(s) to serve 6,745 families		28 project(s) served 10,998 families		_	
TANF Services No	on-Recurrer	at Costs: 16 project(s) to serve 13,299 families	16 project(s) served 13,248 families			
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	9,700 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			1,465 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from	employment activities [a]		
			341 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from	n employment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	nastia Viala	and Family Violance Ontion (EVO)	3,070 individuals indicated danger			
Compry with Dom	lestic viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	1,805 individuals granted a waiver from program requir	rements	<del> </del>	
			1,000 marradas grance a warver nom program requi	onen:		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 24	,497		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]		
			9,800 Unsubsidized Employment			
			140 Subsidized Employment			
			2,867 Work Experience			
			103 Community Service			
			8 On the Job Training			
			1,263 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			664 Job Search			
			425 Vocational Education		_	
			333 Job Skills Training			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	416 Education Related to Employment	
	59 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	21 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 7,319	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 22.9%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 13,942	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 43.6%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- \* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 03/31/2016

## PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX ALBANY

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.
Program name	SFY	Appropriation Level	Contract/Award Cycle	<b>Procurement Methodology</b>
FFFS	2015-16	\$4,490,419 (Amount equals \$13,786,052 allocation, plus \$42,918 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$1,814,853 transferred in from SFY 14-15 FFFS, less \$8,846,198 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$2,157,835 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$149,371 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/14-3/31/18 *	Allocation

6.	7.	8.
Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
		\$4,128,803
Supportive Services: 1 project(s) to serve 360 families	1 project(s) served 1,363 families	
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	248 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
	16 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
	20 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	77 individuals indicated danger	<del> </del>
compry with Bonnestic violence running violence option (1 vo)	7 individuals indicated danger 7 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
	/ individuals granted a warver from program requirements	
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 832	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
	295 Unsubsidized Employment	
	0 Subsidized Employment	
	7 Work Experience	
	0 Community Service	
	0 On the Job Training	
	35 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	41 Job Search	
	12 Vocational Education	
	9 Job Skills Training	
	17 Education Related to Employment	
	1 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 162	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 17.8%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 370	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 40.7%	

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.

6.	7.	8.				
Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	Spending As Of: "6/30/16"				
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c	b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not					
include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upsta	ate data - April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)					
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.					
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the	ne federal participation rate.				
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	<u> </u>					
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours.					
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.					
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reavailable April 2015 to March 2016.	eporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC	is based on monthly average data				
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to St	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children r	receiving assistance supported by				
ederal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.						
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplica	ted across the months during the year or among projects.					
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.						
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 - 03/31/201	6					

		PERFORMANCE A	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
			ALLEGANY			
1. Program name	2. SFY				5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$903,470 (Amount equals \$3,256,955 allocation, plus \$15 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 14-15 FFFS, less \$1,717,899 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$611,001 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$24,600 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			10/1/14-3/31/18 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/16''	
					\$885,747	
		vices: 1 project(s) to serve 27 families  Reunification Services: 1 project(s) to serve 4 families	1 project(s) served 279 families 1 project(s) served 3 families			
anniy Support/1	reservation/	Rediffication Services. 1 project(s) to serve 4 families	1 project(s) served 3 families			
omply with Drug	g and Alcol	ol Requirements	255 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
		•	2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]			
			1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]		
Comply with Don	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	1 individuals indicated danger			
			0 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts		
			Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 17	0		
0 % Combined "	'All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ			
			41 Unsubsidized Employment	vity [c]		
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			11 Work Experience			
			11 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			1 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			4 Job Search			
			2 Vocational Education			
			41 Job Skills Training			
			0 Education Related to Employment			
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent			
			0 Other			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 25	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 19.7%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 73	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 57.8%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- \* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 03/31/2016

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX BROOME					
1. Program name						
FFFS	\$3,392,098 (Amount equals \$8,363,149 allocation, plus \$32,520 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY		10/1/14-3/31/18 *	Procurement Methodology  Allocation		
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16'	
					\$3,357,094	
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements 110	6 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
1 3		•	individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from emp	ployment activities [a]		
		1 i	ndividuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	nestic Violer	ree I amin't violence option (1 + o)	122 individuals indicated danger			
		85	individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	ents		
		AT .	1 (F 1 4 4 C 14 15170)			
50 % Combined "A	All Families		mber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 793			
		Nu	mber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Active 255 Unsubsidized Employment	ity [c]	_	
			0 Subsidized Employment		_	
			71 Work Experience		<del>- </del>	
			5 Community Service		<del>- </del>	
			1 On the Job Training			
			40 Job Readiness Training Activities		<del>- </del>	
			26 Job Search		_	
			7 Vocational Education			
			0 Job Skills Training			
			20 Education Related to Employment			
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent			
			1 Other			
		Nu	mber of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 161			

Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 16.2%

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 367	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 36.9%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- \* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 03/31/2016

		PERFORMANO	CE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			CATTARAUGUS		
1.	2. 3. 4.				5.
Program name	SFY	Appropriation		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$1,891,407 (Amount equals \$4,294,921 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$156,486 transferred in from SFY 14-15 FFFS, less \$1,490,000 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,070,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			10/1/14-3/31/18 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
					\$1,495,902
		Reunification Services: 3 project(s) to serve 260 families	3 project(s) served 232 families		
		illy Formation: 1 project(s) to serve 60 families	1 project(s) served 120 families		
		et Development: 1 project(s) to serve 600 families	1 project(s) served 190 families		<u> </u>
		project(s) to serve 122 families	2 project(s) served 196 families 2 project(s) served 276 families		_
			1 project(s) served 276 ramines 1 project(s) served 39 families		<del>-</del>
TAINT SCIVICES INC	JII-RCCUITCI	in costs. 1 project(s) to serve 40 families	1 project(s) served 37 families		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	34 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			16 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]	
			10 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dome	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	14 individuals indicated danger		
			14 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 177		_
		•	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ity [c]	
			34 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			7 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			3 On the Job Training		
			7 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			3 Vocational Education		
			2 Job Skills Training		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	0 Education Related to Employment	
	1 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 33	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 26.8%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 51	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 42%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)

- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

\* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 - 03/31/2016

		PERFORMANCE	AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
			CAYUGA			
1.				4.	5.	
Program name	SFY			Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$1,701,249 (Amount equals \$3,884,497 allocation, plus \$2,129 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$337,563 transferred in from SFY 14-15 FFFS, less \$2,197,940 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$325,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/14-3/31/18 *	Allocation		
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"	
					\$1,035,421	
Administrative Co	osts: 1 pro	ject(s) to serve 125 families	1 project(s) served 421 families			
		n: 1 project(s) to serve 30 families	1 project(s) served 144 families			
		Reunification Services: 1 project(s) to serve 90 families	1 project(s) served 140 families	1 project(s) served 140 families		
		project(s) to serve 45 families	1 project(s) served 251 families			
		uth: 1 project(s) to serve 120 families	1 project(s) served 132 families			
	Supportive Services: 1 project(s) to serve 50 families		1 project(s) served 153 families			
TANF Services No	on-Recurre	nt Costs: 1 project(s) to serve 100 families	1 project(s) served 54 families			
Comply with Drug	g and Alcol	nol Requirements	63 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			33 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em	ployment activities [a]		
			6 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	34 individuals indicated danger			
			36 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents		
50 % Combined "	All Esmilia	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 13	1		
30 % Combined 7	All Pallille	s 1 articipation Rate	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ			
			54 Unsubsidized Employment	nty [c]		
			0 Subsidized Employment		<del> </del>	
			12 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			0 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			0 Job Search		7	
					<u></u>	

1 Vocational Education

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	0 Job Skills Training	
	4 Education Related to Employment	
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 28	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 10.9%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 62	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 24.1%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- \* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 03/31/2016

			AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
1.	1 2		CHAUTAUQUA	4.	5.
Program name	2. 3. SFY Appropriation Level Contra			Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$1,911,481 (Amount equals \$5,402,577 allocation, plus \$23,376 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$51,840 transferred in from SEV 14, 15 FEES, less \$3,206,312 budgeted towards Child Welfgre Other than Title XX, \$360,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in		10/1/14-3/31/18 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
					\$1,911,481
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	ol Requirements	78 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			21 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em		
			9 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dome	estic Violen	ice Family Violence Option (FVO)	54 individuals indicated danger		
			13 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 94	5	
		•	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]	
			248 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			87 Work Experience		
			3 Community Service		
			1 On the Job Training		
			31 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			54 Job Search		
			6 Vocational Education		
			2 Job Skills Training		
			2 Education Related to Employment		
			3 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 165		

Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 19.6%

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 379	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 45.1%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- \* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 03/31/2016

			AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		_	CHEMUNG		
1.	2. SFY	3. Appropriation I	Lovel	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
Program name	SFI			Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2015-16	14-15 FFFS, less \$2,895,919 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than	,226,127 (Amount equals \$4,617,181 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$63,697 transferred in from SFY -15 FFFS, less \$2,895,919 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$558,832 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional illd Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		Allocation
		6.	7.		8.
		Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/16"	Spending As Of: ''6/30/16'
					\$1,221,323
		Development: 1 project(s) to serve 250 families	1 project(s) served 300 families		
		roject(s) to serve 115 families	1 project(s) served 21 families		
Supportive Service	es: 1 proje	ct(s) to serve 264 families	1 project(s) served 288 families		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	132 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			6 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]	
			1 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	
7lid- D		Envilo Visland Ontion (EVO)	17 individuals indicated danger		_
Comply with Dom	lestic violei	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	16 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents	
			To marriage granted a warrer nom program requirem		
0 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 55		_
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	rity [c]	
			28 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			8 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			13 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			15 Job Search		
-			1 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			3 Education Related to Employment		

0 Secondary School or Equivalent

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	13 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 17	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 13.5%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 42	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 33%	

- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- \* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 03/31/2016

		PERFORMA	NCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			CHENANGO		
1. Program name	2. SFY		3. priation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$727,496 (Amount equals \$2,358,885 allocation, plus \$8,703 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$119,057 transferred in from SFY 14-15 FFFS, less \$1,544,291 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$214,858 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/14-3/31/18 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
					\$652,443
		n: 1 project(s) to serve 24 families	1 project(s) served 15 families		
Home Visiting Pro	ogram: 1 p	project(s) to serve 80 families	1 project(s) served 409 families		_
Comply with Drug	g and Alcol	nol Requirements	64 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
<u>-</u>	5	<u>-</u>	4 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]	
			1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Don	nestic Viole	ence Family Violence Option (FVO)	16 individuals indicated danger		$\dashv$
			16 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents	
50 % Combined ".	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 17	7	-
		•	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]	
			21 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			11 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			7 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			2 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		_
			1 Education Related to Employment		
			5 Secondary School or Equivalent		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 11	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 12.3%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 38	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 42.1%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

\* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 - 03/31/2016

		PERFORMANCE AND	ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	CLINTON					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	Appropriation Level Contract/Award Cycle			
FFFS	\$1,117,383 (Amount equals \$3,386,094 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$147,828 transferred in from SFY 14-15 FFFS, less \$1,737,023 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$679,516 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).				Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	nes As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"	
					\$1,063,275	
			project(s) served 136 families project(s) served 29 families			
TANT Services No	on-Recurrer	it Costs. 1 project(s) to serve 20 families	project(s) served 29 families			
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements 1	118 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
1.7			individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	oyment activities [a]		
		0	individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	ice running violence option (r vo)	individuals indicated danger			
		9	individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts		
50 % Combined "A	All Families		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 275			
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ 35 Unsubsidized Employment	ity [c]	_	
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			5 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			28 Job Readiness Training Activities		_	
			9 Job Search			
			1 Vocational Education			
			0 Job Skills Training			
			0 Education Related to Employment			
			3 Secondary School or Equivalent			
			0 Other		$\neg$	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 23	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 15.8%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 63	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 43.7%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- \* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 03/31/2016

		PERFORMANCE AND	ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		CO	OLUMBIA		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$1,156,188 (Amount equals \$3,330,333 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 14-15  EFES, less \$1,108,478 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,065,667 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child		10/1/14-3/31/18 *	Allocation	
		6.	7.		8.
		Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : "3/31/16"	Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
					\$1,140,713
			project(s) served 479 families		
			project(s) served 173 families		
			project(s) served 369 families		<del>_</del>
supportive Service	es: 1 proje	ct(s) to serve 25 families	project(s) served 120 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements 83	3 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
zompiy wim zing	5 4114 1 114 011	1·	individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	oyment activities [a]	
		2	individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from er	nployment activities [a]	
Comply with Don	nestic Violei	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	5 individuals indicated danger		
1 3			3 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents	
0 % Combined ".	All Families	s" Participation Rate	umber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 124		
		N	umber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			29 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			1 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			3 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			12 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		
	_		0 Job Skills Training		

1 Education Related to Employment

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 19	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 13.7%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 42	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 30.2%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- \* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 03/31/2016

		PERFORMAN	ICE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			CORTLAND		
1. Program name	2. SFY	Appropria	3. 4. Appropriation Level Contract/Award		5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2015-16	\$303,231 (Amount equals \$2,404,022 allocation, plus \$231 transferr FFFS, less \$1,501,022 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Tare Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence	Fitle XX, \$600,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child	10/1/14-3/31/18 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
					\$303,231
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	83 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		_
			9 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplo 0 individuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from en		_
			o individuals mandated to treatment— nonexempt from en	iployment activities [a]	_
comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)  41 individuals indicated danger			_		
sompry with Boni	iestie viole	neer uning violence option (1 vo)	34 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts	
60 % Combined "A	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 45		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ty [c]	
			46 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			4 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			7 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			3 Job Search		
			1 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		_
			2 Secondary School or Equivalent 0 Other		_
					_
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 26  Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 17	1.50/	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 57	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 37.7%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- \* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 03/31/2016

		I ERFORMANCE	E AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX DELAWARE		
1.	2.	3.	DELAWARE	4.	5,
Program name	Appropriation Level  SFY  Appropriation Level  \$446,028 (Amount equals \$1,584,197 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 14-15  EFES, less \$523,500 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX \$614,669 transferred to Title XX \$0 in additional Child		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodolog	
FFFS			10/1/14-3/31/18 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outco	mes As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
					\$446,028
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	8 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em	ployment activities [a]	
			0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	12 individuals indicated danger		
			11 individuals granted a waiver from program requirer	ments	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 2:	3	_
		1	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Act	ivity [c]	
			12 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			8 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			2 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 10		
			C 1: 1D :: : D : (TANE 0 GNIMOE) [ ]	22 52/	i i

Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 22.5%

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 19	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 42.8%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- \* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 03/31/2016

		PERFORMANC	E AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	DUTCHESS					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. 4. Appropriation Level Contract/Award Cycle			5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2015-16	\$966,548 (Amount equals \$8,695,437 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in FFFS, less \$5,785,899 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and	e XX. \$1.942.990 transferred to Title XX. \$0 in additional Child	10/1/14-3/31/18 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/16''	
					\$966,548	
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	82 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	La managa and Salam Fall		
			5 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl 0 individuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from en			
			o individuals mandated to treatment—nonexempt from en	inprovinent activities [a]		
Comply with Don	omply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		52 individuals indicated danger			
. ,			51 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents		
50 % Combined "	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 234	1		
50 % Comonica	7 Mi T dililile	1 unterpution reac	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ			
			52 Unsubsidized Employment	7.2		
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			7 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			14 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			11 Job Search			
			1 Vocational Education			
			2 Job Skills Training			
			2 Education Related to Employment			
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent		_	
			0 Other			
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 32	2 (0)		
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 1	3.6%		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 78	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 32.6%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- \* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 03/31/2016

		PERFORMANCE A	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			ERIE		
1. Program name	2. SFY		3. 4. Appropriation Level Contract/Award Cycle		
FFFS	2015-16	\$17,397,969 (Amount equals \$47,198,841 allocation, plus \$90,717 transferre from SFY 14-15 FFFS, less \$23,742,937 budgeted towards Child Welfare Ot \$1,800,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residenti Administered Contracts).	her than Title XX, \$7,710,913 transferred to Title XX,	10/1/14-3/31/18 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	mes As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/16''
					\$17,389,362
		ily Formation: 1 project(s) to serve 436 families	1 project(s) served 251 families		
services for Child	iren and Yo	th: 2 project(s) to serve 3,390 families	2 project(s) served 5,975 families		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	415 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
empiy wim zing	<u> </u>	or requirements	195 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from en	mployment activities [a]	
			7 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e	employment activities [a]	
			-		
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	794 individuals indicated danger		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	410 individuals granted a waiver from program require	ments	
0 % Combined ".	All Familie	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 3,0		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activities 1550 No. 1550	vity [c]	
			1,552 Unsubsidized Employment		
			119 Subsidized Employment		
			1,116 Work Experience		
			3 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			30 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			23 Vocational Education		
			152 Job Skills Training		
			50 Education Related to Employment		
			5 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 1,826	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 37.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 2,480	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 51%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- \* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 03/31/2016

		PERFORMA	ANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			ESSEX		
1.	2. 3. 4.			5.	
Program name	SFY			Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	FFFS \$\frac{\text{\$276,500 (Amount equals \$1,663,870 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 14-15}{FFFS, less \$668,370 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$719,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/14-3/31/18 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/16'
					\$276,500
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	18 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]	
			0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)  5 individuals indicated danger					
			7 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 41		_
70 70 Combined 1	in rumme.	7 and opinion reac	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]	
			3 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			0 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			1 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 1  Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 6		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 4	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 30.1%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- \* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 03/31/2016

		PERFORMAN	CE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			FRANKLIN		
1.	2. 3. 4.		= <del></del>	5.	
Program name	SFY	Appropriation Level		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$1,034,088 (Amount equals \$2,606,195 allocation, plus \$1 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 14-15 FFFS, less \$1,147,108 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$425,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/14-3/31/18 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
					\$1,034,088
Additional Child V	Welfare Ser	vices: 1 project(s) to serve 3 families	1 project(s) served 19 families		
		Reunification Services: 3 project(s) to serve 73 families	3 project(s) served 621 families		
		uth: 1 project(s) to serve 20 families	1 project(s) served 88 families		
Supportive Services: 3 project(s) to serve 57 families			3 project(s) served 537 families		
TANF Services No	on-Recurre	nt Costs: 1 project(s) to serve 10 families	1 project(s) served 0 families		_
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	nol Requirements	49 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	oyment activities [a]	
			5 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from en	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger		
			0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts	
50 % Combined "A	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 115	5	
	.,	<b>k</b> 100 mm	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ		
			36 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			3 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			4 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	3 Education Related to Employment	
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 18	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 13.1%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 43	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 31.4%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)

- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

\* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 - 03/31/2016

		PERFORMA	ANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			FULTON		
1. Program name	2. SFY		3. priation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$652,356 (Amount equals \$3,049,321 allocation, plus \$7,397 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 14-15 FFFS, less \$2,087,000 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$317,362 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/14-3/31/18 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
					\$652,356
Supportive Service	es: 1 proje	ct(s) to serve 268 families	1 project(s) served 268 families		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	17 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		_
		•	0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	oyment activities [a]	
			0 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from er	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Don	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	44 individuals indicated danger		
		( , o)	0 individuals granted a waiver from program requiremen	nts	
50 % Combined ".	All Families	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 18		_
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			13 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			6 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training 7 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			3 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		$\dashv$
			0 Job Skills Training		$\dashv$
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
-			0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 12	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 23.9%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 24	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 46.2%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- \* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 03/31/2016

		PERFORMANCE A	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
			GENESEE			
1. Program name	2. 3. 4. name SFY Appropriation Level Contract/Award Cycle				5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$353,400 (Amount equals \$2,143,237 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 14-15 FFFS, less \$1,380,337 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$409,500 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/14-3/31/18 *	Allocation		
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"	
					\$353,400	
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	50 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 3 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	lormant activities [s]		
			2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp			
			2 marviadas manadada to treatment moneyempt nom e	improgramme dedications [u]	<del>- </del>	
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	1 individuals indicated danger			
			2 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts		
50 % Combined ".	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 10:	3	_	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ			
			24 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			4 Work Experience			
			2 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			7 Job Readiness Training Activities 5 Job Search		_	
			2 Vocational Education			
			0 Job Skills Training			
			0 Education Related to Employment		<del>- </del>	
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent			
			0 Other			
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 16			
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 2	0.5%		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 36	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 45.3%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- \* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 03/31/2016

		PERFORMA	ANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			GREENE		
1. Program name	2. SFY		3. priation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$556,350 (Amount equals \$2,507,587 allocation, plus \$6,865 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$667 transferred in from SFY 14-15 FFFS, less \$1,606,506 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$352,263 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/14-3/31/18 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	nes As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
					\$553,664
Supportive Service	es: 2 proje	ect(s) to serve 230 families	2 project(s) served 595 families		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	nol Requirements	93 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		_
sompry with Brug	s una ricon	Trequirements	1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	oyment activities [a]	
			1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from en	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Don	omply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)  144 individuals indicated danger				$\dashv$
			1 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts	
50 % Combined ".	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 65		_
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			45 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment 2 Work Experience		
			3 Community Service		_
			0 On the Job Training		
			5 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			15 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		
			2 Job Skills Training		_
			0 Education Related to Employment		_
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent 0 Other		_
			O Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 35	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 33.9%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 63	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 62.1%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- \* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 03/31/2016

		PERFORMANCE	E AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	HAMILTON					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2015-16	\$58,634 (Amount equals \$195,044 allocation, plus \$667 transferred in fr 15 FFFS, less \$206,748 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$200,000.	e XX, \$20,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child	10/1/14-3/31/18 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"	
					\$49,205	
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	0 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems     0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	ormant activities [s]		
			0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empt 0 individuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from er			
			o marviduais manated to dedition. Honexempt from or	ipioyment activities [u]		
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger			
			0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ats		
50 % Combined "/	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 3		-	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]		
			0 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			0 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			0 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			0 Job Search			
			0 Vocational Education			
			0 Job Skills Training			
			0 Education Related to Employment 0 Secondary School or Equivalent		_	
			0 Other		_	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 0		<del>- </del>	
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 3.	3%	<del>- </del>	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 0	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 10%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- \* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 03/31/2016

		PERFORMANO	CE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	HERKIMER					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2015-16	\$649,366 (Amount equals \$2,443,446 allocation, plus \$1,166 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 14-15 FFFS, less \$1,538,246 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$257,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/14-3/31/18 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"	
					\$649,366	
		n: 1 project(s) to serve 35 families (Reunification Services: 1 project(s) to serve 87 families	1 project(s) served 181 families 1 project(s) served 500 families		_	
anniy Support/Fi	iesei vaiioii/	Reunification Services. 1 project(s) to serve 87 families	1 project(s) served 500 families		$\dashv$	
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	nol Requirements	30 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
1 1 1 1 1	5		3 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	oyment activities [a]		
			0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]		
Somply with Don	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	11 individuals indicated danger			
compry with Bon	iestie viole	ince I minity Violence Option (I VO)	10 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents		
			N. J. CF. J. A. A. G. J. HILLE			
0 % Combined ".	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 15			
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ 26 Unsubsidized Employment	ity [c]	_	
			0 Subsidized Employment		_	
			10 Work Experience		$\dashv$	
			0 Community Service		$\dashv$	
			0 On the Job Training			
			9 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			0 Job Search			
			1 Vocational Education			
			0 Job Skills Training			
			5 Education Related to Employment			
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent			
			0 Other			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 14	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 12%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 43	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 35.7%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- \* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 03/31/2016

		I ERI ORMANCI	E AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX JEFFERSON		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation	Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$1,283,379 (Amount equals \$5,230,762 allocation, plus \$1 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 14-15 FFFS, less \$2,112,762 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,834,622 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/14-3/31/18 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/16''
					\$1,283,379
		vices: 1 project(s) to serve 45 families	1 project(s) served 108 families		
Assessment/Servic	e Provision	: 1 project(s) to serve 30 families	1 project(s) served 28 families		<del> </del>
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	239 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
30prju. 21.ug	, una i moon	2. Ted for entering	16 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]	
			7 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from en	aployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	15 individuals indicated danger		
			8 individuals granted a waiver from program requiremen	ts	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 243		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ty [c]	
			51 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			28 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		_
			0 On the Job Training		_
			39 Job Readiness Training Activities		_
			0 Job Search		_
			1 Vocational Education 0 Job Skills Training		<del>- </del>
			0 Education Related to Employment		<del>- </del>
			3 Secondary School or Equivalent		$\dashv$

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 31	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 12.3%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 112	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 45.3%	

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.

- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- \* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 03/31/2016

		PERFORMANC	CE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
	LEWIS				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriatio		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2015-16	\$407,992 (Amount equals \$1,337,997 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in 15 FFFS, less \$685,215 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Ti Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and	tle XX, \$251,200 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child	10/1/14-3/31/18 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
		D (C ) (A ) (A ) (A )	2 1 (4) 100(5 11)		\$407,992
		Reunification Services: 3 project(s) to serve 43 families nt Costs: 1 project(s) to serve 7 families	3 project(s) served 296 families 1 project(s) served 7 families		_
ANI Services No	on-Recurren	in Costs. 1 project(s) to serve / families	1 project(s) served 7 families		-
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	nol Requirements	24 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
17			1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	oyment activities [a]	
			2 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from en	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	8 individuals indicated danger		$\dashv$
			4 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts	
0 % Combined "	All Families	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 32		-
70 70 Comonica 1	a an a diffine	5 1 articipation reac	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	-
			5 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			3 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			4 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			2 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 2	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 11.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 9	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 47%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- \* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 03/31/2016

		PERFORMANCE	AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	LIVINGSTON					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation I		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$1,182,364 (Amount equals \$2,815,057 allocation, plus \$11,364 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY			10/1/14-3/31/18 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"	
					\$1,169,190	
		: 1 project(s) to serve 20 families	1 project(s) served 21 families			
		roject(s) to serve 56 families ct(s) to serve 276 families	1 project(s) served 25 families 2 project(s) served 278 families			
Supportive Service	cs. 2 proje	et(3) to serve 270 families	2 project(s) served 270 rammes			
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	117 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	117 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
17 2		1	7 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	oyment activities [a]		
			6 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from en	mployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	18 individuals indicated danger			
			3 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0			
		-	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]		
			52 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			8 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			11 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			4 Job Search			
			2 Vocational Education			
			0 Job Skills Training			
			5 Education Related to Employment			
			7 Secondary School or Equivalent			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 24	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 9.9%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 82	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 34.3%	

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

\* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 - 03/31/2016

		PERFORMANCE A	AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			MADISON		
1.	2.	3.		4.	5.
Program name	SFY	Appropriation Lev		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2015-16	\$658,893 (Amount equals \$2,216,590 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from 15 FFFS, less \$1,332,395 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title X Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence an	XX, \$257,633 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional	10/1/14-3/31/18 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcor	nes As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/16'
			·		\$657,786
ANF Services No	on-Recurrer	nt Costs: 1 project(s) to serve 190 families	1 project(s) served 186 families		. ,
			- particles and the same of th		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	57 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
1 7	5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	13 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em	ployment activities [a]	
			0 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	2 individuals indicated danger		
			2 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 12	8	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]	
			23 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			3 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			1 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			4 Job Search		
			1 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		

0 Other

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 17	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 16.8%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 26	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 26.5%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- \* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 03/31/2016

		PERFORMAN	NCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			MONROE		
1.	2.		3.	4.	5.
Program name	m name         SFY         Appropriation Level         C           \$8,166,590 (Amount equals \$28,961,477 allocation, plus \$97,649 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$1 transferred in from SFY		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2015-16	\$8,166,590 (Amount equals \$28,961,477 allocation, plus \$97,649 to 14-15 FFFS, less \$18,778,568 budgeted towards Child Welfare Oth additional Child Care Transfer, \$115,288 budgeted for Non-Resider Contracts).	er than Title XX, \$1,998,681 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in	10/1/14-3/31/18 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
					\$8,166,590
Assessment/Service	e Provision	: 1 project(s) to serve 400 families	1 project(s) served 397 families		
Services for Childi	ren and You	th: 1 project(s) to serve 180 families	1 project(s) served 104 families		
Supportive Service	es: 1 proje	ct(s) to serve 1,230 families	1 project(s) served 1,609 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	3,575 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
	,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	579 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em	ployment activities [a]	
			43 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	270 individuals indicated danger		
ry			73 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	ents	
50 % Combined "	All Esmilia	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1,90	)8	
% Combined A	All Fallilles	s ranicipation Rate	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity		
			1,537 Unsubsidized Employment		
			2 Subsidized Employment		
			548 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			1 On the Job Training		
			321 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			114 Vocational Education		
			16 Job Skills Training		
			96 Education Related to Employment		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	3 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 1,002	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 18.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 2,370	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 44%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- \* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 03/31/2016

			AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			MONTGOMERY		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Le		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$526,811 (Amount equals \$1,835,140 allocation, plus \$6,396 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 14-			10/1/14-3/31/18 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	nes As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/16'
					\$526,811
Assessment/Service	e Provision	1 project(s) to serve 1,920 families	1 project(s) served 1,549 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	al Paquiramento	18 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
Joinpry with Drug	and Alcond	n Requirements	6 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]	
			4 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	9 individuals indicated danger		
comply with Dom	estic violei	tolence option (1 vo)	10 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 18		_
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ 68 Unsubsidized Employment	/ity [c]	
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			6 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			6 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			20 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		_
			0 Job Skills Training		_
			1 Education Related to Employment		

0 Secondary School or Equivalent

0 Other

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 36	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 19.3%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 94	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 50.9%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- \* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 03/31/2016

		PERFORMANCE A	AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			NASSAU		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Le		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$6,686,729 (Amount equals \$23,532,682 allocation, plus \$70,412 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 14-15 FFFS, less \$12,316,365 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$3,900,000 transferred to Title XX, \$700,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			10/1/14-3/31/18 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
C		-1 D	152 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		\$6,686,729
Comply with Drug	g and Aicon	of Requirements	22 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em	ployment activities [a]	
			21 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	117 individuals indicated danger		
			54 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1,1	09	
		1	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]	
			657 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			148 Work Experience		
			25 Community Service		
			1 On the Job Training		
			14 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			87 Job Search		
			43 Vocational Education		_
			8 Job Skills Training		_
			9 Education Related to Employment		_
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent 0 Other		_
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 535		$\dashv$
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 2		_

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 853	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 44.6%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- \* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 03/31/2016

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	E AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX NIAGARA		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$2,469,912 (Amount equals \$8,778,589 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$13,555 transferred in from SFY		10/1/14-3/31/18 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
''1 C		Description Committee of the committee o	1		\$2,469,912
amily Support/Pre	eservation/	Reunification Services: 1 project(s) to serve 140 families	1 project(s) served 164 families		
omply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	202 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		•	91 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	oloyment activities [a]	
			26 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from 6	employment activities [a]	
			155 individuals indicated danger		
Comply with Dome	estic Violei	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	49 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents	
			To marviduas granted a warver from program requirement	onto .	
0 % Combined "A	All Families	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 590	)	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			259 Unsubsidized Employment		
			1 Subsidized Employment		
			36 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			54 Job Readiness Training Activities		_
			0 Job Search		
			18 Vocational Education 0 Job Skills Training		
			17 Education Related to Employment		$\dashv$
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 142		

Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 15.4% Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 363

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 39.3%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- \* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 03/31/2016

		PERFORMANCE AN	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			ONEIDA		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$2,620,211 (Amount equals \$12,185,964 allocation, plus \$11,580 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$213,155 transferred in from SFY 14-15 FFFS, less \$7,344,394 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$2,446,094 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in		Allocation		
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	nes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/16''
					\$2,620,211
Assessment/Service	e Provision	1: 1 project(s) to serve 25 families	1 project(s) served 32 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	234 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
eompry with Brug	, una i neon	or requirements	38 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emptors	ployment activities [a]	
			22 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	26 individuals indicated danger		
compry with Don	iestre viole	nee I anniy Violence Option (I VO)	13 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 997		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			497 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			99 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			34 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			3 Job Search		
			5 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			63 Education Related to Employment		
			3 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 334		
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 2	2.3%	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 615		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 41.1%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- \* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 03/31/2016

		PERFORMANCE AND	ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	ONONDAGA					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2015-16	\$8,729,469 (Amount equals \$21,640,331 allocation, plus \$18,515 transferred in fro 14-15 FFFS, less \$9,886,968 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violenc Contracts).	, \$1,942,409 transferred to Title XX, \$1,100,000 in	10/1/14-3/31/18 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	nes As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"	
					\$8,729,469	
		* V · · ·	l project(s) served 58 families l project(s) served 134 families			
Supportive Service	cs. 1 proje	et(s) to serve 107 families	project(s) served 134 families			
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	566 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			3 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	oyment activities [a]		
		2	25 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from	employment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	ice running violence option (1 vo)	118 individuals indicated danger			
		1	08 individuals granted a waiver from program requirer	nents		
50 % Combined "A	All Familie		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1,8			
		<u> </u>	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ 624 Unsubsidized Employment	ity [c]		
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			50 Work Experience			
			1 Community Service 1 On the Job Training			
			0 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			11 Job Search			
			19 Vocational Education 47 Job Skills Training			
			31 Education Related to Employment			
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent			
			0 Other			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 280	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 12.2%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 720	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 31.5%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- \* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 03/31/2016

•		PERFORMANCE AN	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			ONTARIO		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2015-16	\$1,888,941 (Amount equals \$3,286,819 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from \$14-15 FFFS, less \$1,220,888 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title 2 Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$100,000 for the control of th	XX, \$590,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional	10/1/14-3/31/18 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	nes As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/16''
					\$1,776,247
		ath: 1 project(s) to serve 36 families	1 project(s) served 140 families		_
Supportive Service	es: I proje	ct(s) to serve 10 families	1 project(s) served 59 families		<del> </del>
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	89 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
ompry with Drug	g unu / neor	or requirements	4 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]	_
			1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e		
			1		
Comply with Don	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	18 individuals indicated danger		
1 7			14 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents	
50 % Combined ".	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 160	5	
		•	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]	
			58 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			4 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			3 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			6 Job Search		
			3 Vocational Education		
			4 Job Skills Training		
			15 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 32	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 16.5%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 80	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 42.1%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- \* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 03/31/2016

		PERFORMANCE AN	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			ORANGE		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2015-16	\$5,506,949 (Amount equals \$12,837,295 allocation, plus \$37,049 transferred in from SFY 14-15 FFFS, less \$6,508,300 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Viol Contracts).	than Title XX, \$2,077,512 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in	10/1/14-3/31/18 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
					\$5,353,379
		roject(s) to serve 261 families	1 project(s) served 953 families		
		uth: 2 project(s) to serve 93 families	2 project(s) served 789 families		
		ct(s) to serve 1,469 families	3 project(s) served 1,811 families		<del>_</del>
I ANF Services No	on-Recurre	nt Costs: 1 project(s) to serve 3,985 families	1 project(s) served 4,017 families		_
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	245 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			33 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	ployment activities [a]	
			15 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	74 individuals indicated danger		
			67 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	ents	
50 % Combined "/	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 659		-
o , o comom <b>cu</b> :			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ		
			369 Unsubsidized Employment	<u> </u>	
			1 Subsidized Employment		7
			40 Work Experience		
			3 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			58 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			1 Job Search		
			9 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			14 Education Related to Employment		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 224	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 25.9%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 428	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 49.6%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- \* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 03/31/2016

		PERFORMANCE AN	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		TERFORMANCE AT	ORLEANS		
1. Program name FFFS	2. SFY	3. 4. Contract/Award		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology  Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	nes As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
			•		\$352,617
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	32 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 13 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em 4 individuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from em		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger 0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts	
50 % Combined "A	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 23 Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ 43 Unsubsidized Employment 0 Subsidized Employment		
			19 Work Experience 1 Community Service 0 On the Job Training 3 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search 1 Vocational Education 0 Job Skills Training 2 Education Related to Employment		
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent 0 Other Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 32 Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 2	0.3%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 58	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 37%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- \* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 03/31/2016

			OSWEGO		
1.	2.	3.		4.	5.
Program name	SFY	Appropriation		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$4,961,646 (Amount equals \$6,448,985 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$2,499,484 transferred in from SFY 14-15 FFFS, less \$3,022,705 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$919,500 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in		10/1/14-3/31/18 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outco	mes As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
					\$1,950,530
Additional Child V	Welfare Ser	vices: 2 project(s) to serve 199 families	2 project(s) served 360 families		7 - 1,2 = 0,1 = 0
		Reunification Services: 1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 0 families		
<del></del>		project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 0 families		
		uth: 2 project(s) to serve 229 families	2 project(s) served 109 families		
Supportive Services: 1 project(s) to serve 70 families		ect(s) to serve 70 families	1 project(s) served 108 families		
ΓANF Services No	on-Recurre	nt Costs: 1 project(s) to serve 68 families	1 project(s) served 224 families		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	nol Requirements	203 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		-	17 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from er	nployment activities [a]	
			26 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from	n employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	52 individuals indicated danger		
			42 individuals granted a waiver from program requirer	ments	
50 % Combined "A	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 3:	29	
		·	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Act	ivity [c]	
			115 Unsubsidized Employment		_
			5 Subsidized Employment  14 Work Experience		_
			1 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			32 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			1 Job Search		
				-	•

3 Vocational Education

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	0 Job Skills Training	
	2 Education Related to Employment	
	1 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 57	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 10.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 160	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 29%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- \* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 03/31/2016

		PERFURMANU	CE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX	<u> </u>	
			OTSEGO		
1.	2.	3.		4.	5.
Program name	SFY	Appropriation		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$578,009 (Amount equals \$2,411,179 allocation, plus \$11,556 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$89,705 transferred in from SEY 14-15 FFFS, less \$1,663,386 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$271,045 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in			10/1/14-3/31/18 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outco	mes As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
					\$512,222
Assessment/Servic	e Provision	: 1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 0 families		
		Reunification Services: 4 project(s) to serve 47 families	4 project(s) served 83 families		
		roject(s) to serve 90 families	1 project(s) served 741 families		
		x Pregnancies: 1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 0 families		
		th: 1 project(s) to serve 8 families	1 project(s) served 13 families		
		ct(s) to serve 171 families	5 project(s) served 505 families		
TANF Services No	on-Recurrer	nt Costs: 1 project(s) to serve 12 families	1 project(s) served 7 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	36 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	-	
			5 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em	ployment activities [a]	
			1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from	employment activities [a]	
			3 individuals indicated danger		_
Comply with Dom	estic Violei	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)			_
_	_		3 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 30	0	
		•	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Act	ivity [c]	
			7 Unsubsidized Employment	¥ 5 -	
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			0 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		$\neg$
			6 Job Readiness Training Activities		$\dashv$

2 Job Search

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	0 Vocational Education	
	0 Job Skills Training	
	0 Education Related to Employment	
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 5	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 20.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 12	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 51.1%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

\* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 - 03/31/2016

		PERFORMANCE AN	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	PUTNAM					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level \$365,766 (Amount equals \$1,584,240 allocation, plus \$4,952 transferred in from	Summer Vouth plus \$0 transferred in from SEV 14	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$365,766 (Amount equals \$1,584,240 allocation, plus \$4,952 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 14-15 FFFS, less \$943,106 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$280,320 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			10/1/14-3/31/18 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"	
Home Visiting Pro	ogram: 1 r	roject(s) to serve 57 families	1 project(s) served 230 families		\$365,766	
Tionic Visiting 110	ogram. 1 p	roject(s) to serve 37 families	1 project(s) served 250 families			
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	4 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl			
			0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	1 individuals indicated danger			
			2 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ats		
50 % Combined ".	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 31		_	
		-	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]		
			3 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			1 Work Experience 0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			3 Job Readiness Training Activities		_	
			5 Job Search		$\dashv$	
			0 Vocational Education		$\dashv$	
			0 Job Skills Training			
			0 Education Related to Employment			
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent			
			0 Other			
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 2			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 22.8%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 8	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 79.7%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- \* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 03/31/2016

		PERFORMANCE A	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	RENSSELAER					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Leve		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$930,000 (Amount equals \$5,412,457 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 14-15 FFFS, less \$3,892,457 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$590,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			10/1/14-3/31/18 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcor	nes As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"	
					\$930,000	
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	78 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 15 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em	nlarmant activities [a]		
			2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em			
			2 individuals mandated to treatment monexempt from e	improyment activities [u]		
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	41 individuals indicated danger			
			41 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	nents		
50 % Combined "	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 76	9	-	
o , o comem <b>co</b>		7 Lund parion Time	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ			
			293 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			10 Work Experience			
			1 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			8 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			13 Job Search			
			13 Vocational Education 0 Job Skills Training		=	
			3 Education Related to Employment		<del>- </del>	
			5 Secondary School or Equivalent		$\dashv$	
			1 Other			
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 186			
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 2	24.4%		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 332	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 43.6%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- \* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 03/31/2016

		PERFORMAN	CE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	ROCKLAND					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriat \$2,850,000 (Amount equals \$10,969,137 allocation, plus \$28,154 tra	ion Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2015-16	14-15 FFFS less \$6 147 291 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other	han Title XX, \$2,000,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional	10/1/14-3/31/18 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"	
					\$2,850,000	
Family Support/Pi	reservation/	Reunification Services: 1 project(s) to serve 73 families	1 project(s) served 270 families			
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	nol Requirements	0 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
Comply with Drug	s una rincon	Trequirements	5 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	oyment activities [a]		
			1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	ence Family Violence Option (FVO)	26 individuals indicated danger		$\dashv$	
		-	22 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents		
50 % Combined "	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 392	<u> </u>	-	
		•	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]		
			94 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			14 Work Experience			
			1 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			22 Job Readiness Training Activities		_	
			18 Job Search		_	
			4 Vocational Education 1 Job Skills Training		$\dashv$	
			0 Education Related to Employment		-	
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent			
			0 Other		$\dashv$	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 77	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 33.5%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 132	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 57.4%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- \* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 03/31/2016

		PERFORMANO	CE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			ST. LAWRENCE		
1. Program name	2. SFY	Appropriation		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$882,736 (Amount equals \$5,801,690 allocation, plus \$28,619 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$27,256 transferred in from SFY 14-15 FFFS, less \$4,179,298 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$793,631 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$1,900 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			10/1/14-3/31/18 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	mes As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
C 1 31 D	1 41 1	18.	60 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		\$882,736
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	of Requirements	2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	Novment activities [a]	
			3 individuals mandated to treatment— nonexempt from e		
			o many manual or designation in the manual of the manual or designation in	mproyment wourthles [u]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	4 individuals indicated danger	4 individuals indicated danger	
13		The second secon	4 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 51		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti	vity [c]	
			102 Unsubsidized Employment		
			1 Subsidized Employment		
			42 Work Experience		
			2 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			52 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			5 Job Search		
			5 Vocational Education 0 Job Skills Training		
			1 Education Related to Employment		
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		_
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 76		
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 2	22.4%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 182	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 53.3%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- \* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 03/31/2016

		PERFORMANC	E AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			SARATOGA		
1.	2. 3.		4.	5.	
Program name	SFY	Appropriation		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$411,000 (Amount equals \$4,425,168 allocation, plus \$15,245 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 14-15 FFFS, less \$3,090,913 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$938,500 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/14-3/31/18 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
					\$411,000
ervices for Childs	ren and Yo	uth: 1 project(s) to serve 3 families	1 project(s) served 7 families		
المال ا	1	al Demission and	58 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		_
omply with Drug	g and Alcon	or Requirements	5 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	ovment activities [a]	<del>- </del>
			0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from ea		
comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	8 individuals indicated danger 2 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts	
0 % Combined "A	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 304		-
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			5 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment 0 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			0 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 3	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 8.8%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 6	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 18.7%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- \* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 03/31/2016

		PERFORMANCE AND ACC	DUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		SCHENE	CTADY		
1.	2.	3.		4.	5.
Program name	SFY	Appropriation Level		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2015-16	\$1,956,359 (Amount equals \$7,312,752 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Yout 14-15 FFFS, less \$4,979,496 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$755,695 Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred	transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional	10/1/14-3/31/18 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
amvises for Child	non and Var	uth: 1 project(s) to serve 30 families 1 project(s	served 52 families		\$1,730,391
ervices for Child	ren and You	in: 1 project(s) to serve 30 families 1 project(s	served 52 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		ol Requirements 256 indivi	256 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		<del> </del>
17			ls mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	oyment activities [a]	
			ls mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en		
Comply with Don	nestic Violei	nce Family Violence Option (FVO) 95 individu	als indicated danger		
		67 individ	uals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents	
0 % Combined ".	All Families		Employment Assessments Completed [b] 33		
			TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			89 Unsubsidized Employment		
			Subsidized Employment		
			Work Experience		
			Community Service On the Job Training		
			Job Readiness Training Activities		
			1 Job Search		
			2 Vocational Education		$\neg$
			Job Skills Training		
	<u>-</u>		6 Education Related to Employment		

0 Secondary School or Equivalent

Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 129
Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 21.4%
Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 246
Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 40.7%

1 Other

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"				
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt er	nployability status.				
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)						
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.					
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.						
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.					
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.						
g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.						

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

\* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 - 03/31/2016

		PERFORMANO	CE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriatio	SCHOHARIE on Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	Appropriation Level  SFY S479,023 (Amount equals \$1,871,781 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$1 transferred in from SFY 14-15 FFFS, less \$924,814 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$467,945 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).  Contract/Award Cycle FFFS, less \$924,814 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$467,945 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	nes As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
Comily Support/D	Preservation	Reunification Services: 1 project(s) to serve 39 families	1 project(s) served 80 families		\$477,461
		uth: 1 project(s) to serve 21 families	1 project(s) served 80 families  1 project(s) served 98 families		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcol	nol Requirements	11 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp		
			1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Don	nestic Viole	ence Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger		
1 3		The second secon	0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents	
0 % Combined "	'All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 38		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti	vity [c]	
			16 Unsubsidized Employment  0 Subsidized Employment		
			0 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			9 Job Readiness Training Activities		$\dashv$
			0 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		
			2 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		_
			4 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 7	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 18.5%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 24	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 65.5%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- \* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 03/31/2016

		PERFORMANC	E AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	SCHUYLER					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$337,473 (Amount equals \$994,923 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$175,630 transferred in from SFY 14-15 FFFS, less \$593,080 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$240,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			10/1/14-3/31/18 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"	
					\$302,281	
		Reunification Services: 1 project(s) to serve 40 families	1 project(s) served 26 families		_	
		project(s) to serve 24 families nt Costs: 1 project(s) to serve 20 families	1 project(s) served 115 families 1 project(s) served 10 families		_	
TANT Services IN	OII-RCCUITC	in costs. 1 project(s) to serve 20 families	1 project(s) served to families			
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	nol Requirements	3 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
		•	1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplo	oyment activities [a]		
			0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	1 individuals indicated danger			
			1 individuals granted a waiver from program requiremen	nts		
50 % Combined "A	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 62			
		•	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ity [c]		
			14 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			1 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			0 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			0 Job Search			
			0 Vocational Education			
			0 Job Skills Training			
			0 Education Related to Employment			
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 6	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 9.2%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 15	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 24.2%	

- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- \* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 03/31/2016

		PERFORMANCE A	AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			SENECA		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Lev		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2015-16	\$586,016 (Amount equals \$2,264,410 allocation, plus \$47 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 14-15  EFFS, less \$1,130,321 budgeted towards Child Welfars Other than Title XX, \$398,120 transferred to Title XX, \$100,000 in additional			Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
					\$527,682
		Reunification Services: 1 project(s) to serve 30 families	1 project(s) served 20 families		
		ily Formation: 1 project(s) to serve 40 families	1 project(s) served 32 families		
Services for Child	ren and Yo	th: 1 project(s) to serve 80 families	1 project(s) served 69 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alach	ol Dogwinsmants	65 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
Comply with Drug	g and Aicon	or Requirements	5 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	ovment activities [a]	
			2 individuals mandated to treatment—nonexempt from en		
			2 individuals mandated to treatment—nonexempt from er	inproviment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger		
compry with 2 on		to running violence option (x + o)	0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts	
50 % Combined "A	All Familie	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 279	)	
		•	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			27 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			1 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			0 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 15	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 19.5%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 27	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 34%	

- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- \* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 03/31/2016

		PERFORMANCE AND A	ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		Si	<b>TEUBEN</b>		
1. Program name			4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$1,743,192 (Amount equals \$5,277,479 allocation, plus \$14,454 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$338,483 transferred in from SEY 14-15 FFES, less \$3,025,815 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$861,409 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in				Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	nes As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
					\$1,692,698
			project(s) served 366 families project(s) served 522 families		_
			project(s) served 522 families project(s) served 2,273 families		
			project(s) served 7,505 families		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	1	2 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			ndividuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	-	
		4 i	ndividuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	_
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO) 50	individuals indicated danger		
		17	individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents	
50 % Combined ".	All Familie		umber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 343		
		Nι	imber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			81 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			21 Work Experience		
			2 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			34 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			30 Job Search		
			3 Vocational Education		
			4 Job Skills Training		
			5 Education Related to Employment		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 50	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 21.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 134	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 57%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- \* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 03/31/2016

		PERFORMANCI	E AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	SUFFOLK					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$11,628,657 (Amount equals \$40,156,025 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 14- 15 FFFS, less \$23,197,368 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$5,330,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			10/1/14-3/31/18 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	nes As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"	
			452 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		\$11,628,657	
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	of Requirements	64 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em	ployment activities [a]		
			21 individuals mandated to treatment—nonexempt from	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
				emproyment wear rates [w]		
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	ace Family Violence Option (FVO)	248 individuals indicated danger			
			163 individuals granted a waiver from program requirer	nents		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 3,4	52		
		Turnorpanon Tano	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	rity [c]		
			851 Unsubsidized Employment			
			6 Subsidized Employment			
			177 Work Experience			
			35 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			40 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			37 Job Search			
			61 Vocational Education 26 Job Skills Training		_	
			8 Education Related to Employment		_	
			6 Secondary School or Equivalent		_	
			0 Other		$\dashv$	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 746		<del>- </del>	
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 3		$\dashv$	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 1,090	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 49%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- \* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 03/31/2016

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX					
	SULLIVAN					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Lev		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$1,492,359 (Amount equals \$3,112,267 allocation, plus \$5,944 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$319,153 transferred in from SFY 14-15 FFFS, less \$1.581.513 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$338,492 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in			10/1/14-3/31/18 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	mes As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/16''	
					\$804,804	
		rices: 1 project(s) to serve 40 families	1 project(s) served 48 families			
		roject(s) to serve 90 families th: 1 project(s) to serve 45 families	1 project(s) served 977 families 1 project(s) served 57 families		<del> </del>	
		et(s) to serve 75 families	1 project(s) served 57 families 1 project(s) served 44 families			
		t Costs: 1 project(s) to serve 75 families	1 project(s) served 116 families			
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	115 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]		
			2 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e	employment activities [a]		
Comply with Dome	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	31 individuals indicated danger			
			20 individuals granted a waiver from program requiren	nents		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 83		_	
		-	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti	vity [c]		
			49 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			9 Work Experience			
			1 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			9 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			2 Job Search			
			1 Vocational Education			
			1 Job Skills Training			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	5 Education Related to Employment	
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 31	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 19.9%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 67	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 42.3%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)

- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

\* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 - 03/31/2016

		PERFORMAN	CE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	TIOGA					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriat	ion Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$1,027,907 (Amount equals \$2,114,083 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$339,070 transferred in from SFY 14-15 FFFS, less \$1,208,611 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$216,635 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			10/1/14-3/31/18 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"	
					\$677,839	
		n: 1 project(s) to serve 36 families	1 project(s) served 52 families			
		Reunification Services: 2 project(s) to serve 35 families	2 project(s) served 159 families			
		uth: 1 project(s) to serve 20 families nt Costs: 1 project(s) to serve 300 families	1 project(s) served 224 families 1 project(s) served 957 families			
TAINT Services IV	on-Recurre	in Costs. 1 project(s) to serve 300 families	1 project(s) served 937 failures			
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	nol Requirements	22 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	oyment activities [a]		
			0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from en	mployment activities [a]		
Comply with Don	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	6 individuals indicated danger			
			3 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts		
50 % Combined "	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 71			
o v comemou		- Tutterparion Tutte	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]		
			19 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			6 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			1 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			6 Job Search			
			1 Vocational Education			
			0 Job Skills Training			
			0 Education Related to Employment			
					i	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	2 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 12	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 19.5%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 22	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 35.7%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- \* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 03/31/2016

		PERFORMANCE AN	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			TOMPKINS		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$405,000 (Amount equals \$3,538,105 allocation, plus \$35,820 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 14- 15 FFFS, less \$3,168,925 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$0 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/14-3/31/18 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
					\$405,000
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	56 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			16 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp		
			3 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from er	nployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	3 individuals indicated danger		
			4 individuals granted a waiver from program requiremen	ts	
50 % Combined "	All Esmilia	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 83		
50 % Combined .	All Families	s Participation Rate	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	<del>- </del>
			50 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			8 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			7 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			3 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		_
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 29		
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 1:	5.7%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 63	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 34.1%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- \* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 03/31/2016

			O ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			ULSTER		
1.	2.	3.		4.	5.
Program name	SFY	Appropriation Level		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodolog
FFFS	\$1,230,522 (Amount equals \$6,853,777 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$4,487 transferred in from SFY 14-15 FFFS, less \$4,477,742 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,150,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/14-3/31/18 *	Allocation	
		6.	7.		8.
		Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/16"	Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
					\$1,230,522
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoho	ol Requirements	36 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			7 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	oyment activities [a]	
			5 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)  11 individuals indicated de			11 individuals indicated danger		
			1 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts	
50 % Combined "A	All Families		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 460		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			148 Unsubsidized Employment		
			2 Subsidized Employment		
			10 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service		
			1 On the Job Training		
			3 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			17 Job Search		
			5 Vocational Education		_
			2 Job Skills Training		_
			0 Education Related to Employment		_
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		_
			0 Other		_
		l l	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 61		

Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 11.5%

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 170	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 32.1%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- \* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 03/31/2016

			WARREN		
1.	2.	3.		4.	5.
Program name	SFY	Appropriation Level		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$589,874 (Amount equals \$1,893,639 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 14-15 FFFS, less \$1,103,465 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$200,300 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/14-3/31/18 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
		•	·		\$589,874
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	41 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		ψ502,074
compry with Drug	g and 7 neon	or requirements	4 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]	
			1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	omply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)  12 individuals indicated danger				
			9 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts	_
50 % Combined "A	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 5		
		•	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]	
			11 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			0 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			11 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			3 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 6		

Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 16.1%

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 19	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 55.6%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- \* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 03/31/2016

		PERFORMANCE A	AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			WASHINGTON		
1.	2.	3.	_	4.	5.
Program name	SFY	Appropriation Le		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$700,406 (Amount equals \$1,907,740 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 14-15 FFFS, less \$752,227 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$445,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$10,107 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/14-3/31/18 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outco	mes As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
					\$700,406
Additional Child	Walfons Com	vices: 1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 musicat(s) samuel 0 families		\$700,400
		ct(s) to serve 500 families	1 project(s) served 0 families 1 project(s) served 620 families		
		nt Costs: 1 project(s) to serve 192 families	1 project(s) served 49 families		
		1 3 17			
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	50 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			9 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	ployment activities [a]	
			1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from a	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Don	nestic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	23 individuals indicated danger		
r y		J	8 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents	
50 % Combined "	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 68		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti	vity [c]	_
			16 Unsubsidized Employment		_
			0 Subsidized Employment		_
			5 Work Experience 0 Community Service		_
			0 On the Job Training		
			26 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			3 Job Search		_
			1 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		$\dashv$
			0 Education Related to Employment		$\dashv$
			o Education Related to Employment		

0 Secondary School or Equivalent

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 12	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 21.3%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 43	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 76.6%	

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.

- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- \* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 03/31/2016

		1 EM OMM	CE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX WAYNE			
1.	2.	3.	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	4.	5.	
Program name	SFY	Appropriation		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$1,892,905 (Amount equals \$3,435,384 allocation, plus \$11,094 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$380,155 transferred in from SFY 14-15 FFFS, less \$1,672,728 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$261,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/14-3/31/18 *	Allocation		
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"	
					\$1,881,831	
		vices: 3 project(s) to serve 244 families	3 project(s) served 326 families			
		Reunification Services: 3 project(s) to serve 122 families	3 project(s) served 172 families			
		uth: 1 project(s) to serve 180 families		1 project(s) served 240 families		
		ect(s) to serve 180 families	1 project(s) served 233 families			
ANF Services inc	on-Recurre	nt Costs: 1 project(s) to serve 50 families	1 project(s) served 48 families		_	
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	12 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			26 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]		
			3 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	40 individuals indicated danger			
			14 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	ents		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 34		_	
		•	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ity [c]		
			24 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			7 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			3 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			12 Job Search			
			2 Vocational Education			
			0 Job Skills Training			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	0 Education Related to Employment	
	2 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 19	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 12.8%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 36	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 24.4%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- \* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 03/31/2016

		PERFORMANCE A	AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			WESTCHESTER		
1. Program name	2. Appropriation Level  \$7,403,774 (Amount equals \$39,656,870 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 14-15		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2015-16	FFFS, less \$26,344,847 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and		10/1/14-3/31/18 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
					\$7,403,774
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	349 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			43 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp		
			22 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from	employment activities [a]	
G 1 '4 B		E TANA O CONTROL	118 individuals indicated danger		_
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	241 individuals granted a waiver from program requiren	nents	_
			241 individuals granted a waiver from program requires	nents	
50 % Combined "/	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 2,0	09	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ		
			586 Unsubsidized Employment	-	
			1 Subsidized Employment		
			164 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			172 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			135 Job Search		
			36 Vocational Education		
			8 Job Skills Training		
			16 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		_
			0 Other		_
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 457	2.00/	_
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 2	2.8%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 976	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 48.8%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- \* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 03/31/2016

		PERFORMA	ANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			WYOMING		
1. Program name	2. SFY		3. priation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	S \$692,848 (Amount equals \$1,777,431 allocation, plus \$5,870 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$11,065 transferred in from SFY 14-15 FFFS, less \$832,386 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$179,315 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$89,817 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			10/1/14-3/31/18 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/16''
					\$692,848
Additional Child	Welfare Ser	vices: 1 project(s) to serve 20 families	1 project(s) served 59 families		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	nol Requirements	23 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
compry with Drug	g and 7 neon	ior requirements	2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplo	oyment activities [a]	
			1 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]	
Comply with Don	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	6 individuals indicated danger		
			1 individuals granted a waiver from program requiremen	ts	
0 % Combined "	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 56		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Active	ty [c]	
			9 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment 7 Work Experience		_
			0 Community Service		<del>- </del>
			0 On the Job Training		
			22 Job Readiness Training Activities		$\dashv$
			0 Job Search		
			1 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 8	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 20.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 30	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 75.8%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- \* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 03/31/2016

		PERFORMA	NCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			YATES		
1. Program name	2. SFY		3. riation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2015-16	FFFS less \$510 174 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than 7		10/1/14-3/31/18 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	1.4	(D. 1	1566 7		\$187,430
inancial Education	on and Asse	et Development: 1 project(s) to serve 56 families	1 project(s) served 56 families		<del>- </del>
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	nol Requirements	2 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
17	8		0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplo	yment activities [a]	
			0 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	ployment activities [a]	
Comply with Don	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger		
		,	0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ts	
0 % Combined "	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 9		
<u> </u>		2 mae panon 1 mil	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ty [c]	
			3 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			0 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			3 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			1 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		
			3 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 1	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 6.1%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 7	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 34.6%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- \* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 03/31/2016

## PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX **NYC-HRA** 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. SFY **Appropriation Level** Contract/Award Cycle **Procurement Methodology** Program name \$257,034,597 (Amount equals \$550,277,947 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$3,360,081 transferred in from SFY 14-15 FFFS, less \$178,315,118 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$118,288,313 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in **FFFS** 10/1/14-3/31/18 \* Allocation additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/16'
		\$257,004,095
Services for Children and Youth: 1 project(s) to serve 3,505 families	1 project(s) served 10,067 families	
Supportive Services: 1 project(s) to serve 1,046 families	1 project(s) served 2,717 families	
CANF Services Non-Recurrent Costs: 2 project(s) to serve 1,010,421 families	2 project(s) served 2,689,531 families	
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	4,826 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
	524 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
	422 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	4,093 individuals indicated danger	
	2,736 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 129,211	
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c] 26,801 Unsubsidized Employment	
	891 Subsidized Employment	
	1,956 Work Experience	
	0 Community Service	
	0 On the Job Training	
	0 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	2,327 Job Search	
	2,156 Vocational Education	
	2,652 Job Skills Training	
	712 Education Related to Employment	
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 20,796	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 35.2%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/16"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/16"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 31,570	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 53.4%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- \* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 03/31/2016