

---

# ***2003 Statistical Report to the Legislature on the Operations of New York State Temporary Assistance Programs***

---

---

## ***Contributing Agencies:***

- New York State Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance
- New York State Department of Labor
- New York State Department of Health

***This report was prepared in fulfillment of the requirements of Section 149  
Part B of Chapter 436 of the Laws of 1997.***

---

# Table of Contents

<b>Introduction and Summary</b>	<b>Page i</b>	
<b>Section 149.2 Reports</b>		
<b>a. Applications</b>	<b>Page 1</b>	Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance
<b>b. Case Closings and Transfers</b>	<b>Page 5</b>	Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance
<b>c. Subsidized work activities</b>	<b>Page 9</b>	Department of Labor
<b>d. Unsubsidized work activities</b>	<b>Page 14</b>	Department of Labor
<b>e. Training and educational activities</b>	<b>Page 16</b>	Department of Labor
<b>f. Sanctions</b>	<b>Page 19</b>	Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance
<b>g. Case closings</b>	<b>Page 53</b>	Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance
<b>h. Substance abuse treatment programs</b>	<b>Page 55</b>	Department of Health
<b>i. Pregnancy prevention programs</b>	<b>Page 56</b>	Department of Health
<b>j. Disabled and work-limited recipients</b>	<b>Page 58</b>	Department of Labor
<b>k. Food assistance program</b>	<b>Page 61</b>	Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance
<b>l. Domestic violence</b>	<b>Page 69</b>	Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance
<b>Section 149 of the Welfare Reform Act of 1997 (Part B of Chapter 436 of the Laws of 1997)</b>		

# **2003 Statistical Report On the Operations of New York State Temporary Assistance Programs**

## **Introduction and Summary**

This report was prepared in fulfillment of the reporting requirements contained in the Welfare Reform Act of 1997, and was compiled by the Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance (OTDA) with data developed by OTDA, the Department of Labor (DOL) and the Department of Health (DOH).<sup>1</sup> Although the law only requires that reporting should begin upon the completion of the redesign of the Welfare Management System and the completion of the Welfare-to-Work Caseload Management System, recent improvements in our data infrastructure, including the continued development of the Welfare Reporting and Tracking System, allow us to report on a large proportion of the required data using currently available sources. As our data structure continues to improve, we will increase both the quality and quantity of our reporting.

In order to allow time for the development of the data sources for this report, the time period covered in most of this report's sections cover the period of July 2002 through June 2003 (referred to as the report year). Due to the fact that data on work activities are derived from data samples produced for federal reporting, such data cover the last available federal fiscal year.

What follows is a brief summary of current trends in the Temporary Assistance caseload for the July 2002 to June 2003 time period, and a high level summary of the data supplied in the main body of the report.

### **Recent Caseload Trends**

Figure 1 illustrates the trend in total Temporary Assistance (TA) recipients for the July 2002 to June 2003 period. During this time, the total recipient count remained substantially unchanged, starting at 609,920 and ending at 610,015. This outcome is in contrast to the approximately 9% reduction during the last report period, which was a continuation of the long-term downward trend which began in 1994.

---

<sup>1</sup> The reporting provisions are codified in §149, Part B of Chapter 436 of the laws of 1997. The full text of §149 is reproduced at the end of the report.

**Figure 1: New York State Temporary Assistance Recipients  
July 2002 to June 2003**




Figure 2 shows the recipient count change for the same period for “family” cases, which consist of two components: 1) recipients in the Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF) program (which in turn consists of Family Assistance (FA) and a small number of federally funded Safety Net Assistance (SNA) families where an adult in the case is mandated to drug treatment), and 2) former TANF cases in the SNA program by virtue of exceeding the TANF 60 month time limit.<sup>2</sup> Figure 2 also illustrates the change in the number of recipients in each of these component categories.

Recipients in family cases, though fairly stable in relation to recent years, did show a continuation of the long-term recipient reduction trend with a decline of 10,525, or 2%, to 482,013. This net decline was the result of the 19,841 or 6% recipient decline in TANF outweighing the 9,316 or 6% recipient increase in SNA time limit cases. Note that by describing the overall recipient count in family cases as a net of TANF and time limit case type changes we do not mean to imply that the dynamic of those caseloads is summed up by movement from TANF to the time limited category or to closed status. In fact, the pattern of recipient movement between case types and closed status is very complex, with recipients constantly exiting and entering the various case types to and from closed status, and moving back and forth across case types (i.e., recipients not only move from TANF to time limited status, but also move in the opposite direction as case composition changes or persons obtain time limit exemptions.)

<sup>2</sup> For various programmatic reasons, there are also a small number of cases with children in the portion of the SNA caseload that has not timed out from TANF. These cases are part of the analysis of the “singles” caseload to follow, but are a very small portion of that caseload.

**Figure 2: Recipients in Family (TANF and SNA Time Limit) Cases  
July 2002 to June 2003**





Figure 3 shows the recipient count for “traditional” SNA cases, usually referred to as “singles” cases since they consist primarily of one-person adult cases. Here, we see a trend somewhat different than was observed for the family case category. During the report year, the number of recipients in these cases increased by 10,620 or 9%, from 117,382 to 128,002. This increase is the result of a small but steady rise from September through April, which abated in the last months of the report period.

**Figure 3: Recipients in Singles (SNA Non Time Limit) Cases  
July 2002 to June 2003**



## Report Summary

This summary, and the report as a whole, is organized by section headings that refer directly by letter to the subsections of the reporting requirements in statute. In some cases, reporting requirements for a particular section overlap with those in other sections. In these cases, appropriate cross-references will be provided in the body of the report.

### Section a: Applications

Section a provides district level and statewide information on the number of total TA, FA and SNA applications approved, withdrawn and denied, and the reasons for denials. These numbers are summarized in Table 1. Of all applications processed, 60.3% were approved, 10.3% were withdrawn and 29.4% were denied. These rates were very similar for the FA and SNA categories.

**Table 1: Applications Approved, Withdrawn and Denied  
July 2002 to June 2003**

	Applications Approved	Applications Withdrawn	Applications Denied
Total Temporary Assistance	334,634	57,259	163,303
Family Assistance	125,295	25,325	59,310
Safety Net Assistance	209,339	31,934	103,993

The main reasons for application denials were failure to comply with application requirements, either employment-related requirements (9,542 or 6%) or other requirements, such as failure to appear for interviews or failure to provide documentation (72,371 or 44%). Denials related to financial issues (income and resources) totaled 20,801, or 13% of denials. A large number of denials (56,392 or 35%) fell into a miscellaneous “other” category. Most of the denial codes in this category fail to identify a clear reason for the denial, since the code used requires the caseworker to manually generate a close reason. Other denials in this category include failure to locate the recipient and cases where TA is denied but emergency assistance is provided.

### Section b: Case Closings and Transfers

Section b provides district level and statewide information on the number of total TA, FA and SNA case closings, and closing counts by reason for closing. It also provides district level and statewide information on transfers between the FA and SNA case categories that occurred during the year.

Case closings totaled 319,057 for TA, 115,738 for FA and 203,319 for SNA. Overall, the most common reasons for case closings were failure to

comply with program requirements not related to employment (139,504 or 44% - this category includes failure to recertify closings), financial issues (62,568 or 20%) and failure to comply with employment requirements (39,905 or 12%). As with the case denials, a large number of closings fell into the "other" category, mostly situations where the reason for closing was not clearly defined in the closing code. The "other" category constituted 36,649 or 12% of closings.

Transfers from SNA to FA totaled 5,847 for the report year. Transfers from FA to SNA totaled 23,271. Transfers between FA and SNA have been substantially higher since December of 2001, when the SNA time limited category first came into existence, both due to cases moving from FA to SNA when they exceed the 60-month time limit and cases moving from SNA to FA when time limited adults receive a time limit exemption or leave the household. Note that in the second year of the time limit era, transfers from FA to SNA abated considerably. Last year, there were 58,886 such transfers, and time limits were only in effect for seven of the twelve months of that report year. The reduction from that total observed this year is due to the fact that currently far fewer FA cases per month reach the time limit than was the case in the first few months of time limits.

### **Sections c, d and e: Subsidized Work Activities, Unsubsidized Work Activities and Training and Educational Activities**

These work activities sections are provided by the Department of Labor, and, with the exception of data on expenditures, are based on Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2002 Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF) and Separate State Program Maintenance of Effort (SSP-MOE) quarterly disaggregated data reports.<sup>3</sup> These reports contain detailed data on separate monthly samples of TANF and SSP-MOE cases, and are submitted by New York State to the US Department of Health and Human Services.

Section c provides statewide TANF and SSP-MOE data on the average monthly number of recipients participating in work activities, the number of recipients receiving an exemption from participating in work activities or disregarded in the calculation of the federal work participation rate, the type of work activities engaged in and the hours of work engaged in per week. Section c also contains data on expenditures in support of work activities for the same FFY.

---

<sup>3</sup> As mentioned above, in New York State the TANF program consists primarily of FA cases, but also includes a relatively small number of SNA family cases who have their grants restricted because they are mandated into substance abuse treatment. These substance abuse cases are referred to as SNA-FP cases, since they are, like the FA cases, partially federally financed. The SSP-MOE cases are primarily SNA cases that would be TANF eligible except that they have exceeded the State 60 month time limit, but also include a relatively small number of cases that would be TANF eligible except that they contain a qualified alien barred from the receipt of TANF.

Section d contains sample-derived data on the number of TANF and SSP-MOE recipients in unsubsidized employment, and Section e contains similar data on the number of recipients in various education and training activities, and hours per week in such activities.

The sample data show an estimated monthly average of 14,900 TANF recipients and 3,300 SSP-MOE recipients in work activities (including subsidized public and private sector employment, work experience, on the job training, job search/job readiness training and community service activities). The average hours of participation per week in these work activities were 29.2 and 31.5 for the TANF and SSP-MOE recipients, respectively. Also, an estimated monthly average of 27,900 TANF recipients and 13,800 SSP-MOE recipients participated in unsubsidized employment, and an estimated monthly average of 3,600 TANF recipients and 1,800 SSP-MOE recipients participated in training or educational activities (including vocational education, job skills training, employment related education and school attendance). The average number of hours per week participants spent in education and training activities were 27.1 and 27.2 for TANF and SSP-MOE cases, respectively.

Details regarding the calculation and interpretation of the sample-derived figures are contained in the body of the report, so readers are referred there for a full explanation of the reported figures, including confidence intervals around the reported estimates and definitions of the activities included in the participation figures.

## **Section f: Sanctions**

Section f provides statewide, New York City and rest of state (non-New York City) data on the number and type of sanctions in TA, FA and SNA cases. These data are provided for four representative months in the year, September and December 2002, and March and June 2003 (i.e., the months ending each calendar quarter). The quarterly data indicate that for the report year around 22,500 individuals were in sanction status in a given month. Using the most recent (June 2003) data, we see that there were 23,327 persons in sanction status, which represents 9% of the approximately 255,600 adults on assistance at the time. Most (21,216 or 91%) of these sanctioned persons have an employment-related sanction.

Section f also provides district level and statewide data on the number of sanction-related fair hearings requested, the number of hearings held, and the outcomes of these hearings, for the full report year.<sup>4</sup> These data are reported

---

<sup>4</sup> Fair hearings outcomes described in the report are issues affirmed (i.e., the agency's determination is deemed correct), issues reversed (i.e., where the agency's determination is incorrect), issues withdrawn, issues correct when made (i.e., where the agency was correct at the time the decision was made, but changes in circumstances require agency action in favor of the client) and a catch-all "other" category.

separately for total TA, FA and SNA cases. Readers of this section should note that the numbers reported are based on issues addressed, not hearings per se: more than one issue can be addressed at a hearing, and separate issues addressed at the same hearing can have different outcomes.

For the purposes of economy of presentation, Section f also contains fair hearings data on work related issues, since these overlap with sanction-related hearings and follow a similar format. Also included in this section are data on the number and outcomes of administrative disqualification hearings, i.e., hearings initiated by districts.

Due to the large number of issues addressed in the fair hearings section, they will not be summarized here. Readers should note, however, that outcome numbers will not sum exactly to hearing numbers since some issues initiated during the report year may still have been pending at the end of the report year.

### **Section g: Closings**

All data available to meet the requirements of this section were presented in Section b, except for data on transitional Medicaid receipt. Here we report statewide and district level data on the number of persons from closed “family” cases (TANF or SNA time limited cases) that were Medicaid eligible in the fourth month following case closing, in the situation where those persons have not returned to assistance. We report data for two time periods in the report year, the final quarter (October to December) of 2002 and the second quarter (April to June of 2003). For the state as a whole, we find about 62% percent of persons closed in these case types are Medicaid eligible four months later. There is substantial district variation on this measure, with many districts showing very high rates of Medicaid eligibility after closing.

### **Section h: Substance Abuse Treatment Programs**

The Department of Health reports that there is no data available for the programs covered in this section for the July 2002 to June 2003 period.

### **Section i: Pregnancy Prevention Programs**

In Section i, the Department of Health reports on participants in TANF funded family planning programs, the Comprehensive Family Planning and Reproductive Health Program and the Community Based Adolescent Pregnancy Prevention Program (CBAPP). The outreach and education portions of these programs served 154,805 participants, and 202,759 participants received at least one of the services provided under these programs. A detailed description of these programs is included in the body of the report, along with district level data on the number of clients receiving any service provided by the programs.

## **Section j: Disabled and Work-Limited Recipients**

Using data from the TANF and SSP-MOE disaggregated samples, the Department of Labor estimates that a monthly average of 20,800 TANF recipients and 4,100 SSP-MOE recipients are exempt from participating in work activities.

## **Section k: Food Assistance Program**

Section k provides district level and statewide data on the number of households and persons served in the Food Assistance Program (FAP), and the value of benefits provided by the program. It also reports data on fair hearings related to FAP and the related outcomes. Although this section of the statute contains extensive reporting requirements, most of them became inappropriate when in 1998 Congress restored eligibility for standard Food Stamps to most participants in the original FAP program. During the report year, the Food Stamp Reauthorization Act of 2002 restored standard Food Stamp eligibility to virtually all of the few remaining participants in FAP, effective March 2003. Currently, to be found eligible for FAP an individual would need to be identified as a victim of domestic violence not otherwise eligible for federal Food Stamps or currently be over 60 years of age, but not have been 65 years of age on August 22, 1996, and meet certain other specific and very limited criteria.

As a result of the first federal Food Stamp eligibility restorations, there were only 502 households and 544 persons in FAP at the outset of the report year. By the end of the year, after the Food Stamp Reauthorization Act went into effect, there were 26 households and 27 persons in the program, receiving benefits totaling \$3,415. At the end of the report year there were only three districts, New York City (24 cases), Orange (1 case) and Nassau (1 case), with an active FAP case.

## **Section l: Domestic Violence**

Section l reports district level and statewide data for the report year on the number of persons indicating a current danger on their domestic violence screening form, the number of persons whose domestic violence assessments showed a credible threat, the number of waivers from TA program requirements granted to domestic violence victims, the number of persons with a waiver and the average duration in months of active waivers. Also reported is the number of active waivers broken down by the program requirements that are waived (e.g., time limits, employment, IV-D, etc.), as well as the average duration of waivers by waiver type.

For the reporting period, 9,028 persons checked that there was a current domestic violence danger to them on the screening form. During the same period, 5,112 persons underwent a full domestic violence assessment where a

credible threat was confirmed,<sup>5</sup> and 4,372 waivers were granted. All waivers active during the period averaged 4 months in duration.

For the report period, 5,707 persons had domestic violence waivers, including persons whose waivers were granted previous to the report year. These persons had a total of 9,661 active waivers during the report year. The number of waivers exceeds the number of persons with waivers because waivers do not cover all TA requirements, but are specific to the requirements for which the waiver is granted. That is, a single domestic violence victim can have more than one waiver, say for instance if the person is exempted from both child support and work requirements. Note also that the number of active waivers for the year, like the number of persons with active waivers, include those carried over from previous years.

Most domestic violence waivers were granted from the requirement to cooperate in the establishment of child support (4,794), and from the requirement to participate in employment activities (4,542).

---

<sup>5</sup> Note that the that the percentage of domestic violence interviews that result in a credible threat cannot be determined by taking the ratio of interviews that result in a credible threat to the number of persons indicating a current danger. This is because most of the difference between these two numbers is due to the client electing not to proceed to the interview stage.

2003 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE

Applications Approved

July 2002 - June 2003

Local District	Total	Family Assistance	Safety Net Assistance
New York State	334,634	125,295	209,339
New York City	240,041	82,542	157,499
Rest of State	94,593	42,753	51,840
Albany	4,190	2,023	2,167
Allegany	471	292	179
Broome	2,411	1,170	1,241
Cattaraugus	750	416	334
Cayuga	421	254	167
Chautauqua	2,231	1,177	1,054
Chemung	1,619	834	785
Chenango	328	198	130
Clinton	856	409	447
Columbia	402	213	189
Cortland	452	239	213
Delaware	179	97	82
Dutchess	1,262	576	686
Erie	10,525	4,507	6,018
Essex	219	131	88
Franklin	508	209	299
Fulton	564	298	266
Genesee	360	177	183
Greene	575	307	268
Hamilton	26	13	13
Herkimer	381	206	175
Jefferson	1,038	475	563
Lewis	131	77	54
Livingston	1,049	486	563
Madison	153	70	83
Monroe	8,662	2,949	5,713
Montgomery	406	220	186
Nassau	5,336	2,313	3,023
Niagara	2,792	1,266	1,526
Oneida	1,805	986	819
Onondaga	8,828	4,687	4,141
Ontario	1,130	445	685
Orange	2,960	1,300	1,660
Orleans	766	409	357
Oswego	1,340	781	559
Otsego	154	71	83
Putnam	152	59	93
Rensselaer	1,457	1,056	401
Rockland	824	367	457
St.Lawrence	836	445	391
Saratoga	278	109	169
Schenectady	1,558	722	836
Schoharie	173	90	83
Schuyler	185	110	75
Seneca	182	97	85
Steuben	1,084	569	515
Suffolk	9,358	3,035	6,323
Sullivan	798	455	343
Tioga	397	242	155
Tompkins	790	307	483
Ulster	1,540	785	755
Warren	645	290	355
Washington	473	232	241
Wayne	648	291	357
Westchester	7,574	3,005	4,569
Wyoming	209	123	86
Yates	152	83	69

**2003 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS**

**NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE**

Application Withdrawals and Denials

Temporary Assistance Programs

July 2002 - June 2003

Local District	Withdrawals		Denials				
	Total	Total	Financial	Residence	Compliance Issues		Other
					Employment	Other	
New York State	57,259	163,303	20,801	4,197	9,542	72,371	56,392
New York City	25,316	62,890	3,602	103	1,185	16,019	41,981
Rest of State	31,943	100,413	17,199	4,094	8,357	56,352	14,411
Albany	1,883	2,925	338	266	168	1,426	727
Allegany	118	396	101	18	28	216	33
Broome	601	3,511	935	156	28	1,894	498
Cattaraugus	242	796	275	36	18	330	137
Cayuga	201	433	95	9	131	194	4
Chautauqua	332	973	169	44	60	570	130
Chemung	308	942	311	42	15	568	6
Chenango	121	305	35	15	138	113	4
Clinton	167	465	53	15	10	278	109
Columbia	72	731	151	34	158	273	115
Cortland	209	363	56	24	38	174	71
Delaware	164	382	58	9	45	226	44
Dutchess	693	3,141	1,116	323	94	1,276	332
Erie	1,408	4,651	330	120	777	3,413	11
Essex	52	316	87	18	3	195	13
Franklin	114	286	36	20	101	102	27
Fulton	170	703	128	36	35	439	65
Genesee	373	380	67	7	96	187	23
Greene	89	108	18	7	12	70	1
Hamilton	1	8	2	2		4	0
Herkimer	172	564	77	33	143	262	49
Jefferson	322	1,951	360	50	145	690	706
Lewis	102	167	47	6	21	75	18
Livingston	229	524	99	36	15	289	85
Madison	22	109	19	18	10	61	1
Monroe	3,053	31,497	4,585	323	2,446	18,090	6,053
Montgomery	253	502	61	37	95	259	50
Nassau	1,623	3,233	619	103	233	1,673	605
Niagara	601	2,352	299	69	61	1,852	71
Oneida	792	3,315	760	92	257	2,001	205
Onondaga	3,189	6,955	661	116	971	4,414	793
Ontario	295	704	104	122	15	429	34
Orange	645	1,772	587	124	27	920	114
Orleans	200	275	35	12	48	169	11
Oswego	166	418	44	21	79	226	48
Otsego	86	446	55	15	40	320	16
Putnam	240	136	16	5	16	74	25
Rensselaer	707	2,168	724	117	22	1,090	215
Rockland	263	747	84	44	45	291	283
St.Lawrence	733	815	206	41	1	558	9
Saratoga	585	669	57	22	10	486	94
Schenectady	752	1,459	188	159	313	585	214
Schoharie	95	127	37	9	39	31	11
Schuyler	51	140	32	4	20	80	4
Seneca	208	300	64	28	14	190	4
Steuben	354	1,324	364	80	226	622	32
Suffolk	3,511	6,823	1,387	498	224	3,670	1,044
Sullivan	610	480	53	44	137	216	30
Tioga	77	354	54	24	107	164	5
Tompkins	218	697	132	68	83	335	79
Ulster	1,138	1,103	155	111	3	530	304
Warren	85	355	78	10	13	243	11
Washington	290	724	95	35	194	375	25
Wayne	155	537	125	43	38	323	8
Westchester	2,421	4,415	487	341	161	2,627	799
Wyoming	332	279	63	20	118	74	4
Yates	50	162	25	13	12	110	2

**2003 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS**

**NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE**

Application Withdrawals and Denials

Family Assistance Program

July 2002 - June 2003

Local District	Withdrawals	Denials					
	Total	Total	Financial	Residence	Compliance Issues		Other
					Employment	Other	
New York State	25,325	59,310	10,216	1,300	4,431	28,691	14,672
New York City	11,306	19,199	1,895	50	244	5,333	11,677
Rest of State	14,019	40,111	8,321	1,250	4,187	23,358	2,995
Albany	728	720	118	67	37	474	24
Allegany	62	152	42	7	4	91	8
Broome	408	1,498	316	53	4	894	231
Cattaraugus	149	334	135	9	8	168	14
Cayuga	97	233	54	4	80	93	2
Chautauqua	180	341	64	19	27	186	45
Chemung	169	418	163	14	4	235	2
Chenango	71	163	17	8	76	60	2
Clinton	79	184	23	6	6	109	40
Columbia	42	341	53	7	76	133	72
Cortland	93	148	15	9	19	78	27
Delaware	73	186	33	2	22	127	2
Dutchess	335	1,338	502	108	47	541	140
Erie	728	2,179	203	21	388	1,565	2
Essex	33	131	34	6	1	87	3
Franklin	56	132	13	3	48	57	11
Fulton	94	296	44	19	10	221	2
Genesee	152	147	26	3	45	69	4
Greene	42	65	12	5	5	42	1
Hamilton	0	3	1	1		1	0
Herkimer	98	280	38	20	79	140	3
Jefferson	154	874	149	16	58	291	360
Lewis	54	75	21	1	13	34	6
Livingston	129	230	50	19	9	133	19
Madison	12	46	8	7	6	25	0
Monroe	1,198	11,480	2,570	83	1,335	6,345	1,147
Montgomery	168	255	30	21	58	116	30
Nassau	716	1,280	282	52	132	749	65
Niagara	274	1,063	163	25	3	869	3
Oneida	344	1,321	361	29	9	916	6
Onondaga	823	2,936	340	68	464	1,980	84
Ontario	117	227	37	20	6	163	1
Orange	383	1,020	345	43	19	540	73
Orleans	119	170	18	4	37	111	0
Oswego	102	229	17	7	49	129	27
Otsego	49	203	25	5	20	153	0
Putnam	107	35	2	2	6	24	1
Rensselaer	339	622	225	30	0	355	12
Rockland	100	242	41	15	5	107	74
St.Lawrence	385	428	121	15	0	287	5
Saratoga	223	249	12	5	4	222	6
Schenectady	287	546	60	53	193	232	8
Schoharie	64	46	11	3	20	9	3
Schuyler	29	67	21	2	8	35	1
Seneca	84	159	36	9	8	105	1
Steuben	218	585	190	18	109	264	4
Suffolk	1,227	2,688	721	97	99	1,636	135
Sullivan	209	276	29	20	100	110	17
Tioga	48	183	29	8	63	81	2
Tompkins	66	222	57	10	38	110	7
Ulster	658	539	71	26	2	256	184
Warren	49	198	46	6	9	132	5
Washington	202	406	43	21	118	216	8
Wayne	91	226	58	11	14	142	1
Westchester	1,121	1,521	202	99	134	1,021	65
Wyoming	149	89	14	4	46	25	0
Yates	32	86	10	5	7	64	0

**2003 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS**

**NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE**

Application Withdrawals and Denials

Safety Net Assistance Programs

July 2002 - June 2003

Local District	Withdrawals		Denials				
	Total	Total	Financial	Residence	Compliance Issues		Other
					Employment	Other	
New York State	31,934	103,993	10,585	2,897	5,111	43,680	41,720
New York City	14,010	43,691	1,707	53	941	10,686	30,304
Rest of State	17,924	60,302	8,878	2,844	4,170	32,994	11,416
Albany	1,155	2,205	220	199	131	952	703
Allegany	56	244	59	11	24	125	25
Broome	193	2,013	619	103	24	1,000	267
Cattaraugus	93	462	140	27	10	162	123
Cayuga	104	200	41	5	51	101	2
Chautauqua	152	632	105	25	33	384	85
Chemung	139	524	148	28	11	333	4
Chenango	50	142	18	7	62	53	2
Clinton	88	281	30	9	4	169	69
Columbia	30	390	98	27	82	140	43
Cortland	116	215	41	15	19	96	44
Delaware	91	196	25	7	23	99	42
Dutchess	358	1,803	614	215	47	735	192
Erie	680	2,472	127	99	389	1,848	9
Essex	19	185	53	12	2	108	10
Franklin	58	154	23	17	53	45	16
Fulton	76	407	84	17	25	218	63
Genesee	221	233	41	4	51	118	19
Greene	47	43	6	2	7	28	0
Hamilton	1	5	1	1		3	0
Herkimer	74	284	39	13	64	122	46
Jefferson	168	1,077	211	34	87	399	346
Lewis	48	92	26	5	8	41	12
Livingston	100	294	49	17	6	156	66
Madison	10	63	11	11	4	36	1
Monroe	1,855	20,017	2,015	240	1,111	11,745	4,906
Montgomery	85	247	31	16	37	143	20
Nassau	907	1,953	337	51	101	924	540
Niagara	327	1,289	136	44	58	983	68
Oneida	448	1,994	399	63	248	1,085	199
Onondaga	2,366	4,019	321	48	507	2,434	709
Ontario	178	477	67	102	9	266	33
Orange	262	752	242	81	8	380	41
Orleans	81	105	17	8	11	58	11
Oswego	64	189	27	14	30	97	21
Otsego	37	243	30	10	20	167	16
Putnam	133	101	14	3	10	50	24
Rensselaer	368	1,546	499	87	22	735	203
Rockland	163	505	43	29	40	184	209
St.Lawrence	348	387	85	26	1	271	4
Saratoga	362	420	45	17	6	264	88
Schenectady	465	913	128	106	120	353	206
Schoharie	31	81	26	6	19	22	8
Schuyler	22	73	11	2	12	45	3
Seneca	124	141	28	19	6	85	3
Steuben	136	739	174	62	117	358	28
Suffolk	2,284	4,135	666	401	125	2,034	909
Sullivan	401	204	24	24	37	106	13
Tioga	29	171	25	16	44	83	3
Tompkins	152	475	75	58	45	225	72
Ulster	480	564	84	85	1	274	120
Warren	36	157	32	4	4	111	6
Washington	88	318	52	14	76	159	17
Wayne	64	311	67	32	24	181	7
Westchester	1,300	2,894	285	242	27	1,606	734
Wyoming	183	190	49	16	72	49	4
Yates	18	76	15	8	5	46	2

**2003 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS**

**NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE**

Case Closings

Temporary Assistance Programs

July 2002 - June 2003

Local District	Total Closings	Client Request	Financial Issues	Residence Issues	Compliance Issues		Other
					Employment	Other	
New York State	319,057	20,826	62,568	22,605	36,905	139,504	36,649
New York City	208,686	11,499	35,470	6,883	28,965	94,915	30,954
Rest of State	110,371	9,327	27,098	15,722	7,940	44,589	5,695
Albany	5,034	295	1,240	1,163	203	1,758	375
Allegany	509	47	167	101	33	137	24
Broome	2,701	235	899	513	122	816	116
Cattaraugus	761	134	283	117	39	150	38
Cayuga	416	45	182	79	24	75	11
Chautauqua	2,693	254	919	454	218	702	146
Chemung	1,732	148	441	266	123	701	53
Chenango	364	71	90	43	28	103	29
Clinton	882	128	322	128	36	222	46
Columbia	468	54	170	67	6	146	25
Cortland	507	56	212	99	32	86	22
Delaware	209	28	49	38	7	77	10
Dutchess	1,532	96	470	208	51	496	211
Erie	12,567	1,985	3,435	1,597	1,058	4,291	201
Essex	254	24	78	39	23	85	5
Franklin	565	72	127	61	114	175	16
Fulton	607	63	204	48	45	200	47
Genesee	421	40	133	60	23	144	21
Greene	668	70	247	86	23	216	26
Hamilton	27	3	12	9		2	1
Herkimer	446	55	120	52	6	122	91
Jefferson	1,184	222	321	122	100	380	39
Lewis	141	24	37	17	4	48	11
Livingston	1,116	123	296	135	52	488	22
Madison	159	19	44	32	9	39	16
Monroe	11,292	493	2,397	1,371	374	5,785	872
Montgomery	384	37	134	80	22	72	39
Nassau	5,708	310	1,223	770	394	2,717	294
Niagara	3,525	256	889	274	284	1,707	115
Oneida	2,178	190	693	338	118	787	52
Onondaga	10,313	571	2,159	1,047	1,176	4,752	608
Ontario	1,176	104	315	258	47	400	52
Orange	3,408	296	786	512	155	1,554	105
Orleans	850	87	274	110	65	290	24
Oswego	1,482	168	304	127	95	710	78
Otsego	148	25	35	11	6	64	7
Putnam	164	18	62	30	8	34	12
Rensselaer	1,753	102	560	233	26	712	120
Rockland	928	52	219	175	60	362	60
St.Lawrence	1,033	130	351	131	33	354	34
Saratoga	272	72	51	42	5	78	24
Schenectady	1,476	70	354	244	102	646	60
Schoharie	210	21	55	36	23	51	24
Schuyler	191	28	36	40	12	62	13
Seneca	161	22	65	34	3	27	10
Steuben	1,122	124	303	202	85	391	17
Suffolk	9,849	688	1,575	1,261	1,105	4,746	474
Sullivan	790	89	226	130	81	220	44
Tioga	413	51	95	86	20	150	11
Tompkins	1,007	59	250	100	81	452	65
Ulster	1,880	160	428	349	19	782	142
Warren	708	66	289	116	37	180	20
Washington	515	60	150	53	48	186	18
Wayne	704	90	200	110	51	244	9
Westchester	10,410	542	1,970	1,864	1,011	4,357	666
Wyoming	198	47	70	23	9	33	16
Yates	160	8	82	31	6	25	8

**2003 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS**

**NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE**

Case Closings

Family Assistance Program

July 2002 - June 2003

Local District	Total Closings	Client Request	Financial Issues	Residence Issues	Compliance Issues		Other
					Employment	Other	
New York State	115,738	11,946	23,584	9,581	4,924	53,274	12,429
New York City	67,258	6,374	10,870	2,817	2,922	33,998	10,277
Rest of State	48,480	5,572	12,714	6,764	2,002	19,276	2,152
Albany	2,150	188	647	483	20	669	143
Allegany	303	33	88	72	11	87	12
Broome	1,309	155	391	292	21	422	28
Cattaraugus	400	89	145	56	10	85	15
Cayuga	248	32	99	58	6	47	6
Chautauqua	1,329	177	489	249	52	314	48
Chemung	961	110	221	126	30	463	11
Chenango	219	54	44	28	9	75	9
Clinton	429	79	153	55	10	117	15
Columbia	266	41	87	43	0	86	9
Cortland	250	39	105	57	3	41	5
Delaware	124	19	18	24	4	55	4
Dutchess	703	63	191	95	15	245	94
Erie	4,915	685	1,672	662	289	1,557	50
Essex	155	20	38	25	11	58	3
Franklin	257	44	55	33	27	93	5
Fulton	348	49	108	26	9	133	23
Genesee	222	29	69	28	3	83	10
Greene	334	45	132	47	4	97	9
Hamilton	15	2	6	6		0	1
Herkimer	255	39	64	27	2	76	47
Jefferson	557	152	140	49	19	187	10
Lewis	90	19	21	10	1	33	6
Livingston	516	68	138	63	17	220	10
Madison	77	12	20	21	2	17	5
Monroe	3,860	298	945	432	46	1,927	212
Montgomery	213	29	72	36	11	40	25
Nassau	2,303	213	482	364	79	1,046	119
Niagara	1,568	172	475	125	36	725	35
Oneida	1,164	139	370	171	24	444	16
Onondaga	5,337	423	1,067	506	455	2,504	382
Ontario	453	61	140	95	8	133	16
Orange	1,588	197	351	198	34	764	44
Orleans	450	59	141	58	30	147	15
Oswego	859	128	164	75	35	422	35
Otsego	73	13	16	6	0	36	2
Putnam	63	14	21	12	2	9	5
Rensselaer	1,277	85	355	178	8	569	82
Rockland	370	22	73	87	9	158	21
St.Lawrence	525	88	155	62	10	196	14
Saratoga	112	37	11	17	1	38	8
Schenectady	714	54	162	110	32	324	32
Schoharie	109	14	19	20	16	29	11
Schuyler	119	25	19	23	6	42	4
Seneca	85	18	31	16	0	15	5
Steuben	577	75	137	108	14	235	8
Suffolk	3,206	418	596	426	219	1,384	163
Sullivan	465	68	133	67	30	144	23
Tioga	259	31	47	60	7	108	6
Tompkins	448	37	107	36	18	231	19
Ulster	953	112	231	181	4	375	50
Warren	339	47	147	59	15	68	3
Washington	287	39	87	31	19	107	4
Wayne	307	69	86	50	3	96	3
Westchester	3,770	305	854	491	248	1,667	205
Wyoming	113	33	37	15	6	19	3
Yates	82	6	42	14	2	14	4

**2003 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS**

**NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE**

Case Closings

Safety Net Assistance Programs

July 2002 - June 2003

Local District	Total Closings	Client Request	Financial Issues	Residence Issues	Compliance Issues		Other
					Employment	Other	
New York State	203,319	8,880	38,984	13,024	31,981	86,230	24,220
New York City	141,428	5,125	24,600	4,066	26,043	60,917	20,677
Rest of State	61,891	3,755	14,384	8,958	5,938	25,313	3,543
Albany	2,884	107	593	680	183	1,089	232
Allegany	206	14	79	29	22	50	12
Broome	1,392	80	508	221	101	394	88
Cattaraugus	361	45	138	61	29	65	23
Cayuga	168	13	83	21	18	28	5
Chautauqua	1,364	77	430	205	166	388	98
Chemung	771	38	220	140	93	238	42
Chenango	145	17	46	15	19	28	20
Clinton	453	49	169	73	26	105	31
Columbia	202	13	83	24	6	60	16
Cortland	257	17	107	42	29	45	17
Delaware	85	9	31	14	3	22	6
Dutchess	829	33	279	113	36	251	117
Erie	7,652	1,300	1,763	935	769	2,734	151
Essex	99	4	40	14	12	27	2
Franklin	308	28	72	28	87	82	11
Fulton	259	14	96	22	36	67	24
Genesee	199	11	64	32	20	61	11
Greene	334	25	115	39	19	119	17
Hamilton	12	1	6	3		2	0
Herkimer	191	16	56	25	4	46	44
Jefferson	627	70	181	73	81	193	29
Lewis	51	5	16	7	3	15	5
Livingston	600	55	158	72	35	268	12
Madison	82	7	24	11	7	22	11
Monroe	7,432	195	1,452	939	328	3,858	660
Montgomery	171	8	62	44	11	32	14
Nassau	3,405	97	741	406	315	1,671	175
Niagara	1,957	84	414	149	248	982	80
Oneida	1,014	51	323	167	94	343	36
Onondaga	4,976	148	1,092	541	721	2,248	226
Ontario	723	43	175	163	39	267	36
Orange	1,820	99	435	314	121	790	61
Orleans	400	28	133	52	35	143	9
Oswego	623	40	140	52	60	288	43
Otsego	75	12	19	5	6	28	5
Putnam	101	4	41	18	6	25	7
Rensselaer	476	17	205	55	18	143	38
Rockland	558	30	146	88	51	204	39
St.Lawrence	506	42	196	69	23	158	18
Saratoga	160	35	40	25	4	40	16
Schenectady	762	16	192	134	70	322	28
Schoharie	101	7	36	16	7	22	13
Schuyler	72	3	17	17	6	20	9
Seneca	76	4	34	18	3	12	5
Steuben	545	49	166	94	71	156	9
Suffolk	6,643	270	979	835	886	3,362	311
Sullivan	325	21	93	63	51	76	21
Tioga	154	20	48	26	13	42	5
Tompkins	561	22	143	64	63	221	48
Ulster	927	48	197	168	15	407	92
Warren	369	19	142	57	22	112	17
Washington	228	21	63	22	29	79	14
Wayne	397	21	114	60	48	148	6
Westchester	6,640	237	1,116	1,373	763	2,690	461
Wyoming	85	14	33	8	3	14	13
Yates	78	2	40	17	4	11	4

**2003 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS**

**NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE**

Cases Transferred in  
Temporary Assistance Programs  
July 2002 - June 2003

Cases Transferred from Family Assistance to Safety Net Assistance		Cases Transferred from Safety Net Assistance to Family Assistance	
New York State	23,271	New York State	5,847
New York City	17,021	New York City	3,207
Rest of State	6,250	Rest of State	2,640
Albany	349	Albany	98
Allegany	33	Allegany	6
Broome	167	Broome	64
Cattaraugus	49	Cattaraugus	24
Cayuga	27	Cayuga	20
Chautauqua	211	Chautauqua	87
Chemung	62	Chemung	27
Chenango	12	Chenango	7
Clinton	34	Clinton	20
Columbia	23	Columbia	5
Cortland	33	Cortland	15
Delaware	4	Delaware	1
Dutchess	51	Dutchess	22
Erie	920	Erie	290
Essex	9	Essex	5
Franklin	20	Franklin	11
Fulton	15	Fulton	7
Genesee	21	Genesee	14
Greene	38	Greene	19
Hamilton	2	Hamilton	2
Herkimer	17	Herkimer	7
Jefferson	65	Jefferson	24
Lewis	4	Lewis	4
Livingston	41	Livingston	30
Madison	4	Madison	4
Monroe	965	Monroe	461
Montgomery	19	Montgomery	9
Nassau	239	Nassau	66
Niagara	180	Niagara	80
Oneida	130	Oneida	72
Onondaga	386	Onondaga	132
Ontario	41	Ontario	22
Orange	124	Orange	75
Orleans	37	Orleans	13
Oswego	67	Oswego	23
Otsego	4	Otsego	3
Putnam	4	Putnam	2
Rensselaer	65	Rensselaer	33
Rockland	57	Rockland	27
St.Lawrence	72	St.Lawrence	30
Saratoga	4	Saratoga	5
Schenectady	71	Schenectady	29
Schoharie	2	Schoharie	0
Schuyler	7	Schuyler	2
Seneca	12	Seneca	6
Steuben	52	Steuben	23
Suffolk	444	Suffolk	210
Sullivan	32	Sullivan	21
Tioga	17	Tioga	11
Tompkins	44	Tompkins	33
Ulster	98	Ulster	47
Warren	15	Warren	7
Washington	6	Washington	5
Wayne	14	Wayne	8
Westchester	823	Westchester	366
Wyoming	6	Wyoming	3
Yates	2	Yates	3

**2003 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS**

**NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

**TANF**

	Annual Averages (10/01 - 9/02)	Approximate Standard Error	Approximate 95% Confidence Limits	
			Lower	Upper
<b>C. Data Related to Work Activities</b>				
<b>Subsidized or Otherwise Sponsored</b>				
1. No. of Recipients Participating in Work Activities \1	14,900	± 900	13,100	16,700
2. No. of Recipients Receiving an Exemption from Participating in Work Activities or Disregarded from the Participation Rate	34,900	± 1,200	32,500	37,300
Exempt	22,800	± 1,100	20,600	25,000
Disabled \2	20,800	± 1,100	18,600	23,000
Other \3	2,000	± 300	1,400	2,600
Disregarded	12,100	± 900	10,300	13,900
Child Less Than 1 Year \4	6,200	± 600	5,000	7,400
Sanctioned \5	5,900	± 600	4,700	7,100
5. Type of Work Activities \6				
Subsidized Private Sector Employment	100	*	*	*
Subsidized Public Sector Employment	100	*	*	*
Work Experience	4,900	± 600	3,700	6,100
On the Job Training	100	*	*	*
Job Search/Job Readiness Training	2,200	± 400	1,400	3,000
Community Service Program	8,100	± 700	6,700	9,500
Child Care Provider	0	*	*	*
6. Hours of Work per Week \7	29.2	± 0.4	28.4	30.0
* Due to the small sample size, the standard error and confidence limits were not computed.				

**General Comments:**

The figures presented on these tables are based on Federal Fiscal Year 2002 data from the TANF quarterly reports submitted by New York State. These data exclude TANF cases that transitioned into SSP-MOE after Dec. 2001 due to reaching the Federal time limit. Data for SSP-MOE cases are presented in a separate table. Participation rates, characteristics and financial circumstances from these submittals have been released by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services/Administration for Children and Families (HHS/ACF).

The data published in this report reflect all individuals engaged in work activities, regardless of the number of hours in these activities, rather than cases meeting the more restrictive participation criteria, as published by the HHS/ACF. Sample results for each month were inflated to reflect the universe of TANF cases as reported in Section 3 of the TANF quarterly report. For the count of each individual activity/transaction/characteristic appearing in this report, we followed the Federal methodology that averages monthly data to obtain fiscal year figures.

See Footnotes

NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

TANF

Data Related to Work Activities Subsidized or Otherwise Sponsored

Footnotes:

- 1 Average monthly number of TANF participants in subsidized work activities (subsidized private and public employment, and on-the-job training), work experience, job search/job readiness training, community service program, or providing child care services to an individual who is participating in a community service program. Recipients may be participating in more than one work activity. Subsidized work activities are not the only activities that count toward federal participation rate requirements. Additional activities can be found in Sections 149.2.d and 149.2.e.
- 2 Average monthly number of disabled individuals who are exempt from participating in work activities. Under Section 332-b of the Social Services Law, disabled individuals who are determined to be unable to engage in work activities are exempt in New York from participating in work activities. Despite this exemption, the TANF family is included in the calculation of the participation rate.
- 3 Average monthly number of individuals exempt from participating in work activities for other reasons. These data reflect recipients who are exempt from participating in work activities due to age, caring for a disabled family member in the home, being a victim of domestic violence, and VESID involvement. Despite this exemption, the TANF family is included in the calculation of the participation rate.
- 4 Average number of one-parent cases that have a child less than 1 year old who are disregarded from the participation rate calculation. Single custodial parents, or caretakers with a child under 12 months of age, who have not been required to participate are disregarded from the rate. The TANF family is thus excluded from the calculation of the participation rate. This disregard is limited to 12 months total during the 60 months lifetime limit.
- 5 Average monthly number of sanctioned individuals who are disregarded from the participation rate calculation. These data reflect recipients that are required to participate, but have been sanctioned for not participating. The cases in which these individuals are included are disregarded from the participation rate calculation as a result of being sanctioned during the reporting month, unless the case meets the required participation rate hours. These cases may be excluded from the calculation of the participation rate for up to 3 months during each federal fiscal year.
- 6 Average monthly number of TANF participants in subsidized/sponsored work activities by activity.
- 7 Average number of hours of participation per week per TANF participant in subsidized/sponsored work activities.

\* **Note:** The **standard error**, or standard deviation, of a sample estimate indicates how close the sample estimate comes to the corresponding unknown measurement for the entire population. The standard error of a sample estimate gives us the precision, that is, the range in which the true population value most likely falls. The true population value is expected to lie between these limits. The confidence levels give us the likelihood or probability that it will fall within that range, that is, the assurance that the true value would fall in this range. A 95% confidence level tells us that if we pick 100 similar samples from the population, the sample estimate would fall within approximately plus or minus 2 standard errors of the true value in 95 of those samples. (These are referred to as the upper and lower confidence limits.)

For example, the annual average of the number of TANF recipients found to be exempt due to a disability is 20,800 with an approximate standard error of 1,100. The approximate lower confidence limit is  $20,800 - (2)(1,100) = 18,600$ , and the corresponding upper confidence limit is  $20,800 + (2)(1,100) = 23,000$ . While it is not likely that the true population value is exactly 20,800 (the value based on the sample), we are 95% confident that the true population value is between 18,600 and 23,000.

The standard errors of the number of participants possessing a given characteristic, e.g. engaged in a certain type of work activity, were obtained by calculating the standard error of the proportion of those individuals in the sample. The proportions were then applied to the total population of the TANF cases. Therefore, the result is a measure of the number of participants with a given characteristic in the entire population.

The results obtained were based on monthly stratified samples and the stratification process varied, thus the results are approximate. Stratification is a statistical process in which the total population is divided into mutually exclusive subpopulations, prior to sampling. This process was required by the federal government in order to better gauge the characteristics of the subpopulations.

2003 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

SSP-MOE

	Annual Averages (12/01 - 9/02)	Approximate Standard Error	Approximate 95% Confidence Limits	
			Lower	Upper
<b>C. Data Related to Work Activities Subsidized or Otherwise Sponsored</b>				
1. No. of Recipients Participating in Work Activities \1	3,300	± 200	2,900	3,700
2. No. of Recipients Receiving an Exemption from Participating in Work Activities or Disregarded from the Participation Rate	8,100	± 300	7,500	8,700
Exempt	5,000	± 300	4,400	5,600
Disabled \2	4,100	± 300	3,500	4,700
Other \3	1,000	± 100	800	1,200
Disregarded	3,000	± 200	2,600	3,400
Child Less Than 1 Year \4	500	± 100	300	700
Sanctioned \5	2,600	± 200	2,200	3,000
5. Type of Work Activities \6				
Subsidized Private Sector Employment	0	*	*	*
Subsidized Public Sector Employment	0	*	*	*
Work Experience	1,800	± 200	1,400	2,200
On the Job Training	0	*	*	*
Job Search/Job Readiness Training	200	*	*	*
Community Service Program	1,400	± 100	1,200	1,600
Child Care Provider	0	*	*	*
6. Hours of Work per Week \7	31.5	± 0.4	30.7	32.3
* Due to the small sample size, the standard error and confidence limits were not computed.				

**General Comments:**

The figures presented on these tables are based on Federal Fiscal Year 2002 data from the SSP-MOE quarterly reports submitted by New York State. These are cases that transition out of TANF into the State's Safety Net program after Dec. 2001 due to reaching the Federal time limit. Participation rates, characteristics and financial circumstances from these submittals have been released by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services/Administration for Children and Families (HHS/ACF).

The data published in this report reflect all individuals engaged in work activities, regardless of the number of hours in these activities, rather than cases meeting the more restrictive participation criteria, as published by the HHS/ACF. Sample results for each month were inflated to reflect the universe of SSP-MOE cases as reported in Section 3 of the SSP-MOE quarterly report. For the count of each individual activity/transaction/characteristic appearing in this report, we followed the Federal methodology that averages monthly data to obtain fiscal year figures.

See Footnotes

# 2003 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

## NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### SSP-MOE

#### Data Related to Work Activities Subsidized or Otherwise Sponsored

##### Footnotes:

- 1 Average monthly number of SSP-MOE participants in subsidized work activities (subsidized private and public employment, and on-the-job training), work experience, job search/job readiness training, community service program, or providing child care services to an individual who is participating in a community service program. Recipients may be participating in more than one work activity. Subsidized work activities are not the only activities that count toward federal participation rate requirements. Additional activities can be found in Sections 149.2.d and 149.2.e.
- 2 Average monthly number of disabled individuals who are exempt from participating in work activities. Under Section 332-b of the Social Services Law, disabled individuals who are determined to be unable to engage in work activities are exempt in New York from participating in work activities. Despite this exemption, the SSP-MOE family is included in the calculation of the participation rate.
- 3 Average monthly number of individuals exempt from participating in work activities for other reasons. These data reflect recipients who are exempt from participating in work activities due to age, caring for a disabled family member in the home, being a victim of domestic violence, and VESID involvement. Despite this exemption, the SSP-MOE family is included in the calculation of the participation rate.
- 4 Average number of one-parent cases that have a child less than 1 year old who are disregarded from the participation rate calculation. Single custodial parents, or caretakers with a child under 12 months of age, who have not been required to participate are disregarded from the rate. The SSP-MOE family is thus excluded from the calculation of the participation rate. This disregard is limited to 12 months total during the 60 months lifetime limit.
- 5 Average monthly number of sanctioned individuals who are disregarded from the participation rate calculation. These data reflect recipients that are required to participate, but have been sanctioned for not participating. The cases in which these individuals are included are disregarded from the participation rate calculation as a result of being sanctioned during the reporting month, unless the case meets the required participation rate hours. These cases may be excluded from the calculation of the participation rate for up to 3 months during each federal fiscal year.
- 6 Average monthly number of SSP-MOE participants in subsidized/sponsored work activities by activity.
- 7 Average number of hours of participation per week per SSP-MOE participant in subsidized/sponsored work activities.

\* **Note:** The **standard error**, or standard deviation, of a sample estimate indicates how close the sample estimate comes to the corresponding unknown measurement for the entire population. The standard error of a sample estimate gives us the precision, that is, the range in which the true population value most likely falls. The true population value is expected to lie between these limits. The confidence levels give us the likelihood or probability that it will fall within that range, that is, the assurance that the true value would fall in this range. A 95% confidence level tells us that if we pick 100 similar samples from the population, the sample estimate would fall within approximately plus or minus 2 standard errors of the true value in 95 of those samples. (These are referred to as the upper and lower confidence limits.)

For example, the annual average of the number of SSP-MOE recipients found to be exempt due to a disability is 4,100 with an approximate standard error of 300. The approximate lower confidence limit is  $4,100 - (2)(300) = 3,500$ , and the corresponding upper confidence limit is  $4,100 + (2)(300) = 4,700$ . While it is not likely that the true population value is exactly 4,100 (the value based on the sample), we are 95% confident that the true population value is between 3,500 and 4,700.

The standard errors of the number of participants possessing a given characteristic, e.g. engaged in a certain type of work activity, were obtained by calculating the standard error of the proportion of those individuals in the sample. The proportions were then applied to the total population of the SSP-MOE cases. Therefore, the result is a measure of the number of participants with a given characteristic in the entire population.

The results obtained were based on monthly stratified samples and the stratification process varied, thus the results are approximate. Stratification is a statistical process in which the total population is divided into mutually exclusive subpopulations, prior to sampling. This process was required by the federal government in order to better gauge the characteristics of the subpopulations.

**2003 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS**

**NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

C. Expenditures in Support of Work Activities

	Work Activities			
	<u>FEDERAL</u>	<u>STATE</u>	<u>LOCAL</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
Family Assistance (TANF - 265 Fund)	\$ 244,563,319	\$ 44,309,678	\$ 31,674,512	\$ 320,547,509
Safety Net	0	9,791,527	8,559,662	18,351,189
FSET (Food Stamp - 261 Fund)	68,343,952	13,503,384	20,477,994	102,325,330
Welfare to Work Block Grant	<u>34,922,186</u>	<u>7,961,966</u>	<u>8,632,894</u>	<u>51,517,046</u>
TOTAL	\$ 347,829,457	\$ 75,566,555	\$ 69,345,062	\$ 492,741,074

All of the activities supported by the expenditures shown in the chart above are designed to emphasize work and work preparation activities including basic education and post-secondary education.

The above expenditures cannot be distributed by county as several of the welfare employment programs are administered by providers who serve a multi-county geographic area.

2003 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

TANF

	Annual Averages (10/01 - 9/02)	Approximate Standard Error	Approximate 95% Confidence Limits	
			Lower	Upper
<b>D. Data related to Unsubsidized Work Activities</b>				
1. No. of Recipients Hired <u>\8</u>	27,900	± 1,100	25,700	30,100

(Also see **General Comments** in the work activities table Section 149.2.c.)

\8 Average monthly number of TANF participants in unsubsidized employment. These data reflect active recipients with budgeted earned income or who entered employment in the previous three months. Unsubsidized employment is not the only activity that counts toward federal participation rate requirements. Additional activities can be found in Sections 149.2.c and 149.2.e.

**\* Note:** The **standard error**, or standard deviation, of a sample estimate indicates how close the sample estimate comes to the corresponding unknown measurement for the entire population. The standard error of a sample estimate gives us the precision, that is, the range in which the true population value most likely falls. The true population value is expected to lie between these limits. The confidence levels give us the likelihood or probability that it will fall within that range, that is, the assurance that the true value would fall in this range. A 95% confidence level tells us that if we pick 100 similar samples from the population, the sample estimate would fall within approximately plus or minus 2 standard errors of the true value in 95 of those samples. (These are referred to as the upper and lower confidence limits.)

For example, the annual average of the number of TANF recipients found to be exempt due to a disability is 20,800 with an approximate standard error of 1,100. The approximate lower confidence limit is  $20,800 - (2)(1,100) = 18,600$ , and the corresponding upper confidence limit is  $20,800 + (2)(1,100) = 23,000$ . While it is not likely that the true population value is exactly 20,800 (the value based on the sample), we are 95% confident that the true population value is between 18,600 and 23,000.

The standard errors of the number of participants possessing a given characteristic, e.g. engaged in a certain type of work activity, were obtained by calculating the standard error of the proportion of those individuals in the sample. The proportions were then applied to the total population of TANF cases. Therefore, the result is a measure of the number of participants with a given characteristic in the entire population.

The results obtained were based on monthly stratified samples and the stratification process varied, thus the results are approximate. Stratification is a statistical process in which the total population is divided into mutually exclusive subpopulations, prior to sampling. This process was required by the federal government in order to better gauge the characteristics of the subpopulations.

2003 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

SSP-MOE

	Annual Averages (12/01 - 9/02)	Approximate Standard Error	Approximate 95% Confidence Limits	
			Lower	Upper
<b>D. Data related to Unsubsidized Work Activities</b>				
1. No. of Recipients Hired <sup>\8</sup>	13,800	± 400	13,000	14,600

(Also see **General Comments** in the work activities table Section 149.2.c.)

<sup>\8</sup> Average monthly number of SSP-MOE participants in unsubsidized employment. These data reflect active recipients with budgeted earned income or who entered employment in the previous three months. Unsubsidized employment is not the only activity that counts toward federal participation rate requirements. Additional activities can be found in Sections 149.2.c and 149.2.e.

\* **Note:** The **standard error**, or standard deviation, of a sample estimate indicates how close the sample estimate comes to the corresponding unknown measurement for the entire population. The standard error of a sample estimate gives us the precision, that is, the range in which the true population value most likely falls. The true population value is expected to lie between these limits. The confidence levels give us the likelihood or probability that it will fall within that range, that is, the assurance that the true value would fall in this range. A 95% confidence level tells us that if we pick 100 similar samples from the population, the sample estimate would fall within approximately plus or minus 2 standard errors of the true value in 95 of those samples. (These are referred to as the upper and lower confidence limits.)

For example, the annual average of the number of SSP-MOE recipients found to be exempt due to a disability is 4,100 with an approximate standard error of 300. The approximate lower confidence limit is  $4,100 - (2)(300) = 3,500$ , and the corresponding upper confidence limit is  $4,100 + (2)(300) = 4,700$ . While it is not likely that the true population value is exactly 4,100 (the value based on the sample), we are 95% confident that the true population value is between 3,500 and 4,700.

The standard errors of the number of participants possessing a given characteristic, e.g. engaged in a certain type of work activity, were obtained by calculating the standard error of the proportion of those individuals in the sample. The proportions were then applied to the total population of SSP-MOE cases. Therefore, the result is a measure of the number of participants with a given characteristic in the entire population.

The results obtained were based on monthly stratified samples and the stratification process varied, thus the results are approximate. Stratification is a statistical process in which the total population is divided into mutually exclusive subpopulations, prior to sampling. This process was required by the federal government in order to better gauge the characteristics of the subpopulations.

2003 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

TANF

	Annual Averages (10/01 - 9/02)	Approximate Standard Error	Approximate 95% Confidence Limits	
			Lower	Upper
<b>E. Data related to Recipient Training and Education Activities</b>				
1. No. of Recipients in Training or Educational Activities by Type of Activity \9	3,600	± 500	2,600	4,600
Vocational Education	2,800	± 400	2,000	3,600
Job Skills Training	200	± 100	0	400
Education Employment Related	200	± 100	0	400
School Attendance	500	± 200	100	900
3. Length of and Duration of Training or Educational Activities				
Hours per Week \10	27.1	± 0.5	26.1	28.1

(Also see **General Comments** in the work activities table Section 149.2.c.)

\9 Average monthly number of TANF participants in education and training activities - total and by activity (vocational education, job skills training directly related to employment, education directly related to employment for individuals with no high school diploma or certificate of high school equivalency, or satisfactory school attendance for individuals with no high school diploma or certificate of high school equivalency). Training and educational activities are not the only activities that count toward federal participation rate requirements. Additional activities can be found in Sections 149.2.c and 149.2.d.

\10 Average number of hours of participation per week per TANF participant in education and training activities.

\* **Note:** The **standard error**, or standard deviation, of a sample estimate indicates how close the sample estimate comes to the corresponding unknown measurement for the entire population. The standard error of a sample estimate gives us the precision, that is, the range in which the true population value most likely falls. The true population value is expected to lie between these limits. The confidence levels give us the likelihood or probability that it will fall within that range, that is, the assurance that the true value would fall in this range. A 95% confidence level tells us that if we pick 100 similar samples from the population, the sample estimate would fall within approximately plus or minus 2 standard errors of the true value in 95 of those samples. (These are referred to as the upper and lower confidence limits.)

For example, the annual average of the number of TANF recipients found to be exempt due to a disability is 20,800 with an approximate standard error of 1,100. The approximate lower confidence limit is  $20,800 - (2)(1,100) = 18,600$ , and the corresponding upper confidence limit is  $20,800 + (2)(1,100) = 23,000$ . While it is not likely that the true population value is exactly 20,800 (the value based on the sample), we are 95% confident that the true population value is between 18,600 and 23,000.

The standard errors of the number of participants possessing a given characteristic, e.g. engaged in a certain type of work activity, were obtained by calculating the standard error of the proportion of those individuals in the sample. The proportions were then applied to the total population of TANF cases. Therefore, the result is a measure of the number of participants with a given characteristic in the entire population.

The results obtained were based on monthly stratified samples and the stratification process varied, thus the results are approximate. Stratification is a statistical process in which the total population is divided into mutually exclusive subpopulations, prior to sampling. This process was required by the federal government in order to better gauge the characteristics of the subpopulations.

2003 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

SSP-MOE

	Annual Averages (12/01 - 9/02)	Approximate Standard Error		Approximate 95% Confidence Limits	
				Lower	Upper
<b>E. Data related to Recipient Training and Education Activities</b>					
1. No. of Recipients in Training or Educational Activities by Type of Activity <u>\9</u>	1,800	±	200	1,400	2,200
Vocational Education	1,800	±	200	1,400	2,200
Job Skills Training	100		*	*	*
Education Employment Related	0		*	*	*
School Attendance	0		*	*	*
3. Length of and Duration of Training or Educational Activities					
Hours per Week <u>\10</u>	27.2	±	0.3	26.6	27.8
* Due to the small sample size, the standard error and confidence limits were not computed.					

(Also see **General Comments** in the work activities table Section 149.2.c.)

\9 Average monthly number of SSP-MOE participants in education and training activities - total and by activity (vocational education, job skills training directly related to employment, education directly related to employment for individuals with no high school diploma or certificate of high school equivalency, or satisfactory school attendance for individuals with no high school diploma or certificate of high school equivalency). Training and educational activities are not the only activities that count toward federal participation rate requirements. Additional activities can be found in Sections 149.2.c and 149.2.d.

\10 Average number of hours of participation per week per SSP-MOE participant in education and training activities.

\* **Note:** The **standard error**, or standard deviation, of a sample estimate indicates how close the sample estimate comes to the corresponding unknown measurement for the entire population. The standard error of a sample estimate gives us the precision, that is, the range in which the true population value most likely falls. The true population value is expected to lie between these limits. The confidence levels give us the likelihood or probability that it will fall within that range, that is, the assurance that the true value would fall in this range. A 95% confidence level tells us that if we pick 100 similar samples from the population, the sample estimate would fall within approximately plus or minus 2 standard errors of the true value in 95 of those samples. (These are referred to as the upper and lower confidence limits.)

For example, the annual average of the number of SSP-MOE recipients found to be exempt due to a disability is 4,100 with an approximate standard error of 300. The approximate lower confidence limit is  $4,100 - (2)(300) = 3,500$ , and the corresponding upper confidence limit is  $4,100 + (2)(300) = 4,700$ . While it is not likely that the true population value is exactly 4,100 (the value based on the sample), we are 95% confident that the true population value is between 3,500 and 4,700.

The standard errors of the number of participants possessing a given characteristic, e.g. engaged in a certain type of work activity, were obtained by calculating the standard error of the proportion of those individuals in the sample. The proportions were then applied to the total population of SSP-MOE cases. Therefore, the result is a measure of the number of participants with a given characteristic in the entire population.

The results obtained were based on monthly stratified samples and the stratification process varied, thus the results are approximate. Stratification is a statistical process in which the total population is divided into mutually exclusive subpopulations, prior to sampling. This process was required by the federal government in order to better gauge the characteristics of the subpopulations.

NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

**E. Expenditures in Support of Training and Education Activities**

All of the activities and associated expenditures for the welfare employment programs are designed to emphasize work and work preparation activities including basic education and post-secondary education. As such, please refer to Section 149.2.c "Expenditures in Support of Work Activities" for further information.

## NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE

Total Temporary Assistance

Sanctions

September 2002

Local District	Total	Employment	Child Support	Drug /Alcohol	Intentional Program Violation	Other
New York State	23,090	21,159	549	1,014	210	158
New York City	16,101	16,007	76	16	0	2
Rest of State	6,989	5,152	473	998	210	156
Albany	150	98	7	38	7	0
Allegany	59	52	1	5	0	1
Broome	232	158	9	30	31	4
Cattaraugus	48	38	0	7	1	2
Cayuga	53	46	1	2	4	0
Chautauqua	229	171	8	42	7	1
Chemung	194	183	2	6	3	0
Chenango	37	34	1	2	0	0
Clinton	23	19	2	1	1	0
Columbia	33	25	5	2	0	1
Cortland	28	21	1	5	1	0
Delaware	6	5	0	1	0	0
Dutchess	100	87	4	8	1	0
Erie	866	673	58	106	24	5
Essex	15	14	0	1	0	0
Franklin	27	25	0	0	1	1
Fulton	21	18	1	2	0	0
Genesee	17	14	0	2	1	0
Greene	28	23	1	2	2	0
Hamilton	1	1	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	15	14	0	1	0	0
Jefferson	105	87	1	12	3	2
Lewis	8	8	0	0	0	0
Livingston	42	37	2	2	0	1
Madison	5	2	1	2	0	0
Monroe	1,402	832	70	439	39	22
Montgomery	29	29	0	0	0	0
Nassau	183	141	11	15	2	14
Niagara	250	212	15	17	4	2
Oneida	234	165	35	7	22	5
Onondaga	506	452	15	26	4	9
Ontario	47	36	1	3	4	3
Orange	188	145	13	20	5	5
Orleans	26	25	0	1	0	0
Oswego	44	29	2	11	1	1
Otsego	2	2	0	0	0	0
Putnam	6	4	0	1	0	1
Rensselaer	153	109	19	25	0	0
Rockland	86	68	9	6	0	3
St.Lawrence	104	89	3	7	4	1
Saratoga	3	1	0	2	0	0
Schenectady	37	28	0	6	3	0
Schoharie	2	2	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	10	8	0	2	0	0
Seneca	15	10	0	4	1	0
Steuben	61	54	1	1	2	3
Suffolk	705	576	29	29	19	52
Sullivan	36	27	6	2	1	0
Tioga	34	29	2	2	0	1
Tompkins	49	33	5	7	0	4
Ulster	62	36	5	16	1	4
Warren	15	12	2	0	1	0
Washington	18	11	1	4	2	0
Wayne	19	16	0	3	0	0
Westchester	311	111	124	61	7	8
Wyoming	8	7	0	1	0	0
Yates	2	0	0	1	1	0

## NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE

Total Temporary Assistance

Sanctions

December 2002

Local District	Total	Employment	Child Support	Drug /Alcohol	Intentional Program Violation	Other
New York State	21,653	19,958	450	936	179	130
New York City	14,868	14,789	61	16	0	2
Rest of State	6,785	5,169	389	920	179	128
Albany	164	104	8	45	6	1
Allegany	52	43	1	6	1	1
Broome	225	158	10	30	24	3
Cattaraugus	48	41	0	5	0	2
Cayuga	58	48	1	3	6	0
Chautauqua	164	125	7	24	7	1
Chemung	200	190	1	7	2	0
Chenango	31	28	1	2	0	0
Clinton	35	29	1	4	1	0
Columbia	37	32	1	4	0	0
Cortland	30	21	1	7	1	0
Delaware	3	3	0	0	0	0
Dutchess	92	84	1	6	0	1
Erie	882	720	40	95	23	4
Essex	20	17	0	1	2	0
Franklin	32	30	0	0	0	2
Fulton	10	7	1	2	0	0
Genesee	18	17	0	1	0	0
Greene	19	13	2	2	2	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	17	14	0	3	0	0
Jefferson	99	85	0	10	3	1
Lewis	5	5	0	0	0	0
Livingston	37	28	3	5	0	1
Madison	0					
Monroe	1,301	789	59	404	37	12
Montgomery	30	25	1	4	0	0
Nassau	185	134	11	20	5	15
Niagara	246	215	10	16	4	1
Oneida	217	162	23	7	20	5
Onondaga	528	477	19	24	3	5
Ontario	52	42	1	4	3	2
Orange	172	141	7	17	1	6
Orleans	31	30	0	1	0	0
Oswego	53	35	6	12	0	0
Otsego	6	6	0	0	0	0
Putnam	8	6	0	1	0	1
Rensselaer	149	113	17	19	0	0
Rockland	95	70	5	14	0	6
St.Lawrence	103	91	2	8	2	0
Saratoga	2	0	0	2	0	0
Schenectady	33	28	0	4	1	0
Schoharie	1	1	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	14	13	0	1	0	0
Seneca	18	15	0	1	1	1
Steuben	88	75	4	5	3	1
Suffolk	678	570	30	22	12	44
Sullivan	38	29	4	4	1	0
Tioga	27	23	4	0	0	0
Tompkins	43	28	4	7	0	4
Ulster	54	37	5	9	1	2
Warren	15	11	2	2	0	0
Washington	15	12	0	2	1	0
Wayne	17	14	1	2	0	0
Westchester	279	128	94	45	6	6
Wyoming	9	7	1	1	0	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0

## NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE

Total Temporary Assistance

Sanctions

March 2003

Local District	Total	Employment	Child Support	Drug /Alcohol	Intentional Program Violation	Other
New York State	21,911	20,070	439	1,081	189	132
New York City	15,091	15,005	43	40	1	2
Rest of State	6,820	5,065	396	1,041	188	130
Albany	189	131	7	46	4	1
Allegany	69	65	0	2	1	1
Broome	252	183	5	23	37	4
Cattaraugus	59	50	0	6	0	3
Cayuga	61	55	4	1	1	0
Chautauqua	175	139	2	21	12	1
Chemung	203	195	0	6	2	0
Chenango	38	33	4	1	0	0
Clinton	36	31	2	3	0	0
Columbia	42	34	3	5	0	0
Cortland	41	35	1	4	1	0
Delaware	3	2	0	1	0	0
Dutchess	95	80	3	9	1	2
Erie	893	690	40	137	24	2
Essex	31	27	0	1	2	1
Franklin	32	28	0	0	2	2
Fulton	14	9	3	1	1	0
Genesee	19	17	0	2	0	0
Greene	25	18	1	4	2	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	13	13	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	113	82	0	26	2	3
Lewis	9	8	0	1	0	0
Livingston	43	34	4	5	0	0
Madison	4	1	1	2	0	0
Monroe	1,230	704	51	435	27	13
Montgomery	33	26	1	6	0	0
Nassau	188	134	13	20	5	16
Niagara	210	174	8	23	4	1
Oneida	235	160	28	21	23	3
Onondaga	513	474	19	13	2	5
Ontario	56	48	1	3	4	0
Orange	172	134	10	25	0	3
Orleans	40	32	1	7	0	0
Oswego	73	50	3	20	0	0
Otsego	10	7	0	3	0	0
Putnam	6	5	0	0	0	1
Rensselaer	137	102	16	19	0	0
Rockland	103	78	6	11	0	8
St.Lawrence	102	90	1	7	3	1
Saratoga	1	0	0	1	0	0
Schenectady	37	26	3	7	1	0
Schoharie	1	1	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	18	15	1	1	1	0
Seneca	10	8	0	2	0	0
Steuben	89	80	1	0	5	3
Suffolk	619	499	37	27	14	42
Sullivan	31	25	1	5	0	0
Tioga	27	25	2	0	0	0
Tompkins	54	35	6	9	0	4
Ulster	45	27	5	8	1	4
Warren	15	13	2	0	0	0
Washington	15	13	0	2	0	0
Wayne	27	23	0	3	1	0
Westchester	251	87	99	54	5	6
Wyoming	13	10	1	2	0	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0

## NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE

Total Temporary Assistance

Sanctions

June 2003

Local District	Total	Employment	Child Support	Drug /Alcohol	Intentional Program Violation	Other
New York State	23,327	21,216	432	1,349	204	126
New York City	16,201	15,957	29	209	4	2
Rest of State	7,126	5,259	403	1,140	200	124
Albany	245	183	12	37	9	4
Allegany	65	58	0	6	1	0
Broome	265	176	9	32	44	4
Cattaraugus	73	66	2	5	0	0
Cayuga	67	61	2	4	0	0
Chautauqua	149	117	5	16	10	1
Chemung	232	222	0	8	2	0
Chenango	34	30	1	2	1	0
Clinton	37	30	1	5	0	1
Columbia	42	29	2	11	0	0
Cortland	49	42	2	4	1	0
Delaware	7	6	0	1	0	0
Dutchess	97	85	3	6	1	2
Erie	867	636	42	164	22	3
Essex	33	24	1	5	3	0
Franklin	38	35	0	0	2	1
Fulton	16	14	0	1	1	0
Genesee	23	21	0	2	0	0
Greene	31	20	1	10	0	0
Hamilton	1	0	0	1	0	0
Herkimer	25	23	1	1	0	0
Jefferson	114	95	2	10	4	3
Lewis	16	16	0	0	0	0
Livingston	44	37	2	5	0	0
Madison	2	0	1	1	0	0
Monroe	1,261	727	61	443	21	9
Montgomery	45	32	3	8	0	2
Nassau	193	140	13	26	2	12
Niagara	235	202	5	24	2	2
Oneida	252	164	32	16	34	6
Onondaga	515	486	13	11	2	3
Ontario	50	45	1	2	2	0
Orange	180	143	12	21	0	4
Orleans	37	33	3	1	0	0
Oswego	64	40	5	18	0	1
Otsego	7	6	0	1	0	0
Putnam	8	7	0	0	0	1
Rensselaer	122	86	23	13	0	0
Rockland	84	70	4	5	0	5
St.Lawrence	113	101	1	5	5	1
Saratoga	1	1	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	58	39	3	16	0	0
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	15	13	1	0	1	0
Seneca	15	14	0	1	0	0
Steuben	107	94	3	1	6	3
Suffolk	630	496	35	43	10	46
Sullivan	48	39	1	8	0	0
Tioga	37	34	3	0	0	0
Tompkins	45	26	4	10	1	4
Ulster	42	29	2	8	2	1
Warren	12	10	0	1	1	0
Washington	16	13	1	2	0	0
Wayne	40	31	0	6	3	0
Westchester	310	102	84	112	7	5
Wyoming	11	9	1	1	0	0
Yates	1	1	0	0	0	0

## NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE

Family Assistance

Sanctions

September 2002

Local District	Total	Employment	Child Support	Drug /Alcohol	Intentional Program Violation	Other
New York State	12,380	11,653	410	72	125	120
New York City	8,190	8,142	46	0	0	2
Rest of State	4,190	3,511	364	72	125	118
Albany	82	66	6	6	4	0
Allegany	51	47	1	2	0	1
Broome	143	105	8	1	25	4
Cattaraugus	31	29	0	0	1	1
Cayuga	40	35	1	1	3	0
Chautauqua	146	125	6	10	4	1
Chemung	154	151	2	0	1	0
Chenango	30	28	1	1	0	0
Clinton	18	15	2	0	1	0
Columbia	24	17	5	1	0	1
Cortland	23	21	1	0	1	0
Delaware	5	5	0	0	0	0
Dutchess	77	70	4	2	1	0
Erie	478	415	42	5	14	2
Essex	12	12	0	0	0	0
Franklin	17	17	0	0	0	0
Fulton	17	15	1	1	0	0
Genesee	13	12	0	1	0	0
Greene	20	18	1	0	1	0
Hamilton	1	1	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	14	14	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	71	65	1	1	3	1
Lewis	7	7	0	0	0	0
Livingston	29	27	2	0	0	0
Madison	3	2	1	0	0	0
Monroe	562	469	50	12	19	12
Montgomery	27	27	0	0	0	0
Nassau	106	83	8	1	0	14
Niagara	142	126	13	1	2	0
Oneida	177	128	29	0	17	3
Onondaga	387	362	14	1	2	8
Ontario	36	31	0	0	2	3
Orange	115	100	7	3	1	4
Orleans	22	22	0	0	0	0
Oswego	27	23	2	1	0	1
Otsego	2	2	0	0	0	0
Putnam	2	1	0	1	0	0
Rensselaer	121	96	18	7	0	0
Rockland	46	38	8	0	0	0
St.Lawrence	69	62	3	0	3	1
Saratoga	1	1	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	24	21	0	0	3	0
Schoharie	1	1	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	8	7	0	1	0	0
Seneca	10	9	0	0	1	0
Steuben	45	40	1	0	2	2
Suffolk	449	367	22	4	6	50
Sullivan	31	24	6	0	1	0
Tioga	28	25	2	0	0	1
Tompkins	31	26	5	0	0	0
Ulster	37	26	5	1	1	4
Warren	12	9	2	0	1	0
Washington	15	11	1	1	2	0
Wayne	13	13	0	0	0	0
Westchester	131	36	83	6	2	4
Wyoming	6	6	0	0	0	0
Yates	1	0	0	0	1	0

## NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE

Family Assistance

Sanctions

December 2002

Local District	Total	Employment	Child Support	Drug /Alcohol	Intentional Program Violation	Other
New York State	11,280	10,671	333	76	106	94
New York City	7,266	7,225	35	4	0	2
Rest of State	4,014	3,446	298	72	106	92
Albany	86	72	6	4	3	1
Allegany	46	40	1	3	1	1
Broome	141	108	9	2	19	3
Cattaraugus	30	27	0	1	0	2
Cayuga	41	35	1	0	5	0
Chautauqua	112	97	5	5	4	1
Chemung	157	156	1	0	0	0
Chenango	24	22	1	1	0	0
Clinton	25	22	1	1	1	0
Columbia	25	22	1	2	0	0
Cortland	20	18	1	0	1	0
Delaware	3	3	0	0	0	0
Dutchess	61	58	1	1	0	1
Erie	497	451	30	5	9	2
Essex	16	14	0	0	2	0
Franklin	21	20	0	0	0	1
Fulton	8	6	1	1	0	0
Genesee	12	12	0	0	0	0
Greene	15	11	2	0	2	0
Hamilton	0					
Herkimer	13	13	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	71	67	0	0	3	1
Lewis	5	5	0	0	0	0
Livingston	22	19	3	0	0	0
Madison	0					
Monroe	510	439	41	9	16	5
Montgomery	27	25	1	1	0	0
Nassau	104	78	8	1	3	14
Niagara	148	140	7	0	1	0
Oneida	146	111	17	0	14	4
Onondaga	368	342	16	3	2	5
Ontario	38	36	0	0	1	1
Orange	98	87	3	4	0	4
Orleans	27	27	0	0	0	0
Oswego	40	29	6	5	0	0
Otsego	6	6	0	0	0	0
Putnam	3	3	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	123	102	17	4	0	0
Rockland	41	31	5	2	0	3
St.Lawrence	74	70	2	0	2	0
Saratoga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	17	16	0	0	1	0
Schoharie	1	1	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	11	10	0	1	0	0
Seneca	12	11	0	0	1	0
Steuben	65	59	3	0	3	0
Suffolk	441	365	24	5	6	41
Sullivan	30	23	4	2	1	0
Tioga	20	16	4	0	0	0
Tompkins	25	21	4	0	0	0
Ulster	37	29	5	1	1	1
Warren	12	9	2	1	0	0
Washington	10	9	0	0	1	0
Wayne	13	12	1	0	0	0
Westchester	109	35	63	7	3	1
Wyoming	7	6	1	0	0	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0

## NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE

Family Assistance

Sanctions

March 2003

Local District	Total	Employment	Child Support	Drug /Alcohol	Intentional Program Violation	Other
New York State	10,602	9,990	326	67	122	97
New York City	6,742	6,709	24	7	0	2
Rest of State	3,860	3,281	302	60	122	95
Albany	101	88	5	4	3	1
Allegany	57	54	0	1	1	1
Broome	153	116	4	1	30	2
Cattaraugus	39	36	0	0	0	3
Cayuga	45	41	4	0	0	0
Chautauqua	107	93	1	5	7	1
Chemung	151	151	0	0	0	0
Chenango	30	26	4	0	0	0
Clinton	23	21	2	0	0	0
Columbia	27	23	3	1	0	0
Cortland	30	28	1	0	1	0
Delaware	2	2	0	0	0	0
Dutchess	58	53	3	0	1	1
Erie	459	415	28	4	10	2
Essex	25	22	0	0	2	1
Franklin	16	14	0	0	1	1
Fulton	10	6	3	0	1	0
Genesee	9	9	0	0	0	0
Greene	17	14	1	0	2	0
Hamilton	0					
Herkimer	11	11	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	64	59	0	0	2	3
Lewis	7	7	0	0	0	0
Livingston	24	21	3	0	0	0
Madison	1	0	1	0	0	0
Monroe	451	392	35	4	14	6
Montgomery	24	23	1	0	0	0
Nassau	103	74	9	4	2	14
Niagara	120	108	6	2	3	1
Oneida	156	112	21	1	20	2
Onondaga	349	326	15	3	1	4
Ontario	43	39	1	0	3	0
Orange	86	78	4	1	0	3
Orleans	26	24	1	1	0	0
Oswego	50	42	3	5	0	0
Otsego	4	4	0	0	0	0
Putnam	3	3	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	114	91	16	7	0	0
Rockland	45	36	5	0	0	4
St.Lawrence	59	55	1	0	3	0
Saratoga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	21	16	3	1	1	0
Schoharie	1	1	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	14	12	1	0	1	0
Seneca	4	4	0	0	0	0
Steuben	65	57	1	0	5	2
Suffolk	400	318	33	5	5	39
Sullivan	24	21	1	2	0	0
Tioga	17	15	2	0	0	0
Tompkins	29	24	5	0	0	0
Ulster	26	18	5	2	0	1
Warren	14	12	2	0	0	0
Washington	11	11	0	0	0	0
Wayne	13	12	0	0	1	0
Westchester	112	34	67	6	2	3
Wyoming	10	9	1	0	0	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0

## NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE

## Family Assistance

## Sanctions

June 2003

Local District	Total	Employment	Child Support	Drug /Alcohol	Intentional Program Violation	Other
New York State	10,741	10,164	318	28	138	93
New York City	6,903	6,867	16	17	1	2
Rest of State	3,838	3,297	302	11	137	91
Albany	139	118	10	0	8	3
Allegany	47	46	0	0	1	0
Broome	146	105	8	0	32	1
Cattaraugus	50	48	2	0	0	0
Cayuga	45	43	2	0	0	0
Chautauqua	95	82	5	2	5	1
Chemung	170	170	0	0	0	0
Chenango	21	20	0	0	1	0
Clinton	24	22	1	0	0	1
Columbia	24	22	2	0	0	0
Cortland	33	30	2	0	1	0
Delaware	5	5	0	0	0	0
Dutchess	66	60	3	0	1	2
Erie	412	369	27	1	13	2
Essex	24	20	1	1	2	0
Franklin	26	23	0	0	2	1
Fulton	11	10	0	0	1	0
Genesee	12	12	0	0	0	0
Greene	18	16	1	1	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	21	20	1	0	0	0
Jefferson	72	64	2	0	3	3
Lewis	14	14	0	0	0	0
Livingston	26	24	2	0	0	0
Madison	1	0	1	0	0	0
Monroe	419	365	36	1	13	4
Montgomery	35	31	3	0	0	1
Nassau	95	75	9	0	1	10
Niagara	121	112	5	0	2	2
Oneida	177	117	28	0	27	5
Onondaga	355	343	7	0	2	3
Ontario	39	37	0	0	2	0
Orange	92	79	8	1	0	4
Orleans	19	17	2	0	0	0
Oswego	29	25	3	1	0	0
Otsego	6	6	0	0	0	0
Putnam	2	2	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	95	73	22	0	0	0
Rockland	42	36	4	0	0	2
St.Lawrence	72	67	1	0	4	0
Saratoga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	29	27	2	0	0	0
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	13	11	1	0	1	0
Seneca	11	11	0	0	0	0
Steuben	73	64	3	0	4	2
Suffolk	365	289	31	1	2	42
Sullivan	31	29	1	1	0	0
Tioga	29	27	2	0	0	0
Tompkins	22	17	4	0	1	0
Ulster	24	21	2	0	1	0
Warren	10	9	0	0	1	0
Washington	11	9	1	1	0	0
Wayne	20	17	0	0	3	0
Westchester	92	31	56	0	3	2
Wyoming	7	6	1	0	0	0
Yates	1	1	0	0	0	0

## NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE

Safety Net Assistance

Sanctions

September 2002

Local District	Total	Employment	Child Support	Drug /Alcohol	Intentional Program Violation	Other
New York State	10,710	9,506	139	942	85	38
New York City	7,911	7,865	30	16	0	0
Rest of State	2,799	1,641	109	926	85	38
Albany	68	32	1	32	3	0
Allegany	8	5	0	3	0	0
Broome	89	53	1	29	6	0
Cattaraugus	17	9	0	7	0	1
Cayuga	13	11	0	1	1	0
Chautauqua	83	46	2	32	3	0
Chemung	40	32	0	6	2	0
Chenango	7	6	0	1	0	0
Clinton	5	4	0	1	0	0
Columbia	9	8	0	1	0	0
Cortland	5	0	0	5	0	0
Delaware	1	0	0	1	0	0
Dutchess	23	17	0	6	0	0
Erie	388	258	16	101	10	3
Essex	3	2	0	1	0	0
Franklin	10	8	0	0	1	1
Fulton	4	3	0	1	0	0
Genesee	4	2	0	1	1	0
Greene	8	5	0	2	1	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	1	0	0	1	0	0
Jefferson	34	22	0	11	0	1
Lewis	1	1	0	0	0	0
Livingston	13	10	0	2	0	1
Madison	2	0	0	2	0	0
Monroe	840	363	20	427	20	10
Montgomery	2	2	0	0	0	0
Nassau	77	58	3	14	2	0
Niagara	108	86	2	16	2	2
Oneida	57	37	6	7	5	2
Onondaga	119	90	1	25	2	1
Ontario	11	5	1	3	2	0
Orange	73	45	6	17	4	1
Orleans	4	3	0	1	0	0
Oswego	17	6	0	10	1	0
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Putnam	4	3	0	0	0	1
Rensselaer	32	13	1	18	0	0
Rockland	40	30	1	6	0	3
St.Lawrence	35	27	0	7	1	0
Saratoga	2	0	0	2	0	0
Schenectady	13	7	0	6	0	0
Schoharie	1	1	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	2	1	0	1	0	0
Seneca	5	1	0	4	0	0
Steuben	16	14	0	1	0	1
Suffolk	256	209	7	25	13	2
Sullivan	5	3	0	2	0	0
Tioga	6	4	0	2	0	0
Tompkins	18	7	0	7	0	4
Ulster	25	10	0	15	0	0
Warren	3	3	0	0	0	0
Washington	3	0	0	3	0	0
Wayne	6	3	0	3	0	0
Westchester	180	75	41	55	5	4
Wyoming	2	1	0	1	0	0
Yates	1	0	0	1	0	0

## NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE

Safety Net Assistance

Sanctions

December 2002

Local District	Total	Employment	Child Support	Drug /Alcohol	Intentional Program Violation	Other
New York State	10,373	9,287	117	860	73	36
New York City	7,602	7,564	26	12	0	0
Rest of State	2,771	1,723	91	848	73	36
Albany	78	32	2	41	3	0
Allegany	6	3	0	3	0	0
Broome	84	50	1	28	5	0
Cattaraugus	18	14	0	4	0	0
Cayuga	17	13	0	3	1	0
Chautauqua	52	28	2	19	3	0
Chemung	43	34	0	7	2	0
Chenango	7	6	0	1	0	0
Clinton	10	7	0	3	0	0
Columbia	12	10	0	2	0	0
Cortland	10	3	0	7	0	0
Delaware	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dutchess	31	26	0	5	0	0
Erie	385	269	10	90	14	2
Essex	4	3	0	1	0	0
Franklin	11	10	0	0	0	1
Fulton	2	1	0	1	0	0
Genesee	6	5	0	1	0	0
Greene	4	2	0	2	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	4	1	0	3	0	0
Jefferson	28	18	0	10	0	0
Lewis	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	15	9	0	5	0	1
Madison	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	791	350	18	395	21	7
Montgomery	3	0	0	3	0	0
Nassau	81	56	3	19	2	1
Niagara	98	75	3	16	3	1
Oneida	71	51	6	7	6	1
Onondaga	160	135	3	21	1	0
Ontario	14	6	1	4	2	1
Orange	74	54	4	13	1	2
Orleans	4	3	0	1	0	0
Oswego	13	6	0	7	0	0
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Putnam	5	3	0	1	0	1
Rensselaer	26	11	0	15	0	0
Rockland	54	39	0	12	0	3
St.Lawrence	29	21	0	8	0	0
Saratoga	2	0	0	2	0	0
Schenectady	16	12	0	4	0	0
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	3	3	0	0	0	0
Seneca	6	4	0	1	0	1
Steuben	23	16	1	5	0	1
Suffolk	237	205	6	17	6	3
Sullivan	8	6	0	2	0	0
Tioga	7	7	0	0	0	0
Tompkins	18	7	0	7	0	4
Ulster	17	8	0	8	0	1
Warren	3	2	0	1	0	0
Washington	5	3	0	2	0	0
Wayne	4	2	0	2	0	0
Westchester	170	93	31	38	3	5
Wyoming	2	1	0	1	0	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0

## NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE

Safety Net Assistance

Sanctions

March 2003

Local District	Total	Employment	Child Support	Drug /Alcohol	Intentional Program Violation	Other
New York State	11,309	10,080	113	1,014	67	35
New York City	8,349	8,296	19	33	1	0
Rest of State	2,960	1,784	94	981	66	35
Albany	88	43	2	42	1	0
Allegany	12	11	0	1	0	0
Broome	99	67	1	22	7	2
Cattaraugus	20	14	0	6	0	0
Cayuga	16	14	0	1	1	0
Chautauqua	68	46	1	16	5	0
Chemung	52	44	0	6	2	0
Chenango	8	7	0	1	0	0
Clinton	13	10	0	3	0	0
Columbia	15	11	0	4	0	0
Cortland	11	7	0	4	0	0
Delaware	1	0	0	1	0	0
Dutchess	37	27	0	9	0	1
Erie	434	275	12	133	14	0
Essex	6	5	0	1	0	0
Franklin	16	14	0	0	1	1
Fulton	4	3	0	1	0	0
Genesee	10	8	0	2	0	0
Greene	8	4	0	4	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	2	2	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	49	23	0	26	0	0
Lewis	2	1	0	1	0	0
Livingston	19	13	1	5	0	0
Madison	3	1	0	2	0	0
Monroe	779	312	16	431	13	7
Montgomery	9	3	0	6	0	0
Nassau	85	60	4	16	3	2
Niagara	90	66	2	21	1	0
Oneida	79	48	7	20	3	1
Onondaga	164	148	4	10	1	1
Ontario	13	9	0	3	1	0
Orange	86	56	6	24	0	0
Orleans	14	8	0	6	0	0
Oswego	23	8	0	15	0	0
Otsego	6	3	0	3	0	0
Putnam	3	2	0	0	0	1
Rensselaer	23	11	0	12	0	0
Rockland	58	42	1	11	0	4
St.Lawrence	43	35	0	7	0	1
Saratoga	1	0	0	1	0	0
Schenectady	16	10	0	6	0	0
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	4	3	0	1	0	0
Seneca	6	4	0	2	0	0
Steuben	24	23	0	0	0	1
Suffolk	219	181	4	22	9	3
Sullivan	7	4	0	3	0	0
Tioga	10	10	0	0	0	0
Tompkins	25	11	1	9	0	4
Ulster	19	9	0	6	1	3
Warren	1	1	0	0	0	0
Washington	4	2	0	2	0	0
Wayne	14	11	0	3	0	0
Westchester	139	53	32	48	3	3
Wyoming	3	1	0	2	0	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0

## NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE

## Safety Net Assistance

## Sanctions

June 2003

Local District	Total	Employment	Child Support	Drug /Alcohol	Intentional Program Violation	Other
New York State	12,586	11,052	114	1,321	66	33
New York City	9,298	9,090	13	192	3	0
Rest of State	3,288	1,962	101	1,129	63	33
Albany	106	65	2	37	1	1
Allegany	18	12	0	6	0	0
Broome	119	71	1	32	12	3
Cattaraugus	23	18	0	5	0	0
Cayuga	22	18	0	4	0	0
Chautauqua	54	35	0	14	5	0
Chemung	62	52	0	8	2	0
Chenango	13	10	1	2	0	0
Clinton	13	8	0	5	0	0
Columbia	18	7	0	11	0	0
Cortland	16	12	0	4	0	0
Delaware	2	1	0	1	0	0
Dutchess	31	25	0	6	0	0
Erie	455	267	15	163	9	1
Essex	9	4	0	4	1	0
Franklin	12	12	0	0	0	0
Fulton	5	4	0	1	0	0
Genesee	11	9	0	2	0	0
Greene	13	4	0	9	0	0
Hamilton	1	0	0	1	0	0
Herkimer	4	3	0	1	0	0
Jefferson	42	31	0	10	1	0
Lewis	2	2	0	0	0	0
Livingston	18	13	0	5	0	0
Madison	1	0	0	1	0	0
Monroe	842	362	25	442	8	5
Montgomery	10	1	0	8	0	1
Nassau	98	65	4	26	1	2
Niagara	114	90	0	24	0	0
Oneida	75	47	4	16	7	1
Onondaga	160	143	6	11	0	0
Ontario	11	8	1	2	0	0
Orange	88	64	4	20	0	0
Orleans	18	16	1	1	0	0
Oswego	35	15	2	17	0	1
Otsego	1	0	0	1	0	0
Putnam	6	5	0	0	0	1
Rensselaer	27	13	1	13	0	0
Rockland	42	34	0	5	0	3
St.Lawrence	41	34	0	5	1	1
Saratoga	1	1	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	29	12	1	16	0	0
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	2	2	0	0	0	0
Seneca	4	3	0	1	0	0
Steuben	34	30	0	1	2	1
Suffolk	265	207	4	42	8	4
Sullivan	17	10	0	7	0	0
Tioga	8	7	1	0	0	0
Tompkins	23	9	0	10	0	4
Ulster	18	8	0	8	1	1
Warren	2	1	0	1	0	0
Washington	5	4	0	1	0	0
Wayne	20	14	0	6	0	0
Westchester	218	71	28	112	4	3
Wyoming	4	3	0	1	0	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0

**2003 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS**

**NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE**

Total Temporary Assistance

Administrative Hearings - Hearings Requested

July 2002 - June 2003

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/ Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/ Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	883	7,178	58,215	2,089	5,304	2,982
New York City	783	5,799	51,943	2,037	4,720	2,855
Rest of State	100	1,379	6,272	52	584	127
Albany	0	60	214	3	21	10
Allegany	0	3	13	0	2	0
Broome	0	45	127	0	6	0
Cattaraugus	0	3	24	0	0	0
Cayuga	0	1	11	0	3	0
Chautauqua	2	27	49	0	5	0
Chemung	0	5	152	0	3	1
Chenango	0	5	30	0	2	1
Clinton	0	4	6	0	1	0
Columbia	0	4	28	0	2	0
Cortland	0	2	12	0	1	0
Delaware	0	1	5	0	1	1
Dutchess	1	8	63	0	3	2
Erie	19	121	725	8	57	10
Essex	0	1	7	0	0	0
Franklin	0	1	44	0	1	0
Fulton	0	1	9	0	2	0
Genesee	0	2	12	0	3	0
Greene	0	0	1	0	2	0
Hamilton	0	0	1	0	1	0
Herkimer	1	1	6	0	2	0
Jefferson	0	18	56	0	0	1
Lewis	0	2	3	0	0	0
Livingston	0	1	26	0	0	3
Madison	0	3	2	0	0	1
Monroe	22	669	1,372	24	49	15
Montgomery	0	5	8	0	0	0
Nassau	0	51	351	6	89	21
Niagara	3	43	182	1	27	10
Oneida	5	14	94	0	4	0
Onondaga	5	43	739	3	56	10
Ontario	0	3	30	0	4	1
Orange	2	11	93	2	20	2
Orleans	0	3	32	0	3	0
Oswego	2	11	42	0	7	0
Otsego	0	0	1	0	2	0
Putnam	0	2	7	0	0	0
Rensselaer	2	5	62	0	1	0
Rockland	0	3	27	0	8	3
St.Lawrence	1	7	123	0	15	2
Saratoga	0	0	6	0	2	0
Schenectady	0	19	49	1	8	4
Schoharie	0	0	1	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	1	13	0	0	0
Seneca	0	1	4	0	0	0
Steuben	4	4	75	0	8	0
Suffolk	17	60	785	1	94	16
Sullivan	1	3	22	1	0	0
Tioga	0	0	19	0	0	0
Tompkins	1	13	26	0	2	1
Ulster	0	8	12	0	4	1
Warren	0	1	2	0	0	0
Washington	1	6	14	0	6	1
Wayne	2	2	29	0	2	1
Westchester	9	71	418	2	45	9
Wyoming	0	1	6	0	10	0
Yates	0	0	2	0	0	0

**2003 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS**

**NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE**

Total Temporary Assistance  
Administrative Hearings - Hearings Held  
July 2002 - June 2003

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/ Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/ Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	408	3,862	30,839	1,265	3,061	1,492
New York City	369	3,197	27,853	1,240	2,780	1,429
Rest of State	39	665	2,986	25	281	63
Albany	0	31	108	1	14	3
Allegany	0	1	2	0	1	0
Broome	0	24	58	0	2	0
Cattaraugus	0	1	12	0	0	0
Cayuga	0	0	2	0	0	0
Chautauqua	1	9	11	0	0	0
Chemung	0	3	44	0	1	1
Chenango	0	2	16	0	1	1
Clinton	0	0	0	0	1	0
Columbia	0	1	11	0	0	0
Cortland	0	0	4	0	0	0
Delaware	0	0	3	0	1	0
Dutchess	0	2	18	0	1	0
Erie	6	41	342	3	27	7
Essex	0	1	2	0	0	0
Franklin	0	0	26	0	2	0
Fulton	0	0	1	0	1	0
Genesee	0	0	5	0	0	0
Greene	0	0	0	0	1	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	0	2	0	1	0
Jefferson	0	10	33	0	0	0
Lewis	0	0	2	0	0	0
Livingston	0	1	9	0	0	0
Madison	0	1	0	0	0	0
Monroe	11	364	716	12	28	7
Montgomery	0	1	2	0	0	0
Nassau	1	29	199	5	48	15
Niagara	1	19	71	0	11	3
Oneida	3	5	46	0	1	0
Onondaga	3	19	392	1	38	7
Ontario	0	3	16	0	4	0
Orange	0	3	27	1	5	1
Orleans	0	0	13	0	1	0
Oswego	0	2	4	0	2	0
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	0	2	26	0	1	0
Rockland	0	1	12	0	2	0
St.Lawrence	0	1	49	0	6	1
Saratoga	0	0	5	0	0	0
Schenectady	0	8	23	0	5	1
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	1	6	0	0	0
Seneca	0	0	2	0	0	0
Steuben	2	1	13	0	1	0
Suffolk	7	30	386	0	45	10
Sullivan	0	2	11	1	0	0
Tioga	0	0	7	0	0	0
Tompkins	1	1	8	0	0	0
Ulster	0	2	3	0	1	0
Warren	0	0	2	0	0	0
Washington	0	3	1	0	2	0
Wayne	0	1	11	0	1	0
Westchester	3	38	218	1	18	6
Wyoming	0	1	6	0	7	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0

**2003 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS**

**NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE**

Total Temporary Assistance  
Administrative Hearings - Issues Affirmed  
July 2002 - June 2003

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/ Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/ Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	19	919	4,291	47	838	277
New York City	8	529	2,693	38	733	254
Rest of State	11	390	1,598	9	105	23
Albany	0	23	63	0	8	0
Allegany	0	0	0	0	0	0
Broome	0	17	43	0	1	1
Cattaraugus	0	1	9	0	0	0
Cayuga	0	0	2	0	0	0
Chautauqua	0	8	4	0	0	0
Chemung	0	1	27	0	0	0
Chenango	0	2	11	0	1	0
Clinton	0	0	2	0	1	0
Columbia	0	0	10	0	1	0
Cortland	0	0	4	0	0	0
Delaware	0	0	5	0	1	0
Dutchess	0	2	16	0	2	0
Erie	2	18	140	0	5	1
Essex	0	1	2	0	0	0
Franklin	0	0	17	0	1	0
Fulton	0	0	1	0	0	0
Genesee	0	0	4	0	1	0
Greene	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	2	0	0	2	0
Jefferson	0	5	22	0	0	0
Lewis	0	0	2	0	0	0
Livingston	0	1	1	0	0	0
Madison	0	1	0	0	0	0
Monroe	2	206	299	6	1	3
Montgomery	0	2	2	0	0	0
Nassau	1	11	105	2	24	7
Niagara	0	10	27	0	3	1
Oneida	2	4	39	0	1	0
Onondaga	2	8	266	1	9	4
Ontario	0	2	11	0	2	0
Orange	0	0	19	0	3	1
Orleans	0	1	4	0	0	0
Oswego	0	2	6	0	1	0
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	0	2	14	0	0	0
Rockland	0	0	9	0	1	0
St.Lawrence	0	1	43	0	5	1
Saratoga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	0	8	12	0	4	1
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	1	4	0	0	0
Seneca	0	0	1	0	0	0
Steuben	0	1	3	0	1	0
Suffolk	0	15	183	0	16	0
Sullivan	0	1	11	0	0	0
Tioga	0	0	2	0	0	0
Tompkins	1	1	6	0	0	0
Ulster	0	1	2	0	1	0
Warren	0	0	2	0	0	0
Washington	0	4	2	0	1	0
Wayne	0	1	5	0	1	0
Westchester	1	25	133	0	5	3
Wyoming	0	1	3	0	2	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0

**2003 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS**

**NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE**

Total Temporary Assistance  
Administrative Hearings - Issues Reversed  
July 2002 - June 2003

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/ Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/ Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	198	1,453	9,423	1,001	1,223	537
New York City	194	1,403	9,073	997	1,198	532
Rest of State	4	50	350	4	25	5
Albany	0	0	5	0	2	1
Allegany	0	0	2	0	1	0
Broome	0	1	3	0	0	0
Cattaraugus	0	0	1	0	0	0
Cayuga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chautauqua	0	1	3	0	0	0
Chemung	0	0	6	0	0	0
Chenango	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clinton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Columbia	0	1	0	0	0	0
Cortland	0	0	0	0	0	0
Delaware	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dutchess	0	0	6	0	0	0
Erie	0	5	66	1	7	2
Essex	0	0	0	0	0	0
Franklin	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fulton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Genesee	0	0	1	0	0	0
Greene	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	0	1	0	0	0
Jefferson	0	2	4	0	0	0
Lewis	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	0	0	2	0	0	0
Madison	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	1	26	75	1	4	0
Montgomery	0	0	1	0	0	0
Nassau	0	4	21	1	3	1
Niagara	1	4	11	0	2	0
Oneida	1	0	2	0	0	0
Onondaga	0	1	15	0	2	1
Ontario	0	0	1	0	0	0
Orange	0	1	2	0	0	0
Orleans	0	0	2	0	0	0
Oswego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	0	0	8	0	1	0
Rockland	0	0	0	0	0	0
St.Lawrence	0	0	0	0	0	0
Saratoga	0	0	2	0	0	0
Schenectady	0	0	6	0	0	0
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	0	2	0	0	0
Seneca	0	0	0	0	0	0
Steuben	0	0	2	0	0	0
Suffolk	1	2	68	0	1	0
Sullivan	0	0	1	0	0	0
Tioga	0	0	2	0	0	0
Tompkins	0	0	1	0	0	0
Ulster	0	0	0	0	0	0
Warren	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	0	1	0	0	0
Wayne	0	0	2	0	0	0
Westchester	0	2	23	1	1	0
Wyoming	0	0	2	0	1	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0

**2003 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS**

**NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE**

Total Temporary Assistance  
 Administrative Hearings - Issues Withdrawn  
 July 2002 - June 2003

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/ Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/ Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	143	1,214	13,619	80	178	88
New York City	128	1,050	12,876	72	97	67
Rest of State	15	164	743	8	81	21
Albany	0	9	25	1	3	2
Allegany	0	1	0	0	0	0
Broome	0	1	2	0	0	0
Cattaraugus	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cayuga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chautauqua	1	1	0	0	0	0
Chemung	0	0	8	0	0	1
Chenango	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clinton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Columbia	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cortland	0	0	0	0	0	0
Delaware	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dutchess	0	0	0	0	0	0
Erie	0	16	108	2	5	3
Essex	0	0	0	0	0	0
Franklin	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fulton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Genesee	0	0	0	0	0	0
Greene	0	0	0	0	1	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	0	1	7	0	0	0
Lewis	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	0	0	2	0	0	0
Madison	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	5	94	268	3	14	2
Montgomery	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nassau	0	9	59	1	9	5
Niagara	0	3	22	0	4	1
Oneida	0	1	6	0	0	0
Onondaga	1	11	88	0	16	1
Ontario	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orange	0	0	4	0	2	0
Orleans	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oswego	0	0	0	0	1	0
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	0	0	3	0	0	0
Rockland	0	0	3	0	1	0
St.Lawrence	0	0	4	0	2	0
Saratoga	0	0	1	0	0	0
Schenectady	0	1	3	0	1	0
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	0
Seneca	0	0	0	0	0	0
Steuben	2	0	2	0	0	0
Suffolk	5	9	94	0	17	5
Sullivan	0	3	2	1	0	0
Tioga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tompkins	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ulster	0	0	0	0	0	0
Warren	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wayne	0	0	0	0	0	0
Westchester	1	4	32	0	5	1
Wyoming	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0

**2003 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS**

**NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE**

Total Temporary Assistance  
 Administrative Hearings - Agency Correct When Made  
 July 2002 - June 2003

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/ Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/ Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	7	182	1,231	0	133	22
New York City	5	152	1,043	0	113	20
Rest of State	2	30	188	0	20	2
Albany	0	0	4	0	0	0
Allegany	0	0	0	0	0	0
Broome	0	2	4	0	0	0
Cattaraugus	0	0	2	0	0	0
Cayuga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chautauqua	0	0	2	0	0	0
Chemung	0	0	5	0	1	0
Chenango	0	0	5	0	0	0
Clinton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Columbia	0	0	2	0	0	0
Cortland	0	0	0	0	0	0
Delaware	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dutchess	0	0	0	0	0	0
Erie	1	0	15	0	3	0
Essex	0	0	0	0	0	0
Franklin	0	0	2	0	0	0
Fulton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Genesee	0	0	0	0	0	0
Greene	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	0	1	0	0	0
Jefferson	0	0	2	0	0	0
Lewis	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	0	0	0	0	0	0
Madison	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	0	19	34	0	3	0
Montgomery	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nassau	0	2	10	0	4	1
Niagara	0	3	1	0	2	0
Oneida	0	0	0	0	0	0
Onondaga	0	0	23	0	1	0
Ontario	0	0	2	0	0	0
Orange	0	1	1	0	0	0
Orleans	0	0	2	0	0	0
Oswego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	0	0	1	0	0	0
Rockland	0	1	0	0	0	0
St.Lawrence	0	0	3	0	0	0
Saratoga	0	0	2	0	0	0
Schenectady	0	0	2	0	0	0
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	0
Seneca	0	0	0	0	0	0
Steuben	0	0	1	0	0	0
Suffolk	1	1	31	0	1	1
Sullivan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tioga	0	0	1	0	0	0
Tompkins	0	0	2	0	0	0
Ulster	0	0	1	0	0	0
Warren	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wayne	0	0	2	0	0	0
Westchester	0	1	22	0	3	0
Wyoming	0	0	3	0	2	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0

2003 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE

Total Temporary Assistance  
 Administrative Hearings - Other Disposition  
 July 2002 - June 2003

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/ Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/ Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	33	84	2,033	162	670	559
New York City	27	61	1,881	159	613	545
Rest of State	6	23	152	3	57	14
Albany	0	1	7	0	1	0
Allegany	0	0	0	0	0	0
Broome	0	0	4	0	0	0
Cattaraugus	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cayuga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chautauqua	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chemung	0	0	2	0	0	0
Chenango	0	0	1	0	0	1
Clinton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Columbia	0	0	2	0	0	0
Cortland	0	0	0	0	0	0
Delaware	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dutchess	0	0	0	0	0	0
Erie	2	1	21	0	6	1
Essex	0	0	0	0	0	0
Franklin	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fulton	0	0	0	0	1	0
Genesee	0	0	1	0	0	0
Greene	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	0	1	0	0	0	0
Lewis	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	0	0	0	0	0	0
Madison	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	3	14	47	2	7	2
Montgomery	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nassau	0	2	5	0	8	1
Niagara	0	0	3	0	2	1
Oneida	0	0	1	0	0	0
Onondaga	0	0	16	0	14	2
Ontario	0	0	2	0	1	0
Orange	0	1	2	1	0	0
Orleans	0	0	2	0	0	0
Oswego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rockland	0	0	0	0	0	0
St.Lawrence	0	0	3	0	0	0
Saratoga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	0
Seneca	0	0	0	0	0	0
Steuben	0	0	1	0	0	0
Suffolk	0	0	25	0	11	4
Sullivan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tioga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tompkins	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ulster	0	1	0	0	0	0
Warren	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	0	0	0	1	0
Wayne	0	0	0	0	0	0
Westchester	1	2	7	0	4	2
Wyoming	0	0	0	0	1	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0

**2003 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS**

**NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE**

Family Assistance Program

Administrative Hearings - Hearings Requested

July 2002 - June 2003

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/ Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/ Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	821	1,767	35,103	1,895	1,547	2,243
New York City	730	949	31,887	1,854	1,341	2,177
Rest of State	91	818	3,216	41	206	66
Albany	0	17	72	1	6	6
Allegany	0	2	4	0	0	0
Broome	0	35	36	0	3	0
Cattaraugus	0	2	11	0	0	0
Cayuga	0	1	9	0	3	0
Chautauqua	2	16	8	0	1	0
Chemung	0	4	85	0	3	1
Chenango	0	3	9	0	1	1
Clinton	0	2	5	0	0	0
Columbia	0	1	11	0	0	0
Cortland	0	0	10	0	1	0
Delaware	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dutchess	0	2	24	0	0	2
Erie	17	75	490	7	29	7
Essex	0	1	2	0	0	0
Franklin	0	0	6	0	0	0
Fulton	0	1	5	0	0	0
Genesee	0	1	3	0	0	0
Greene	0	0	1	0	1	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	0	5	0	0	0
Jefferson	0	10	33	0	0	1
Lewis	0	0	1	0	0	0
Livingston	0	0	18	0	0	2
Madison	0	2	0	0	0	1
Monroe	22	459	799	21	21	10
Montgomery	0	0	3	0	0	0
Nassau	0	15	155	3	27	6
Niagara	3	31	105	1	14	5
Oneida	5	8	35	0	1	0
Onondaga	3	10	409	2	22	7
Ontario	0	1	10	0	1	1
Orange	2	6	34	1	9	0
Orleans	0	0	21	0	1	0
Oswego	2	8	29	0	4	0
Otsego	0	0	1	0	0	0
Putnam	0	1	2	0	0	0
Rensselaer	2	1	32	0	1	0
Rockland	0	1	8	0	2	1
St.Lawrence	1	4	41	0	3	1
Saratoga	0	0	2	0	1	0
Schenectady	0	10	16	1	2	0
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	0	6	0	0	0
Seneca	0	0	2	0	0	0
Steuben	4	3	38	0	1	0
Suffolk	16	22	413	1	25	6
Sullivan	1	0	14	1	0	0
Tioga	0	0	9	0	0	0
Tompkins	1	12	11	0	1	1
Ulster	0	8	7	0	1	1
Warren	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	1	1	9	0	4	1
Wayne	1	2	3	0	0	1
Westchester	8	40	148	2	15	4
Wyoming	0	0	4	0	2	0
Yates	0	0	2	0	0	0

2003 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE

Family Assistance Program  
 Administrative Hearings - Hearings Held  
 July 2002 - June 2003

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/ Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/ Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	385	921	18,463	1,148	885	1,132
New York City	349	528	16,951	1,129	786	1,101
Rest of State	36	393	1,512	19	99	31
Albany	0	12	28	0	4	1
Allegany	0	1	0	0	0	0
Broome	0	21	19	0	1	0
Cattaraugus	0	0	6	0	0	0
Cayuga	0	0	2	0	0	0
Chautauqua	1	5	0	0	0	0
Chemung	0	3	21	0	1	1
Chenango	0	1	6	0	1	1
Clinton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Columbia	0	0	6	0	0	0
Cortland	0	0	4	0	0	0
Delaware	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dutchess	0	0	7	0	0	0
Erie	4	24	222	3	15	4
Essex	0	1	0	0	0	0
Franklin	0	0	4	0	0	0
Fulton	0	0	1	0	0	0
Genesee	0	0	3	0	0	0
Greene	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	0	2	0	0	0
Jefferson	0	7	18	0	0	0
Lewis	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	0	0	3	0	0	0
Madison	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	11	242	428	10	13	5
Montgomery	0	0	1	0	0	0
Nassau	1	6	93	3	16	6
Niagara	1	11	48	0	3	1
Oneida	3	3	20	0	0	0
Onondaga	2	7	184	1	12	4
Ontario	0	1	8	0	1	0
Orange	0	0	6	0	3	0
Orleans	0	0	11	0	1	0
Oswego	0	1	2	0	0	0
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	0	1	11	0	1	0
Rockland	0	1	5	0	0	0
St.Lawrence	0	1	16	0	3	1
Saratoga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	0	3	7	0	2	0
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	0	6	0	0	0
Seneca	0	0	2	0	0	0
Steuben	2	1	7	0	0	0
Suffolk	7	11	207	0	14	4
Sullivan	0	0	10	1	0	0
Tioga	0	0	5	0	0	0
Tompkins	1	1	2	0	0	0
Ulster	0	2	1	0	0	0
Warren	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	1	1	0	1	0
Wayne	0	1	0	0	0	0
Westchester	3	24	76	1	4	3
Wyoming	0	0	3	0	3	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0

**2003 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS**

**NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE**

Family Assistance Program  
 Administrative Hearings - Issues Affirmed  
 July 2002 - June 2003

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/ Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/ Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	18	323	2,381	39	239	192
New York City	8	87	1,625	32	208	181
Rest of State	10	236	756	7	31	11
Albany	0	10	15	0	1	0
Allegany	0	0	0	0	0	0
Broome	0	14	17	0	0	1
Cattaraugus	0	0	4	0	0	0
Cayuga	0	0	2	0	0	0
Chautauqua	0	4	0	0	0	0
Chemung	0	1	13	0	0	0
Chenango	0	1	5	0	1	0
Clinton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Columbia	0	0	2	0	0	0
Cortland	0	0	4	0	0	0
Delaware	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dutchess	0	0	8	0	0	0
Erie	2	12	82	0	2	0
Essex	0	1	0	0	0	0
Franklin	0	0	2	0	0	0
Fulton	0	0	1	0	0	0
Genesee	0	0	3	0	1	0
Greene	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	2	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	0	3	16	0	0	0
Lewis	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	0	0	1	0	0	0
Madison	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	2	137	180	5	0	2
Montgomery	0	0	1	0	0	0
Nassau	1	3	48	1	8	3
Niagara	0	7	20	0	0	0
Oneida	2	3	13	0	0	0
Onondaga	1	4	112	1	4	2
Ontario	0	1	7	0	0	0
Orange	0	0	4	0	3	0
Orleans	0	0	4	0	0	0
Oswego	0	1	2	0	0	0
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	0	1	6	0	0	0
Rockland	0	0	4	0	0	0
St.Lawrence	0	1	11	0	3	1
Saratoga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	0	4	4	0	1	0
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	0	4	0	0	0
Seneca	0	0	1	0	0	0
Steuben	0	1	2	0	0	0
Suffolk	0	7	92	0	4	0
Sullivan	0	0	8	0	0	0
Tioga	0	0	2	0	0	0
Tompkins	1	1	3	0	0	0
Ulster	0	1	1	0	0	0
Warren	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	2	1	0	0	0
Wayne	0	1	0	0	0	0
Westchester	1	13	48	0	1	2
Wyoming	0	0	3	0	2	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0

**2003 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS**

**NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE**

Family Assistance Program  
 Administrative Hearings - Issues Reversed  
 July 2002 - June 2003

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/ Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/ Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	182	272	5,475	933	333	419
New York City	178	248	5,291	930	324	417
Rest of State	4	24	184	3	9	2
Albany	0	0	1	0	1	1
Allegany	0	0	0	0	0	0
Broome	0	1	2	0	0	0
Cattaraugus	0	0	1	0	0	0
Cayuga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chautauqua	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chemung	0	0	4	0	0	0
Chenango	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clinton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Columbia	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cortland	0	0	0	0	0	0
Delaware	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dutchess	0	0	1	0	0	0
Erie	0	2	37	1	4	1
Essex	0	0	0	0	0	0
Franklin	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fulton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Genesee	0	0	0	0	0	0
Greene	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	0	1	0	0	0
Jefferson	0	1	2	0	0	0
Lewis	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	0	0	0	0	0	0
Madison	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	1	14	44	1	1	0
Montgomery	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nassau	0	1	9	0	0	0
Niagara	1	3	6	0	1	0
Oneida	1	0	2	0	0	0
Onondaga	0	0	10	0	0	0
Ontario	0	0	1	0	0	0
Orange	0	0	2	0	0	0
Orleans	0	0	2	0	0	0
Oswego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	0	0	4	0	1	0
Rockland	0	0	0	0	0	0
St.Lawrence	0	0	0	0	0	0
Saratoga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	0	0	3	0	0	0
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	0	2	0	0	0
Seneca	0	0	0	0	0	0
Steuben	0	0	0	0	0	0
Suffolk	1	1	42	0	1	0
Sullivan	0	0	1	0	0	0
Tioga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tompkins	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ulster	0	0	0	0	0	0
Warren	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	0	1	0	0	0
Wayne	0	0	0	0	0	0
Westchester	0	1	6	1	0	0
Wyoming	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0

**2003 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS**

**NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE**

Family Assistance Program

Administrative Hearings - Issues Withdrawn

July 2002 - June 2003

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/ Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/ Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	141	242	8,717	69	63	56
New York City	126	153	8,310	63	31	46
Rest of State	15	89	407	6	32	10
Albany	0	3	7	0	1	0
Allegany	0	1	0	0	0	0
Broome	0	1	2	0	0	0
Cattaraugus	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cayuga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chautauqua	1	1	0	0	0	0
Chemung	0	0	1	0	0	1
Chenango	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clinton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Columbia	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cortland	0	0	0	0	0	0
Delaware	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dutchess	0	0	0	0	0	0
Erie	0	9	78	2	3	2
Essex	0	0	0	0	0	0
Franklin	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fulton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Genesee	0	0	0	0	0	0
Greene	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	0	1	0	0	0	0
Lewis	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	0	0	0	0	0	0
Madison	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	5	63	154	2	8	1
Montgomery	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nassau	0	2	32	1	3	2
Niagara	0	0	18	0	1	0
Oneida	0	0	4	0	0	0
Onondaga	1	3	44	0	6	1
Ontario	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orange	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orleans	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oswego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rockland	0	0	1	0	0	0
St.Lawrence	0	0	3	0	1	0
Saratoga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	0	0	0	0	1	0
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	0
Seneca	0	0	0	0	0	0
Steuben	2	0	2	0	0	0
Suffolk	5	2	47	0	5	2
Sullivan	0	0	2	1	0	0
Tioga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tompkins	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ulster	0	0	0	0	0	0
Warren	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wayne	0	0	0	0	0	0
Westchester	1	3	12	0	3	1
Wyoming	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0

**2003 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS**

**NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE**

Family Assistance Program

Administrative Hearings - Agency Correct When Made

July 2002 - June 2003

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/ Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/ Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	7	182	1,231	0	133	22
New York City	5	152	1,043	0	113	20
Rest of State	2	30	188	0	20	2
Albany	0	0	4	0	0	0
Allegany	0	0	0	0	0	0
Broome	0	2	4	0	0	0
Cattaraugus	0	0	2	0	0	0
Cayuga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chautauqua	0	0	2	0	0	0
Chemung	0	0	5	0	1	0
Chenango	0	0	5	0	0	0
Clinton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Columbia	0	0	2	0	0	0
Cortland	0	0	0	0	0	0
Delaware	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dutchess	0	0	0	0	0	0
Erie	1	0	15	0	3	0
Essex	0	0	0	0	0	0
Franklin	0	0	2	0	0	0
Fulton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Genesee	0	0	0	0	0	0
Greene	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	0	1	0	0	0
Jefferson	0	0	2	0	0	0
Lewis	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	0	0	0	0	0	0
Madison	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	0	19	34	0	3	0
Montgomery	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nassau	0	2	10	0	4	1
Niagara	0	3	1	0	2	0
Oneida	0	0	0	0	0	0
Onondaga	0	0	23	0	1	0
Ontario	0	0	2	0	0	0
Orange	0	1	1	0	0	0
Orleans	0	0	2	0	0	0
Oswego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	0	0	1	0	0	0
Rockland	0	1	0	0	0	0
St.Lawrence	0	0	3	0	0	0
Saratoga	0	0	2	0	0	0
Schenectady	0	0	2	0	0	0
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	0
Seneca	0	0	0	0	0	0
Steuben	0	0	1	0	0	0
Suffolk	1	1	31	0	1	1
Sullivan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tioga	0	0	1	0	0	0
Tompkins	0	0	2	0	0	0
Ulster	0	0	1	0	0	0
Warren	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wayne	0	0	2	0	0	0
Westchester	0	1	22	0	3	0
Wyoming	0	0	3	0	2	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0

**2003 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS**

**NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE**

Family Assistance Program

Administrative Hearings - Other Disposition

July 2002 - June 2003

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/ Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/ Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	28	31	1,179	137	216	441
New York City	24	14	1,078	135	195	432
Rest of State	4	17	101	2	21	9
Albany	0	0	1	0	1	0
Allegany	0	0	0	0	0	0
Broome	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cattaraugus	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cayuga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chautauqua	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chemung	0	0	2	0	0	0
Chenango	0	0	0	0	0	1
Clinton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Columbia	0	0	2	0	0	0
Cortland	0	0	0	0	0	0
Delaware	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dutchess	0	0	0	0	0	0
Erie	0	0	18	0	4	1
Essex	0	0	0	0	0	0
Franklin	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fulton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Genesee	0	0	1	0	0	0
Greene	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	0	1	0	0	0	0
Lewis	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	0	0	0	0	0	0
Madison	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	3	13	36	2	1	2
Montgomery	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nassau	0	0	2	0	4	1
Niagara	0	0	2	0	1	1
Oneida	0	0	1	0	0	0
Onondaga	0	0	10	0	3	1
Ontario	0	0	2	0	1	0
Orange	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orleans	0	0	2	0	0	0
Oswego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rockland	0	0	0	0	0	0
St.Lawrence	0	0	3	0	0	0
Saratoga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	0
Seneca	0	0	0	0	0	0
Steuben	0	0	0	0	0	0
Suffolk	0	0	19	0	5	2
Sullivan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tioga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tompkins	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ulster	0	1	0	0	0	0
Warren	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	0	0	0	1	0
Wayne	0	0	0	0	0	0
Westchester	1	2	0	0	0	0
Wyoming	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0

**2003 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS**

**NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE**

Safety Net Assistance Program

Administrative Hearings - Hearings Requested

July 2002 - June 2003

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/ Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/ Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	62	5,411	23,112	194	3,757	739
New York City	53	4,850	20,056	183	3,379	678
Rest of State	9	561	3,056	11	378	61
Albany	0	43	142	2	15	4
Allegany	0	1	9	0	2	0
Broome	0	10	91	0	3	0
Cattaraugus	0	1	13	0	0	0
Cayuga	0	0	2	0	0	0
Chautauqua	0	11	41	0	4	0
Chemung	0	1	67	0	0	0
Chenango	0	2	21	0	1	0
Clinton	0	2	1	0	1	0
Columbia	0	3	17	0	2	0
Cortland	0	2	2	0	0	0
Delaware	0	1	5	0	1	1
Dutchess	1	6	39	0	3	0
Erie	2	46	235	1	28	3
Essex	0	0	5	0	0	0
Franklin	0	1	38	0	1	0
Fulton	0	0	4	0	2	0
Genesee	0	1	9	0	3	0
Greene	0	0	0	0	1	0
Hamilton	0	0	1	0	1	0
Herkimer	1	1	1	0	2	0
Jefferson	0	8	23	0	0	0
Lewis	0	2	2	0	0	0
Livingston	0	1	8	0	0	1
Madison	0	1	2	0	0	0
Monroe	0	210	573	3	28	5
Montgomery	0	5	5	0	0	0
Nassau	0	36	196	3	62	15
Niagara	0	12	77	0	13	5
Oneida	0	6	59	0	3	0
Onondaga	2	33	330	1	34	3
Ontario	0	2	20	0	3	0
Orange	0	5	59	1	11	2
Orleans	0	3	11	0	2	0
Oswego	0	3	13	0	3	0
Otsego	0	0	0	0	2	0
Putnam	0	1	5	0	0	0
Rensselaer	0	4	30	0	0	0
Rockland	0	2	19	0	6	2
St.Lawrence	0	3	82	0	12	1
Saratoga	0	0	4	0	1	0
Schenectady	0	9	33	0	6	4
Schoharie	0	0	1	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	1	7	0	0	0
Seneca	0	1	2	0	0	0
Steuben	0	1	37	0	7	0
Suffolk	1	38	372	0	69	10
Sullivan	0	3	8	0	0	0
Tioga	0	0	10	0	0	0
Tompkins	0	1	15	0	1	0
Ulster	0	0	5	0	3	0
Warren	0	1	2	0	0	0
Washington	0	5	5	0	2	0
Wayne	1	0	26	0	2	0
Westchester	1	31	270	0	30	5
Wyoming	0	1	2	0	8	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0

**2003 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS**

**NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE**

Safety Net Assistance Program  
 Administrative Hearings - Hearings Held  
 July 2002 - June 2003

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/ Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/ Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	23	2,941	12,376	117	2,176	360
New York City	20	2,669	10,902	111	1,994	328
Rest of State	3	272	1,474	6	182	32
Albany	0	19	80	1	10	2
Allegany	0	0	2	0	1	0
Broome	0	3	39	0	1	0
Cattaraugus	0	1	6	0	0	0
Cayuga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chautauqua	0	4	11	0	0	0
Chemung	0	0	23	0	0	0
Chenango	0	1	10	0	0	0
Clinton	0	0	0	0	1	0
Columbia	0	1	5	0	0	0
Cortland	0	0	0	0	0	0
Delaware	0	0	3	0	1	0
Dutchess	0	2	11	0	1	0
Erie	2	17	120	0	12	3
Essex	0	0	2	0	0	0
Franklin	0	0	22	0	2	0
Fulton	0	0	0	0	1	0
Genesee	0	0	2	0	0	0
Greene	0	0	0	0	1	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	0	0	0	1	0
Jefferson	0	3	15	0	0	0
Lewis	0	0	2	0	0	0
Livingston	0	1	6	0	0	0
Madison	0	1	0	0	0	0
Monroe	0	122	288	2	15	2
Montgomery	0	1	1	0	0	0
Nassau	0	23	106	2	32	9
Niagara	0	8	23	0	8	2
Oneida	0	2	26	0	1	0
Onondaga	1	12	208	0	26	3
Ontario	0	2	8	0	3	0
Orange	0	3	21	1	2	1
Orleans	0	0	2	0	0	0
Oswego	0	1	2	0	2	0
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	0	1	15	0	0	0
Rockland	0	0	7	0	2	0
St.Lawrence	0	0	33	0	3	0
Saratoga	0	0	5	0	0	0
Schenectady	0	5	16	0	3	1
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	1	0	0	0	0
Seneca	0	0	0	0	0	0
Steuben	0	0	6	0	1	0
Suffolk	0	19	179	0	31	6
Sullivan	0	2	1	0	0	0
Tioga	0	0	2	0	0	0
Tompkins	0	0	6	0	0	0
Ulster	0	0	2	0	1	0
Warren	0	0	2	0	0	0
Washington	0	2	0	0	1	0
Wayne	0	0	11	0	1	0
Westchester	0	14	142	0	14	3
Wyoming	0	1	3	0	4	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0

**2003 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS**

**NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE**

Safety Net Assistance Program  
 Administrative Hearings - Decisions Affirmed  
 July 2002 - June 2003

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/ Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/ Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	1	596	1,910	8	599	85
New York City	0	442	1,068	6	525	73
Rest of State	1	154	842	2	74	12
Albany	0	13	48	0	7	0
Allegany	0	0	0	0	0	0
Broome	0	3	26	0	1	0
Cattaraugus	0	1	5	0	0	0
Cayuga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chautauqua	0	4	4	0	0	0
Chemung	0	0	14	0	0	0
Chenango	0	1	6	0	0	0
Clinton	0	0	2	0	1	0
Columbia	0	0	8	0	1	0
Cortland	0	0	0	0	0	0
Delaware	0	0	5	0	1	0
Dutchess	0	2	8	0	2	0
Erie	0	6	58	0	3	1
Essex	0	0	2	0	0	0
Franklin	0	0	15	0	1	0
Fulton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Genesee	0	0	1	0	0	0
Greene	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	0	0	0	2	0
Jefferson	0	2	6	0	0	0
Lewis	0	0	2	0	0	0
Livingston	0	1	0	0	0	0
Madison	0	1	0	0	0	0
Monroe	0	69	119	1	1	1
Montgomery	0	2	1	0	0	0
Nassau	0	8	57	1	16	4
Niagara	0	3	7	0	3	1
Oneida	0	1	26	0	1	0
Onondaga	1	4	154	0	5	2
Ontario	0	1	4	0	2	0
Orange	0	0	15	0	0	1
Orleans	0	1	0	0	0	0
Oswego	0	1	4	0	1	0
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	0	1	8	0	0	0
Rockland	0	0	5	0	1	0
St.Lawrence	0	0	32	0	2	0
Saratoga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	0	4	8	0	3	1
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	1	0	0	0	0
Seneca	0	0	0	0	0	0
Steuben	0	0	1	0	1	0
Suffolk	0	8	91	0	12	0
Sullivan	0	1	3	0	0	0
Tioga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tompkins	0	0	3	0	0	0
Ulster	0	0	1	0	1	0
Warren	0	0	2	0	0	0
Washington	0	2	1	0	1	0
Wayne	0	0	5	0	1	0
Westchester	0	12	85	0	4	1
Wyoming	0	1	0	0	0	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0

2003 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE

Safety Net Assistance Program  
 Administrative Hearings - Decisions Reversed  
 July 2002 - June 2003

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/ Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/ Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	16	1,181	3,948	68	890	118
New York City	16	1,155	3,782	67	874	115
Rest of State	0	26	166	1	16	3
Albany	0	0	4	0	1	0
Allegany	0	0	2	0	1	0
Broome	0	0	1	0	0	0
Cattaraugus	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cayuga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chautauqua	0	1	3	0	0	0
Chemung	0	0	2	0	0	0
Chenango	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clinton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Columbia	0	1	0	0	0	0
Cortland	0	0	0	0	0	0
Delaware	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dutchess	0	0	5	0	0	0
Erie	0	3	29	0	3	1
Essex	0	0	0	0	0	0
Franklin	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fulton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Genesee	0	0	1	0	0	0
Greene	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	0	1	2	0	0	0
Lewis	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	0	0	2	0	0	0
Madison	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	0	12	31	0	3	0
Montgomery	0	0	1	0	0	0
Nassau	0	3	12	1	3	1
Niagara	0	1	5	0	1	0
Oneida	0	0	0	0	0	0
Onondaga	0	1	5	0	2	1
Ontario	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orange	0	1	0	0	0	0
Orleans	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oswego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	0	0	4	0	0	0
Rockland	0	0	0	0	0	0
St.Lawrence	0	0	0	0	0	0
Saratoga	0	0	2	0	0	0
Schenectady	0	0	3	0	0	0
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	0
Seneca	0	0	0	0	0	0
Steuben	0	0	2	0	0	0
Suffolk	0	1	26	0	0	0
Sullivan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tioga	0	0	2	0	0	0
Tompkins	0	0	1	0	0	0
Ulster	0	0	0	0	0	0
Warren	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wayne	0	0	2	0	0	0
Westchester	0	1	17	0	1	0
Wyoming	0	0	2	0	1	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0

2003 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE

Safety Net Assistance Program  
 Administrative Hearings - Requests Withdrawn  
 July 2002 - June 2003

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/ Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/ Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	2	972	4,902	11	115	32
New York City	2	897	4,566	9	66	21
Rest of State	0	75	336	2	49	11
Albany	0	6	18	1	2	2
Allegany	0	0	0	0	0	0
Broome	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cattaraugus	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cayuga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chautauqua	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chemung	0	0	7	0	0	0
Chenango	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clinton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Columbia	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cortland	0	0	0	0	0	0
Delaware	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dutchess	0	0	0	0	0	0
Erie	0	7	30	0	2	1
Essex	0	0	0	0	0	0
Franklin	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fulton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Genesee	0	0	0	0	0	0
Greene	0	0	0	0	1	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	0	0	7	0	0	0
Lewis	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	0	0	2	0	0	0
Madison	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	0	31	114	1	6	1
Montgomery	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nassau	0	7	27	0	6	3
Niagara	0	3	4	0	3	1
Oneida	0	1	2	0	0	0
Onondaga	0	8	44	0	10	0
Ontario	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orange	0	0	4	0	2	0
Orleans	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oswego	0	0	0	0	1	0
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	0	0	3	0	0	0
Rockland	0	0	2	0	1	0
St.Lawrence	0	0	1	0	1	0
Saratoga	0	0	1	0	0	0
Schenectady	0	1	3	0	0	0
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	0
Seneca	0	0	0	0	0	0
Steuben	0	0	0	0	0	0
Suffolk	0	7	47	0	12	3
Sullivan	0	3	0	0	0	0
Tioga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tompkins	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ulster	0	0	0	0	0	0
Warren	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wayne	0	0	0	0	0	0
Westchester	0	1	20	0	2	0
Wyoming	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0

**2003 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS**

**NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE**

Safety Net Assistance Program  
 Administrative Hearings - Agency Correct When Made  
 July 2002 - June 2003

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/ Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/ Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	0	142	620	0	100	5
New York City	0	129	529	0	86	3
Rest of State	0	13	91	0	14	2
Albany	0	0	4	0	0	0
Allegany	0	0	0	0	0	0
Broome	0	0	4	0	0	0
Cattaraugus	0	0	1	0	0	0
Cayuga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chautauqua	0	0	2	0	0	0
Chemung	0	0	4	0	0	0
Chenango	0	0	3	0	0	0
Clinton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Columbia	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cortland	0	0	0	0	0	0
Delaware	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dutchess	0	0	0	0	0	0
Erie	0	0	4	0	1	0
Essex	0	0	0	0	0	0
Franklin	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fulton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Genesee	0	0	0	0	0	0
Greene	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lewis	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	0	0	0	0	0	0
Madison	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	0	8	10	0	1	0
Montgomery	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nassau	0	2	6	0	3	1
Niagara	0	1	1	0	2	0
Oneida	0	0	0	0	0	0
Onondaga	0	0	11	0	1	0
Ontario	0	0	2	0	0	0
Orange	0	1	0	0	0	0
Orleans	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oswego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rockland	0	0	0	0	0	0
St.Lawrence	0	0	2	0	0	0
Saratoga	0	0	2	0	0	0
Schenectady	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	0
Seneca	0	0	2	0	0	0
Steuben	0	0	0	0	0	0
Suffolk	0	1	13	0	1	1
Sullivan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tioga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tompkins	0	0	2	0	0	0
Ulster	0	0	1	0	0	0
Warren	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wayne	0	0	2	0	0	0
Westchester	0	0	12	0	3	0
Wyoming	0	0	3	0	2	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0

**2003 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS**

**NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE**

Safety Net Assistance Program  
 Administrative Hearings - Other Dispositions  
 July 2002 - June 2003

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/ Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/ Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	5	53	854	25	454	118
New York City	3	47	803	24	418	113
Rest of State	2	6	51	1	36	5
Albany	0	1	6	0	0	0
Allegany	0	0	0	0	0	0
Broome	0	0	4	0	0	0
Cattaraugus	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cayuga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chautauqua	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chemung	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chenango	0	0	1	0	0	0
Clinton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Columbia	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cortland	0	0	0	0	0	0
Delaware	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dutchess	0	0	0	0	0	0
Erie	2	1	3	0	2	0
Essex	0	0	0	0	0	0
Franklin	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fulton	0	0	0	0	1	0
Genesee	0	0	0	0	0	0
Greene	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lewis	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	0	0	0	0	0	0
Madison	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	0	1	11	0	6	0
Montgomery	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nassau	0	2	3	0	4	0
Niagara	0	0	1	0	1	0
Oneida	0	0	0	0	0	0
Onondaga	0	0	6	0	11	1
Ontario	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orange	0	1	2	1	0	0
Orleans	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oswego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rockland	0	0	0	0	0	0
St.Lawrence	0	0	0	0	0	0
Saratoga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	0
Seneca	0	0	0	0	0	0
Steuben	0	0	1	0	0	0
Suffolk	0	0	6	0	6	2
Sullivan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tioga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tompkins	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ulster	0	0	0	0	0	0
Warren	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wayne	0	0	0	0	0	0
Westchester	0	0	7	0	4	2
Wyoming	0	0	0	0	1	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0

**2003 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS**

**NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE**

Administrative Disqualification Hearings

Eligibility Hearings

July 2002 - June 2003

Local District	Hearings Requested	Hearings Held	Issues Affirmed	Issues Withdrawn	Issues Reversed	Agency Correct When Made	Other Disposition
New York State	1,383	1,185	1,010	58	151	0	2
New York City	478	349	255	21	94	0	2
Rest of State	905	836	755	37	57	0	0
Albany	3	5	5	0	0	0	0
Allegany	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Broome	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cattaraugus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cayuga	45	22	23	0	3	0	0
Chautauqua	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chemung	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chenango	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clinton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Columbia	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Cortland	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Delaware	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dutchess	64	64	91	2	5	0	0
Erie	306	245	207	8	14	0	0
Essex	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Franklin	29	25	21	3	1	0	0
Fulton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Genesee	2	2	1	0	1	0	0
Greene	0	10	8	0	2	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	28	10	6	0	0	0	0
Lewis	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	1	1	0	0	1	0	0
Madison	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	109	122	110	6	7	0	0
Montgomery	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nassau	28	42	37	0	9	0	0
Niagara	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oneida	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Onondaga	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ontario	4	2	2	0	0	0	0
Orange	47	38	41	0	2	0	0
Orleans	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oswego	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rockland	12	10	6	4	0	0	0
St.Lawrence	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Saratoga	14	16	8	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Seneca	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Steuben	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Suffolk	64	79	67	11	0	0	0
Sullivan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tioga	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tompkins	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ulster	8	9	9	0	0	0	0
Warren	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wayne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Westchester	141	133	112	3	12	0	0
Wyoming	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**2003 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS**

**NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE**

Percent of Former Recipients from "Family" (TANF and SNA Time Limit) Cases  
Receiving Medicaid in the 4th Month after Exit

Local District	April to June 2003	October to December 2002
New York State	59 %	65 %
New York City	51 %	61 %
Rest of State	69 %	69 %
Albany	66 %	67 %
Allegany	57 %	69 %
Broome	70 %	73 %
Cattaraugus	83 %	73 %
Cayuga	77 %	74 %
Chautauqua	80 %	76 %
Chemung	62 %	65 %
Chenango	68 %	69 %
Clinton	82 %	78 %
Columbia	76 %	72 %
Cortland	65 %	62 %
Delaware	58 %	58 %
Dutchess	72 %	64 %
Erie	66 %	68 %
Essex	68 %	72 %
Franklin	80 %	75 %
Fulton	89 %	86 %
Genesee	75 %	64 %
Greene	72 %	73 %
Hamilton	67 %	50 %
Herkimer	79 %	87 %
Jefferson	82 %	70 %
Lewis	78 %	80 %
Livingston	62 %	74 %
Madison	65 %	57 %
Monroe	68 %	70 %
Montgomery	87 %	82 %
Nassau	68 %	63 %
Niagara	79 %	74 %
Oneida	74 %	83 %
Onondaga	69 %	68 %
Ontario	61 %	74 %
Orange	72 %	68 %
Orleans	77 %	73 %
Oswego	78 %	77 %
Otsego	83 %	88 %
Putnam	87 %	43 %
Rensselaer	74 %	74 %
Rockland	77 %	71 %
St.Lawrence	81 %	71 %
Saratoga	87 %	66 %
Schenectady	75 %	70 %
Schoharie	73 %	78 %
Schuyler	74 %	74 %
Seneca	60 %	85 %
Steuben	67 %	72 %
Suffolk	54 %	54 %
Sullivan	65 %	66 %
Tioga	64 %	60 %
Tompkins	77 %	75 %
Ulster	64 %	68 %
Warren	65 %	55 %
Washington	84 %	82 %
Wayne	74 %	76 %
Westchester	68 %	67 %
Wyoming	70 %	77 %
Yates	73 %	86 %

**G. Data related to Case Closings.**

Data available to meet the remaining requirements of this section were reported in Sections a, b, and f.

NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

**H. Data related to Substance Abuse Treatment Programs.**

The Department of Health reports that there is no data available for the programs covered in this section for the July 2002 to June 2003 period.

**2003 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS**

**NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH**

Accomplishments of Family Planning and CBAPP Programs Funded by TANF Funds for the Period  
July 2002 to June 2003

TANF funds have been utilized to significantly expand the Department of Health's Comprehensive Family Planning and Reproductive Health Program and the Community Based Adolescent Pregnancy Prevention Program (CBAPP). The Family Planning Program provides comprehensive family planning and reproductive health services including medical services, health education and outreach to low income, uninsured women. The Community Based Adolescent Pregnancy Prevention Program provides peer and parent education, youth development and outreach to high-risk adolescents.

Funding was provided to 59 family planning agencies that provide services in over 218 service sites. These providers expanded outreach and education activities in community settings, including schools, to educate children and adults regarding reproductive health and to provide programs to prevent adolescent pregnancy. TANF funding has provided an opportunity to focus the expansion of the program on state and federal priorities including:

Provision of community education, public information, and, counseling to prevent adolescent pregnancy and increase access to clinic services for teens who are already sexually active;

Increase outreach to women not likely to seek services, including traditionally under-served minorities and homeless and substance abusing women; and

Improved access in under-served areas to women and adolescents at risk for unintended pregnancy.

Health educators work in schools, in conjunction with community-based organizations, and other youth serving organizations to provide education on issues such as contraception, abstinence, HIV/AIDS and sexually transmitted diseases. They participate in health fairs, organize community youth forums and parent-teen retreats, and outreach to high-risk populations. Each family planning agency has at least one full-time health educator on staff. Many of the larger agencies employ several educators. These educators provided more than 8,900 education and training sessions for adolescents and adults, and reached an audience of 154,805 individuals in the past year.

<b>Audience</b>	<b>Sessions</b>	<b>Participants</b>	<b>% of Total Sessions</b>	<b>% of Total Participants</b>
Youth (1-12)	469	6,911	5%	4%
Teens	3,849	59,027	43%	38%
Parents/Adults	3,185	60,376	36%	39%
Professionals	860	12,567	10%	8%
Families	515	15,924	6%	10%
<b>Total</b>	<b>8,878</b>	<b>154,805</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>99%</b>

Each year, providers expanded their programs and were able to expend all additional TANF funds. Concurrent with the availability of TANF funds, the Family Planning Program saw a 16% increase in total clients and a 14.5% increase in teen clients served. The attached chart shows on a county specific basis the 202,759 individuals served in the reporting period. This includes the increase in the number of family planning clients and outreach and education activities supported by TANF funding. The income level of the vast majority of these clients would indicate that they are eligible for TANF, and the remainder is needy. The Department is projecting that more than 17,876 pregnancies and 11,876 births have been averted due to the increase in the number of clients accessing family planning services in 2002.

CBAPP programs work with peers, schools, parents, community health and human service organizations, local governments, businesses and the media to reduce adolescent pregnancy in targeted high-risk communities. Twenty-three CBAPP contractors provide services in over 50 high-risk areas in the state. Major program strategies include:

Providing community information and education to sensitize the public about the local need to address the prevention of unintended pregnancy among adolescents;

Promote abstinence and delay the onset of sexual activity among all adolescents;

Expand educational, recreational and vocational opportunities for teens to provide alternatives to sexual activity and develop skills that can lead to higher earning power and reduce the need for public assistance; and,

Provide access to comprehensive reproductive health care services to sexually active teens to prevent pregnancies, sexually transmitted diseases and HIV infection.

There are a number of family planning agencies that also receive CBAPP funding. As a result of the co-location of programs, CBAPP clients often benefit from family planning health education and medical services.

2003 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NYS DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

New York State Family Planning Program  
 Unduplicated Clients Served  
 July 2002 - June 2003

Local District	Total
New York State	202,759
New York City	56,824
Rest of State	145,935
Albany	3,472
Allegany	928
Broome	2,761
Cattaraugus	1,147
Cayuga	1,524
Chautauqua	1,900
Chemung	1,095
Chenango	818
Clinton	1,932
Columbia	741
Cortland	1,669
Delaware	869
Dutchess	4,812
Erie	7,075
Essex	668
Franklin	754
Fulton	951
Genesee	679
Greene	744
Hamilton	1,114
Herkimer	1,014
Jefferson	3,924
Lewis	405
Livingston	894
Madison	888
Monroe	5,987
Montgomery	1,019
Nassau	10,768
Niagara	2,681
Oneida	3,628
Onondaga	6,280
Ontario	1,015
Orange	7,973
Orleans	335
Oswego	2,628
Otsego	1,395
Putnam	637
Rensselaer	1,386
Rockland	3,388
St. Lawrence	1,450
Saratoga	2,468
Schenectady	1,967
Schoharie	641
Schuyler	227
Seneca	503
Steuben	1,353
Suffolk	18,420
Sullivan	1,276
Tioga	864
Tompkins	3,226
Ulster	3,932
Warren	941
Washington	877
Wayne	604
Westchester	13,347
Wyoming	742
Yates	1,201

**2003 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS**

	Annual Averages (10/01 - 9/02)	Approximate Standard Error	Approximate 95% Confidence Limits	
			Lower	Upper
<b>J. Data related to disabled and work-limited recipients</b>				
4. No. of current recipients of public assistance found to be disabled \11	20,800	± 1,100	18,600	23,000

(Also see **General Comments** in the work activities table Section 149.2.c.)

\11 Average monthly number of disabled individuals who are exempt from participating in work activities. Under Section 332-b of the Social Services Law disabled individuals who are determined to be unable to engage in work activities are exempt in New York from participating in work activities. Despite this exemption, the TANF family is included in the calculation of the participation rate.

\* **Note:** The **standard error**, or standard deviation, of a sample estimate indicates how close the sample estimate comes to the corresponding unknown measurement for the entire population. The standard error of a sample estimate gives us the precision, that is, the range in which the true population value most likely falls. The true population value is expected to lie between these limits. The confidence levels give us the likelihood or probability that it will fall within that range, that is, the assurance that the true value would fall in this range. A 95% confidence level tells us that if we pick 100 similar samples from the population, the sample estimate would fall within approximately plus or minus 2 standard errors of the true value in 95 of those samples. (These are referred to as the upper and lower confidence limits.)

For example, the annual average of the number of TANF recipients found to be exempt due to a disability is 20,800 with an approximate standard error of 1,100. The approximate lower confidence limit is  $20,800 - (2)(1,100) = 18,600$ , and the corresponding upper confidence limit is  $20,800 + (2)(1,100) = 23,000$ . While it is not likely that the true population value is exactly 20,800 (the value based on the sample), we are 95% confident that the true population value is between 18,600 and 23,000.

The standard errors of the number of participants possessing a given characteristic, e.g. engaged in a certain type of work activity, were obtained by calculating the standard error of the proportion of those individuals in the sample. The proportions were then applied to total population of the TANF cases. Therefore, the result is a measure of the number of participants with a given characteristic in the entire population.

The results obtained were based on monthly stratified samples and the stratification process varied, thus the results are approximate. Stratification is a statistical process in which the total population is divided into mutually exclusive subpopulations, prior to sampling. This process was required by the federal government in order to better gauge the characteristics of the subpopulations.

**2003 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS**

	Annual Averages (12/01 - 9/02)	Approximate Standard Error	Approximate 95% Confidence Limits	
			Lower	Upper
<b>J. Data related to disabled and work-limited recipients</b>				
4. No. of current recipients of public assistance found to be disabled \11	4,100	± 300	3,500	4,700

(Also see **General Comments** in the work activities table Section 149.2.c.)

\11 Average monthly number of disabled individuals who are exempt from participating in work activities. Under Section 332-b of the Social Services Law disabled individuals who are determined to be unable to engage in work activities are exempt in New York from participating in work activities. Despite this exemption, the SSP-MOE family is included in the calculation of the participation rate.

**\* Note:** The **standard error**, or standard deviation, of a sample estimate indicates how close the sample estimate comes to the corresponding unknown measurement for the entire population. The standard error of a sample estimate gives us the precision, that is, the range in which the true population value most likely falls. The true population value is expected to lie between these limits. The confidence levels give us the likelihood or probability that it will fall within that range, that is, the assurance that the true value would fall in this range. A 95% confidence level tells us that if we pick 100 similar samples from the population, the sample estimate would fall within approximately plus or minus 2 standard errors of the true value in 95 of those samples. (These are referred to as the upper and lower confidence limits.)

For example, the annual average of the number of SSP-MOE recipients found to be exempt due to a disability is 4,100 with an approximate standard error of 300. The approximate lower confidence limit is  $4,100 - (2)(300) = 3,500$ , and the corresponding upper confidence limit is  $4,100 + (2)(300) = 4,700$ . While it is not likely that the true population value is exactly 4,100 (the value based on the sample), we are 95% confident that the true population value is between 3,500 and 4,700.

The standard errors of the number of participants possessing a given characteristic, e.g. engaged in a certain type of work activity, were obtained by calculating the standard error of the proportion of those individuals in the sample. The proportions were then applied to total population of the SSP-MOE cases. Therefore, the result is a measure of the number of participants with a given characteristic in the entire population.

The results obtained were based on monthly stratified samples and the stratification process varied, thus the results are approximate. Stratification is a statistical process in which the total population is divided into mutually exclusive subpopulations, prior to sampling. This process was required by the federal government in order to better gauge the characteristics of the subpopulations.

2003 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE

Food Assistance Program  
July 2002 to June 2003

	New York State			New York City			Rest of State		
	Households	Persons	Value of Benefits	Households	Persons	Value of Benefits	Households	Persons	Value of Benefits
Jul-02	502	544	\$ 66,388	465	504	\$ 62,028	37	40	\$ 4,360
Aug-02	492	533	\$ 64,620	454	493	\$ 60,320	38	40	\$ 4,300
Sep-02	484	526	\$ 63,323	448	488	\$ 59,487	36	38	\$ 3,836
Oct-02	240	251	\$ 30,888	205	214	\$ 27,014	35	37	\$ 3,874
Nov-02	234	244	\$ 30,399	201	209	\$ 26,668	33	35	\$ 3,731
Dec-02	216	221	\$ 27,692	189	193	\$ 24,813	27	28	\$ 2,879
Jan-03	210	224	\$ 28,495	186	199	\$ 25,935	24	25	\$ 2,560
Feb-03	241	255	\$ 33,074	219	232	\$ 30,690	22	23	\$ 2,384
Mar-03	251	266	\$ 33,532	229	243	\$ 31,059	22	23	\$ 2,473
Apr-03	46	48	\$ 5,698	34	35	\$ 4,325	12	13	\$ 1,373
May-03	29	30	\$ 3,773	24	25	\$ 3,082	5	5	\$ 691
Jun-03	26	27	\$ 3,415	24	25	\$ 3,082	2	2	\$ 333

Food Assistance Program  
July 2002 and June 2003

	July 2002			June 2003		
	Households	Persons	Value of Benefits	Households	Persons	Value of Benefits
New York State	502	544	\$ 66,388	26	27	\$ 3,415
New York City	465	504	\$ 62,028	24	25	\$ 3,082
Rest of State	37	40	\$ 4,360	2	2	\$ 333
Albany	1	1	\$ 113	0	0	\$ 0
Jefferson	2	2	\$ 270	0	0	\$ 0
Monroe	2	3	\$ 55	0	0	\$ 0
Nassau	2	2	\$ 248	1	1	\$ 139
Oneida	4	4	\$ 408	0	0	\$ 0
Orange	4	5	\$ 543	1	1	\$ 194
Rockland	11	11	\$ 1,302	0	0	\$ 0
Tompkins	1	1	\$ 127	0	0	\$ 0
Westchester	10	11	\$ 1,294	0	0	\$ 0

**2003 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS**

**NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE**

Food Assistance Program

Administrative Hearings - Hearings Requested

July 2002 - June 2003

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/ Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/ Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	21	0	326	0	2	4
New York City	6	0	107	0	0	4
Rest of State	15	0	219	0	2	0
Albany	0	0	6	0	0	0
Allegany	0	0	1	0	0	0
Broome	1	0	6	0	0	0
Cattaraugus	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cayuga	0	0	4	0	0	0
Chautauqua	0	0	2	0	0	0
Chemung	0	0	7	0	0	0
Chenango	0	0	1	0	0	0
Clinton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Columbia	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cortland	0	0	1	0	0	0
Delaware	0	0	1	0	0	0
Dutchess	1	0	1	0	0	0
Erie	2	0	13	0	0	0
Essex	0	0	0	0	0	0
Franklin	0	0	5	0	0	0
Fulton	0	0	5	0	0	0
Genesee	0	0	2	0	0	0
Greene	0	0	2	0	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	0	0	2	0	0	0
Lewis	0	0	1	0	0	0
Livingston	0	0	1	0	0	0
Madison	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	3	0	27	0	0	0
Montgomery	0	0	2	0	0	0
Nassau	0	0	2	0	0	0
Niagara	0	0	4	0	0	0
Oneida	0	0	14	0	0	0
Onondaga	2	0	31	0	0	0
Ontario	0	0	2	0	0	0
Orange	0	0	7	0	0	0
Orleans	0	0	2	0	0	0
Oswego	0	0	4	0	0	0
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Putnam	3	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	0	0	2	0	0	0
Rockland	0	0	2	0	0	0
St.Lawrence	0	0	4	0	0	0
Saratoga	0	0	2	0	0	0
Schenectady	0	0	12	0	0	0
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	0
Seneca	0	0	0	0	0	0
Steuben	0	0	4	0	0	0
Suffolk	1	0	23	0	0	0
Sullivan	0	0	1	0	0	0
Tioga	0	0	2	0	0	0
Tompkins	0	0	2	0	0	0
Ulster	0	0	1	0	0	0
Warren	0	0	1	0	0	0
Washington	0	0	1	0	1	0
Wayne	0	0	0	0	0	0
Westchester	2	0	5	0	0	0
Wyoming	0	0	1	0	1	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0

2003 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE

Food Assistance Program  
 Administrative Hearings - Hearings Held  
 July 2002 - June 2003

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/ Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/ Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	9	0	129	0	2	0
New York City	3	0	46	0	0	0
Rest of State	6	0	83	0	2	0
Albany	0	0	5	0	0	0
Allegany	0	0	0	0	0	0
Broome	1	0	1	0	0	0
Cattaraugus	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cayuga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chautauqua	0	0	1	0	0	0
Chemung	0	0	1	0	0	0
Chenango	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clinton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Columbia	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cortland	0	0	1	0	0	0
Delaware	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dutchess	1	0	1	0	0	0
Erie	0	0	3	0	0	0
Essex	0	0	0	0	0	0
Franklin	0	0	3	0	0	0
Fulton	0	0	1	0	0	0
Genesee	0	0	0	0	0	0
Greene	0	0	1	0	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	0	0	1	0	0	0
Lewis	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	0	0	0	0	0	0
Madison	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	0	0	16	0	0	0
Montgomery	0	0	1	0	0	0
Nassau	0	0	2	0	0	0
Niagara	0	0	3	0	0	0
Oneida	0	0	3	0	0	0
Onondaga	1	0	18	0	0	0
Ontario	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orange	0	0	1	0	0	0
Orleans	0	0	2	0	0	0
Oswego	0	0	1	0	0	0
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Putnam	2	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rockland	0	0	0	0	0	0
St.Lawrence	0	0	2	0	0	0
Saratoga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	0	0	6	0	0	0
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	0
Seneca	0	0	0	0	0	0
Steuben	0	0	0	0	0	0
Suffolk	1	0	7	0	0	0
Sullivan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tioga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tompkins	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ulster	0	0	1	0	0	0
Warren	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	0	0	0	1	0
Wayne	0	0	0	0	0	0
Westchester	0	0	1	0	0	0
Wyoming	0	0	0	0	1	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0

**2003 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS**

**NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE**

Food Assistance Program  
 Administrative Hearings - Issues Affirmed  
 July 2002 - June 2003

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/ Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/ Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	2	0	37	0	1	0
New York City	0	0	4	0	0	0
Rest of State	2	0	33	0	1	0
Albany	0	0	3	0	0	0
Allegany	0	0	0	0	0	0
Broome	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cattaraugus	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cayuga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chautauqua	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chemung	0	0	1	0	0	0
Chenango	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clinton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Columbia	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cortland	0	0	0	0	0	0
Delaware	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dutchess	0	0	0	0	0	0
Erie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Essex	0	0	0	0	0	0
Franklin	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fulton	0	0	1	0	0	0
Genesee	0	0	0	0	0	0
Greene	0	0	1	0	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lewis	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	0	0	0	0	0	0
Madison	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	0	0	1	0	0	0
Montgomery	0	0	1	0	0	0
Nassau	0	0	1	0	0	0
Niagara	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oneida	1	0	2	0	0	0
Onondaga	1	0	13	0	0	0
Ontario	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orange	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orleans	0	0	1	0	0	0
Oswego	0	0	1	0	0	0
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	0	0	1	0	0	0
Rockland	0	0	0	0	0	0
St.Lawrence	0	0	1	0	0	0
Saratoga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	0	0	1	0	0	0
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	0
Seneca	0	0	0	0	0	0
Steuben	0	0	0	0	0	0
Suffolk	0	0	2	0	0	0
Sullivan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tioga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tompkins	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ulster	0	0	1	0	0	0
Warren	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wayne	0	0	0	0	0	0
Westchester	0	0	1	0	0	0
Wyoming	0	0	0	0	1	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0

**2003 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS**

**NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE**

Food Assistance Program  
 Administrative Hearings - Issues Reversed  
 July 2002 - June 2003

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/ Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/ Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	0	0	42	0	0	0
New York City	0	0	30	0	0	0
Rest of State	0	0	12	0	0	0
Albany	0	0	2	0	0	0
Allegany	0	0	0	0	0	0
Broome	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cattaraugus	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cayuga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chautauqua	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chemung	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chenango	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clinton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Columbia	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cortland	0	0	0	0	0	0
Delaware	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dutchess	0	0	1	0	0	0
Erie	0	0	1	0	0	0
Essex	0	0	0	0	0	0
Franklin	0	0	1	0	0	0
Fulton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Genesee	0	0	0	0	0	0
Greene	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	0	0	1	0	0	0
Lewis	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	0	0	0	0	0	0
Madison	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	0	0	1	0	0	0
Montgomery	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nassau	0	0	0	0	0	0
Niagara	0	0	1	0	0	0
Oneida	0	0	0	0	0	0
Onondaga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ontario	0	0	1	0	0	0
Orange	0	0	1	0	0	0
Orleans	0	0	1	0	0	0
Oswego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rockland	0	0	0	0	0	0
St.Lawrence	0	0	0	0	0	0
Saratoga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	0	0	1	0	0	0
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	0
Seneca	0	0	0	0	0	0
Steuben	0	0	0	0	0	0
Suffolk	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sullivan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tioga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tompkins	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ulster	0	0	0	0	0	0
Warren	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wayne	0	0	0	0	0	0
Westchester	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wyoming	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0

2003 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE

Food Assistance Program  
 Administrative Hearings - Issues Withdrawn  
 July 2002 - June 2003

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/ Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/ Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	1	0	34	0	0	0
New York City	0	0	8	0	0	0
Rest of State	1	0	26	0	0	0
Albany	0	0	0	0	0	0
Allegany	0	0	0	0	0	0
Broome	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cattaraugus	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cayuga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chautauqua	0	0	1	0	0	0
Chemung	0	0	1	0	0	0
Chenango	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clinton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Columbia	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cortland	0	0	0	0	0	0
Delaware	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dutchess	0	0	0	0	0	0
Erie	0	0	2	0	0	0
Essex	0	0	0	0	0	0
Franklin	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fulton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Genesee	0	0	0	0	0	0
Greene	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lewis	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	0	0	0	0	0	0
Madison	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	0	0	13	0	0	0
Montgomery	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nassau	0	0	1	0	0	0
Niagara	0	0	1	0	0	0
Oneida	0	0	0	0	0	0
Onondaga	0	0	3	0	0	0
Ontario	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orange	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orleans	0	0	1	0	0	0
Oswego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rockland	0	0	0	0	0	0
St.Lawrence	0	0	0	0	0	0
Saratoga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	0	0	2	0	0	0
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	0
Seneca	0	0	0	0	0	0
Steuben	0	0	0	0	0	0
Suffolk	1	0	1	0	0	0
Sullivan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tioga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tompkins	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ulster	0	0	0	0	0	0
Warren	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wayne	0	0	0	0	0	0
Westchester	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wyoming	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0

**2003 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS**

**NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE**

Food Assistance Program  
 Administrative Hearings - Correct When Made  
 July 2002 - June 2003

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/ Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/ Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	0	0	6	0	0	0
New York City	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rest of State	0	0	6	0	0	0
Albany	0	0	0	0	0	0
Allegany	0	0	0	0	0	0
Broome	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cattaraugus	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cayuga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chautauqua	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chemung	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chenango	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clinton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Columbia	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cortland	0	0	1	0	0	0
Delaware	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dutchess	0	0	0	0	0	0
Erie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Essex	0	0	0	0	0	0
Franklin	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fulton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Genesee	0	0	0	0	0	0
Greene	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lewis	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	0	0	0	0	0	0
Madison	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montgomery	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nassau	0	0	0	0	0	0
Niagara	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oneida	0	0	0	0	0	0
Onondaga	0	0	1	0	0	0
Ontario	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orange	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orleans	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oswego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rockland	0	0	0	0	0	0
St.Lawrence	0	0	0	0	0	0
Saratoga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	0	0	1	0	0	0
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	0
Seneca	0	0	0	0	0	0
Steuben	0	0	0	0	0	0
Suffolk	0	0	3	0	0	0
Sullivan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tioga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tompkins	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ulster	0	0	0	0	0	0
Warren	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wayne	0	0	0	0	0	0
Westchester	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wyoming	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0

**2003 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS**

**NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE**

Food Assistance Program  
Administrative Hearings - Other  
July 2002 - June 2003

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/ Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/ Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	3	0	9	0	1	0
New York City	0	0	4	0	0	0
Rest of State	3	0	5	0	1	0
Albany	0	0	0	0	0	0
Allegany	0	0	0	0	0	0
Broome	1	0	0	0	0	0
Cattaraugus	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cayuga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chautauqua	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chemung	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chenango	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clinton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Columbia	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cortland	0	0	0	0	0	0
Delaware	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dutchess	0	0	0	0	0	0
Erie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Essex	0	0	0	0	0	0
Franklin	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fulton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Genesee	0	0	0	0	0	0
Greene	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lewis	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	0	0	0	0	0	0
Madison	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	0	0	1	0	0	0
Montgomery	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nassau	0	0	0	0	0	0
Niagara	0	0	1	0	0	0
Oneida	0	0	0	0	0	0
Onondaga	0	0	1	0	0	0
Ontario	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orange	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orleans	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oswego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Putnam	2	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rockland	0	0	0	0	0	0
St.Lawrence	0	0	0	0	0	0
Saratoga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	0	0	1	0	0	0
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	0
Seneca	0	0	0	0	0	0
Steuben	0	0	0	0	0	0
Suffolk	0	0	1	0	0	0
Sullivan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tioga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tompkins	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ulster	0	0	0	0	0	0
Warren	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	0	0	0	1	0
Wayne	0	0	0	0	0	0
Westchester	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wyoming	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0

**2003 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS**

**NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE**

Domestic Violence - Summary

July 2002 - June 2003

Local District	Number of Persons Indicating Current Danger	Persons for Whom assessments Were Credible	Total Persons with Waivers	Persons with New Waivers Granted During the Year
New York State	9,028	5,112	5,707	4,372
New York City	5,344	2,923	3,676	2,882
Rest of State	3,684	2,189	2,031	1,490
Albany	261	148	90	71
Allegany	51	18	25	17
Broome	157	154	194	114
Cattaraugus	24	24	26	23
Cayuga	54	22	28	21
Chautauqua	86	64	50	38
Chemung	47	37	25	24
Chenango	16	12	12	10
Clinton	2	1	3	1
Columbia	19	19	28	19
Cortland	27	23	35	23
Delaware	34	34	16	13
Dutchess	32	30	58	37
Erie	407	295	284	221
Essex	16	8	2	2
Franklin	1	1	3	1
Fulton	34	0	0	0
Genesee	4	4	4	4
Greene	2	2	2	1
Hamilton	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	4	4	6	1
Jefferson	7	4	3	3
Lewis	14	7	7	6
Livingston	13	1	0	0
Madison	15	15	4	4
Monroe	515	273	131	78
Montgomery	27	18	6	1
Nassau	62	59	69	43
Niagara	120	46	8	6
Oneida	145	62	37	26
Onondaga	291	136	113	86
Ontario	60	26	33	23
Orange	163	92	86	62
Orleans	0	0	0	0
Oswego	92	41	47	37
Otsego	17	7	8	7
Putnam	1	0	0	0
Rensselaer	4	3	2	1
Rockland	37	21	31	21
St.Lawrence	0	0	0	0
Saratoga	26	1	1	1
Schenectady	174	96	106	100
Schoharie	14	14	1	0
Schuyler	4	4	0	0
Seneca	0	0	18	0
Steuben	45	13	293	14
Suffolk	328	223	1	221
Sullivan	0	0	32	0
Tioga	36	30	1	28
Tompkins	0	0	11	0
Ulster	11	5	3	8
Warren	9	5	24	1
Washington	23	13	7	13
Wayne	29	5	57	5
Westchester	123	68	0	54
Wyoming	0	0	0	0
Yates	1	1	0	0

**2003 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS**

**NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE**

Domestic Violence - Average Duration of Active Waivers

July 2002 - June 2003

Local District	Total	IV-D	Employment	Drug/ Alcohol	Time Limits	Alien Deeming	Residency	Learnfare	Minor Parent	Other
New York State	4	5	4	4	5	0	4	13	5	4
New York City	4	5	4	3	4	0	0	0	0	0
Rest of State	4	6	4	4	6	0	4	13	5	4
Albany	5	5	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	4
Allegany	4	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
Broome	5	5	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Cattaraugus	5	6	5	4	5	0	0	0	0	0
Cayuga	4	4	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
Chautauqua	4	5	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	6
Chemung	3	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Chenango	5	6	5	4	0	0	2	0	0	3
Clinton	4	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	5
Columbia	5	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cortland	5	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	6	0
Delaware	4	5	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	6
Dutchess	5	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Erie	3	4	3	0	0	0	0	24	0	4
Essex	3	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Franklin	5	6	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fulton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
Genesee	3	3	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	0
Greene	4	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	4	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	4	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lewis	4	6	2	5	0	0	0	0	0	4
Livingston	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Madison	3	4	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	4	5	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montgomery	8	6	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Nassau	5	6	5	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Niagara	4	2	5	5	0	0	0	4	0	6
Oneida	4	4	4	6	6	0	4	0	0	4
Onondaga	4	4	4	3	3	0	0	0	0	4
Ontario	4	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Orange	5	5	6	5	0	0	0	0	3	6
Orleans	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oswego	4	4	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
Otsego	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rockland	4	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
St.Lawrence	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Saratoga	5	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	6	9	4	3	0	0	0	1	0	4
Schoharie	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Seneca	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Steuben	3	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Suffolk	4	4	4	4	6	0	4	0	0	3
Sullivan	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tioga	8	16	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Tompkins	4	4	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ulster	5	5	5	7	7	0	0	0	0	0
Warren	4	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	4	4	4	5	0	0	0	0	0	4
Wayne	4	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Westchester	6	3	3	6	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wyoming	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**2003 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS**

**NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE**

Domestic Violence - Total Number of Active Waivers

July 2002 - June 2003

Local District	Total	IV-D	Employment	Drug/ Alcohol	Time Limits	Alien Deeming	Residency	Learnfare	Minor Parent	Other
New York State	9,661	4,794	4,542	66	11	0	6	4	7	231
New York City	6,556	3,491	3,048	16	1	0	0	0	0	0
Rest of State	3,105	1,303	1,494	50	10	0	6	4	7	231
Albany	119	66	33	2	0	0	0	0	5	13
Allegany	42	15	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
Broome	235	185	50	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Cattaraugus	36	17	11	4	0	0	0	0	0	2
Cayuga	36	10	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Chautauqua	71	27	34	6	0	0	0	0	0	4
Chemung	31	14	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Chenango	14	2	6	2	0	0	1	0	0	3
Clinton	4	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Columbia	42	14	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cortland	58	20	27	0	0	0	0	0	1	10
Delaware	21	5	14	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dutchess	73	36	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
Erie	454	80	335	0	0	0	0	2	0	37
Essex	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Franklin	4	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Fulton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Genesee	4	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Greene	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	6	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	4	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Lewis	9	2	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
Livingston	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Madison	6	1	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	168	85	68	1	0	0	0	0	0	14
Montgomery	7	1	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nassau	87	41	44	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Niagara	14	6	4	1	0	0	0	1	0	2
Oneida	46	14	29	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
Onondaga	175	52	101	6	3	0	0	0	0	13
Ontario	58	11	37	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
Orange	136	74	50	4	0	0	0	0	1	7
Orleans	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oswego	69	21	34	2	0	0	0	0	0	12
Otsego	8	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rockland	43	33	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
St.Lawrence	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Saratoga	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	191	61	92	3	0	0	0	1	0	34
Schoharie	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Seneca	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Steuben	26	14	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Suffolk	574	279	271	2	2	0	4	0	0	16
Sullivan	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tioga	63	20	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
Tompkins	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ulster	20	7	11	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Warren	3	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	33	7	22	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
Wayne	11	8	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Westchester	91	54	35	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wyoming	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## Welfare Reform Act of 1997

(section 149 of Part B of Chapter 436 of the Laws of 1997)

S 149.

1. The department of social services or its successor agencies and the department of labor shall collect data related to the operation of public assistance programs including, but not limited to, information that must be submitted to the department of health and human services pursuant to the personal responsibility and work opportunities reconciliation act of 1996 (P.L. 104-193) and any other provision of federal or state law or regulations governing programs administered under title iv-a of the social security act or the social services law. Social services districts shall assist each agency in accumulating such data in accordance with instructions from the appropriate agency.
2. Each agency shall make reasonable efforts to collect and maintain the data required pursuant to this subdivision. Data collection shall begin as soon as practicable, but in no case later than upon the completion of the redesign of the welfare management system and the completion of welfare to work caseload management system. The responsible agency shall collect the data related to applicants and recipients of public assistance programs established pursuant to the personal responsibility and work opportunities reconciliation act of 1996 (P.L. 104-193) and the social services law including, but not limited to, the following:
  - a. Data related to applicants seeking public assistance including but not limited to:
    1. Number of applicants accepted to participate in each program;
    2. Number of applicants who are denied public assistance and the reason for denial;
    3. Number of applicants who were previous recipients of public assistance on or after December 2, 1997 including length of time previously on assistance; reason for initial termination; length of time between termination and reapplication; and reason for reapplication; and
    4. Number of applicants who have resided in the state for fewer than twelve months at the time of application.
  - b. Data related to recipients of public assistance including but not limited to:
    1. Number of terminated recipients of public assistance and reason for termination; and
    2. Number of recipients that move between public assistance programs.
  - c. Data related to work activities subsidized or otherwise sponsored by the department of social services, its successor agencies, the department of labor, or social services districts including but not limited to:
    1. Number of recipients participating in work activities;
    2. Number of recipients receiving an exemption from participating in work activities;
    3. The duration of and reasons for such exemptions;
    4. Number of participants receiving subsidized child care and the number of exemptions granted due to lack of available child care;
    5. The type of work activities;
    6. Hours of work per week per recipient;
    7. Duration of enrollment in such work activity;

## **Welfare Reform Act of 1997**

(section 149 of Part B of Chapter 436 of the Laws of 1997)

8. Reason for termination from work activity;
9. Number of requests for administrative hearings related to work activity participation including the nature of such requests by general categories and the outcome of such hearings;
10. Number of participants receiving subsidized transportation services;
11. Participant retention in work activities by type of work activity;
12. Length of time before assignment to a work activity;
13. Number of recipients changing work activity assignments and reasons for such changes; and
14. Expenditures by social services districts in support of work activities.

d. Data related to unsubsidized work activities including but not limited to:

1. Number of recipients hired;
2. Initial rate of pay by either annual salary or wage basis;
3. Retention rates;
4. Means of obtaining unsubsidized employment including, but not limited to, referral by the social services district and direct contact with employer; and
5. Number of participants receiving subsidized child care and/or transportation services.

e. Data related to recipient training and education activities including but not limited to:

1. Number of recipients in training or educational activities by type of activity;
2. Number of recipients mandated to attend training or education activities that fulfill work participation requirements;
3. Length and duration of training or education activity including the number of hours per week and duration;
4. Number of recipients receiving subsidized child care and/or transportation services;
5. Number of recipients transferred to work activities;
6. To the extent available, the number of recipients who obtain work directly from training or education activities including starting salary and employee retention rates; and
7. Expenditures by social services districts in support of training and education activities.

f. Data related to recipient sanctions including but not limited to:

1. Number of sanctions, by type of sanction;
2. Number of sanctions with defined minimum period, by type of sanction;
3. Average length of sanction until recipient compliance, by type of sanction;
4. Amount of sanction, by type of sanction;
5. Period of time starting on or after December 2, 1996 that the participant was in the program prior to the sanction;
6. Number and outcome of administrative hearings related to sanctions, by type of sanction; and
7. Number of sanctions against entire assistance unit.

## **Welfare Reform Act of 1997**

(section 149 of Part B of Chapter 436 of the Laws of 1997)

- g. Data related to case closings including but not limited to:
1. Number of case closings;
  2. Reason for case closing including, but not limited to, self-termination without reason;
  3. Length of time receiving assistance on or after December 2, 1996 before case closing;
  4. On an aggregate basis, the length of time recipients do not receive public assistance in New York state following case closing occurring on or after December 2, 1996; and
  5. Number of former public assistance recipients receiving subsidized child care and/or transitional medical assistance.
- h. Data related to substance abuse treatment programs including but not limited to:
1. Number of recipients receiving substance abuse treatment services for which the cost of such services is paid for by the social services district using public assistance monies;
  2. Length of reimbursed recipient participation in treatment program; and
  3. Types of substance abuse treatment programs receiving reimbursement from social services districts.
- i. Data related to pregnancy prevention programs funded through federal monies received by the state pursuant to title iv-a of the social security act including but not limited to:
1. Number of program participants;
  2. Duration of program participation; and
  3. Types of services provided.
- j. Data related to disabled and work limited recipients of public assistance pursuant to provisions of the welfare reform act of 1997 including, but not limited to:
1. Number of persons applying for public assistance that are screened;
  2. Number of current recipients of public assistance screened;
  3. Number of persons applying for public assistance that are found to be disabled;
  4. Number of current recipients of public assistance found to be disabled;
  5. Number of persons applying for public assistance found to be work limited;
  6. Number of current recipients of public assistance found to be work limited;
  7. Duration of finding by category;
  8. Number of changes in work activity exemption status;
  9. Number and outcome of administrative hearings related to the disability screening and the determination of work activity exemptions; and
  10. Data relating to work and training and education activities pursuant to subsections c, d and e of this section.
- h. Data related to the food assistance program including but not limited to:

## **Welfare Reform Act of 1997**

(section 149 of Part B of Chapter 436 of the Laws of 1997)

1. Number of applicants under eighteen years of age by chronological age;
2. Number of applications denied by reason for denial;
3. Number of recipients under eighteen years of age by chronological age and the average amount of food assistance benefits per recipient issued by the social services district;
4. Number of recipients under eighteen years of age by case and by household and the average amount of food assistance benefits issued per case and per household by the social services district;
5. Number of recipients under eighteen years of age in a case and/or in a household where other individuals in the case and/or household are in receipt of federal food stamp benefits and the average amounts of federal and state food stamp benefits issued per case and/or per household by social services district;
6. Number of recipients under eighteen years of age in a case or household receiving other public assistance benefits and the average amounts of food assistance benefits issued per recipient and per public assistance case by the social services district;
7. Number of case closings for cases where the recipient is under eighteen years of age by reason for case closing including but not limited to case closing as a result of naturalization;
8. Number of applicants applying for food assistance benefits where the primary reason for potential eligibility is a disability as defined by provisions of this act establishing a food assistance program;
9. Number of applications denied by reason for denial;
10. Number of disabled recipients by chronological age and the average amount of food assistance benefits per recipient issued by the social services district;
10. Number of disabled recipients by case and by household and the average amount of food assistance benefits issued per case and per household by the social services district;
11. Number of disabled recipients in a case and/or in a household where other individuals in the case and/or household are in receipt of federal food stamp benefits and the average amounts of federal and state food stamp benefits issued per case and/or per household by social services district;
12. Number of disabled recipients in a case or household receiving other public assistance benefits and the average amount of food assistance benefits issued per recipient and per public assistance case by the social services district including, but not limited to, receipt of federal and/or state supplemental payment made pursuant to title xvi of the social security act;
13. Number of case closings for cases where the recipient is disabled by reason for termination including but not limited to case closing as a result of naturalization;
14. Number of applicants applying for food assistance benefits where the primary reason for potential eligibility is that the applicant is elderly as defined by provisions of this act establishing a food assistance program;
15. Number of applications denied by reason for denial;
16. Number of elderly recipients by chronological age and the amount of food assistance benefits per recipient issued by the social services district;
17. Number of elderly recipients by case and by household and the average amount of food assistance benefits issued per case and per household by the social services district;

## **Welfare Reform Act of 1997**

(section 149 of Part B of Chapter 436 of the Laws of 1997)

18. number of elderly recipients in a case and/or in a household where other individuals in the case and/or household are in receipt of federal food stamp benefits and the average amount of federal and state food stamp benefits issued per case and/or per household by the social services district;
19. Number of elderly recipients in a case or household receiving other public assistance benefits and the average amount of food assistance benefits issued per recipient and per public assistance case by the social services district including, but not limited to, receipt of federal and/or state supplemental payment made pursuant to title xvi of the social security act; and
20. Number of case closings for cases where the recipient is elderly by reason for termination including but not limited to case closing as a result of naturalization; and
21. Number and outcome of administrative hearings related to the food assistance program by general category.

h. Data related to the domestic violence screening process including but not limited to:

1. Number of applicants and recipients screened;
2. Number of positive findings;
3. Number of waivers by category granted as a result of a positive finding; and
4. Duration of such waiver.

3. To the extent possible, the data collection activities required by this act shall be designed to collect data in the least expensive and least time consuming manner possible. Scientifically acceptable statistical sampling methods may be used to collect and maintain data on an aggregate basis when authorized by the department of health and human services or when the commissioner of social services or commissioners of its successor agencies determines that collection of such data by any other means is not practicable or would result in significant additional costs. To the extent that statistical sampling is used to collect and maintain data, and unless otherwise required by the department of health and human services, the sample size shall be no larger than the sample size required by the United States department of agriculture for food stamp quality control purposes pursuant to the food stamp act of 1977 and subsequent amendments.
4. The commissioner of social services or commissioners of its successor agencies, and the commissioner of labor shall provide reports summarizing data collected pursuant to this act to the temporary president of the senate, the chairperson of the senate finance committee, the speaker of the assembly, and the chairperson of the assembly ways and means committee. The first such report shall be provided to the temporary president of the senate, the chairperson of the senate finance committee, the speaker of the assembly, and the chairperson of the assembly ways and means committee no later than December 2, 1998 and annually thereafter. The commissioner of social services or the commissioners of its successor agencies, in conjunction with the commissioner of health and the commissioner of labor, shall provide the temporary president of the senate, the chairperson of the senate finance committee, the speaker of the assembly, and the chairperson of the assembly ways and means committee with an annual report summarizing data collected pursuant to this act.

## **Welfare Reform Act of 1997**

(section 149 of Part B of Chapter 436 of the Laws of 1997)

5. Each agency shall provide the temporary president of the senate, the chairperson of the senate finance committee, the speaker of the assembly, and the chairperson of the assembly ways and means committee with all reports filed with the department of health and human services pursuant to the data collection and reporting requirements of the personal responsibility and work opportunities reconciliation act of 1996 (P.L. 104-193).
  
6. The commissioner of social services or the commissioners of its successor agencies, in conjunction with the commissioner of labor, and the commissioner of health shall develop a plan to provide the legislature with the ability to have online access to the welfare management system and the welfare to work caseload management system upon completion of the redesign of the welfare management system and implementation of the welfare to work caseload management system for purposes of obtaining aggregate program data. Such plan shall provide for security measures necessary to ensure the confidentiality of data residing on the welfare management system and the welfare to work caseload management system in conformance with all applicable federal and state laws and regulations. The plan shall be submitted to the temporary president of the senate and the speaker of the assembly no later than February 15, 1998.