
***2005 Statistical Report to the
Legislature on the Operations of
New York State
Temporary Assistance Programs***

Contributing Agencies:

- New York State Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance
- New York State Department of Health

***This report was prepared in fulfillment of the requirements of Section 149
Part B of Chapter 436 of the Laws of 1997.***

2005 Statistical Report On the Operations of New York State Temporary Assistance Programs

Introduction and Summary

This report was prepared in fulfillment of the reporting requirements contained in the Welfare Reform Act of 1997, and was compiled by the Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance (OTDA) with data developed by OTDA, the Department of Labor (DOL) and the Department of Health (DOH).¹ Although the law only requires that reporting should begin upon the completion of the redesign of the Welfare Management System and the completion of the Welfare-to-Work Caseload Management System, recent improvements in our data infrastructure, including the continued development of the Welfare Reporting and Tracking System, allow us to report on a large proportion of the required data using currently available sources. As our data structure continues to improve, we will increase both the quality and quantity of our reporting.

In order to allow sufficient time to develop data and coordinate the report among the contributing agencies, the time period covered in most of this report's sections cover the period of July 2004 through June 2005 (referred to as the report year). Due to the fact that data on work activities are derived from data samples produced for federal reporting, such data cover the last available federal fiscal year.

What follows is a brief summary of current trends in the Temporary Assistance caseload for the July 2004 to June 2005 time period, and a high level summary of the data supplied in the main body of the report.

Recent Caseload Trends

Figure 1 illustrates the trend in total Temporary Assistance (TA) recipients for the July 2004 to June 2005 period. During this time, the total recipient count decreased by 26,138 or 4.1%, starting at 631,073 and ending at 604,935. This outcome is in contrast to the last report period, which had a net increase of 19,774 recipients over the previous year. After a 16 month period where the recipient count had been generally trending upward, the caseload reduction this year resumed the long-term downward trend that began in 1994. By July, this decrease had caused the caseload to surpass the post-Welfare Reform Act low of 604,116, achieved in February 2003.

**Figure 1: New York State Temporary Assistance Recipients
July 2004 to June 2005**





Figure 2 shows the recipient count change for the same period for “family” cases, which consist of two components: 1) recipients in the Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF) program (which in turn consists of Family Assistance (FA) and a small number of federally funded Safety Net Assistance (SNA) families where an adult in the case is mandated to drug treatment), and 2) former TANF cases in the SNA program by virtue of exceeding the TANF 60 month time limit. Figure 2 also illustrates the change in the number of recipients in each of these component categories.


**Figure 2: Recipients in Family (TANF and SNA Time Limit) Cases
July 2004 to June 2005**



There was a 31,697 or 6.4% decrease in the number of recipients in the family case category. This decrease was the result of a 21,294 or 6.5% recipient decrease in TANF, and a 10,403 or 6.3% recipient decrease in the SNA time limit category. Note that by describing the overall recipient count in family cases as a net of TANF and time limit case type changes we do not mean to imply that the dynamic of those caseloads is summed up by movement from TANF to the time limited category or to closed status. In fact, the pattern of recipient movement between case types and closed status is very complex, with recipients constantly exiting and entering the various case types to and from closed status, and moving back and forth across case types (i.e., recipients not only move from TANF to time limited status, but also move in the opposite direction as case composition changes or persons obtain time limit exemptions).

Figure 3 shows the recipient count for “traditional” Safety Net Assistance (SNA) cases, usually referred to as “singles” cases since they consist primarily of one-person adult cases.ⁱⁱ The number of recipients in these cases increased by 5,559 or 4% from 138,875 to 144,434, an increase approximately one-half the size of that observed last year in this category.

**Figure 3: Recipients in Singles (SNA Non Time Limit) Cases
July 2004 to June 2005**



Report Summary

This summary, and the report as a whole, is organized by section headings that refer directly by letter to the subsections of the reporting requirements in statute. In some cases reporting requirements for a particular section overlap with those in other sections. In these cases, appropriate cross-references will be provided in the body of the report.

Section a: Applications

Section a provides district level and statewide information on the number of applications approved, withdrawn and denied, and the reasons for denials, for TA as a whole and for the FA and SNA categories. These numbers are summarized in Table 1. Of all applications processed, 45.9% were approved, 26.2% were withdrawn and 27.9% were denied. These rates are, and continue to be, very similar for the FA and SNA categories.

**Table 1: Applications Approved, Withdrawn and Denied
July 2004 to June 2005**

	Applications Approved	Applications Withdrawn	Applications Denied
Total Temporary Assistance	327,767	187,502	199,244
Family Assistance	112,113	60,825	68,470
Safety Net Assistance	215,654	126,677	130,774

The main reasons for application denials were failure to comply with application requirements, either employment-related requirements (14,375 or 7%) or other requirements, such as failure to appear for interviews or failure to provide documentation (85,784 or 43%). Denials related to financial issues (income and resources) totaled 22,853, or 11% of denials. A large number of denials (71,340 or 36%) fell into a miscellaneous “other” category. Most of the denial codes in this category fail to identify a clear reason for the denial, since the code used requires the caseworker to manually write in a “close” reason. Other denials in this category include failure to locate the recipient, and cases where TA is denied but emergency assistance is provided.

Section b: Case Closings and Transfers

Section b provides district level and statewide information on the number of case closings, and closing counts by reason for closing, for TA as a whole and for the FA and SNA categories. It also provides district level and statewide information on transfers between the FA and SNA case categories that occurred during the year.

Case closings totaled 324,441 for TA, 107,399 for FA and 217,042 for SNA. Overall, the most common reasons for case closings were failure to comply with program requirements not related to employment (137,422 or 42% - this category includes closings due to failure to recertify), financial issues (67,965 or 21%) and failure to comply with employment requirements (54,248 or 17%). As with the case denials, a large number of closings fell into the “other” category, mostly situations where the reason for closing was not clearly defined in the closing code. The “other” category constituted 24,841 or 8% of closings.

Transfers from SNA to FA totaled 10,083 for the report year. Such transfers can occur for many reasons, including when an adult in an SNA case has a child, a time limited SNA case receives a time limit exemption, or an adult whose cash assistance count causes the case to be time limited leaves the household. Transfers in the opposite direction - from FA to SNA - totaled 21,053. While a substantial portion of these cases move from FA to SNA due to time limits,ⁱⁱⁱ there are other reasons these transfers occur, and the current count is substantially below the 58,886 such transfers reported in 2002, early after the onset of time limits, but consistent with the 21,477 transfers occurring last year.

Sections c, d and e: Subsidized Work Activities, Unsubsidized Work Activities and Training and Educational Activities

These work activities sections are based on Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2003-2004 Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF) and Separate State Program Maintenance of Effort (SSP-MOE) quarterly disaggregated data reports.^{iv} These reports contain detailed data on separate monthly samples of TANF and SSP-MOE cases, and are submitted by New York State to the US Department of Health and Human Services.

Section c provides statewide TANF and SSP-MOE sample derived data on the average monthly number of recipients participating in work activities, the number of recipients receiving an exemption from participating in work activities or disregarded in the calculation of the federal work participation rate, the type of work activities engaged in and the hours of work engaged in per

week. Section c also contains data on expenditures in support of work activities for the same FFY.

Section d contains sample-derived data on the number of TANF and SSP-MOE recipients in unsubsidized employment, and Section e contains similar data on the number of recipients in various education and training activities, and hours per week in such activities.

The sample data show an estimated monthly average of 13,900 TANF recipients and 8,400 SSP-MOE recipients in work activities (including subsidized public and private sector employment, work experience, on the job training, job search/job readiness training and community service activities). The average hours of participation per week in these work activities were 27.8 and 34.3 for the TANF and SSP-MOE recipients, respectively. Also, an estimated monthly average of 17,200 TANF recipients and 14,800 SSP-MOE recipients participated in unsubsidized employment, and an estimated monthly average of 4,300 TANF recipients and 1,900 SSP-MOE recipients participated in training or educational activities (including vocational education, job skills training, employment related education and school attendance). The average number of hours per week participants spent in education and training activities was 29.4 and 32.7 hours for TANF and SSP-MOE cases, respectively.

Federal, state and local expenditures in support of work activities totaled \$431,222,162 for the October 2003 to September 2004 period.

Details regarding the calculation and interpretation of the sample-derived figures are contained in the body of the report, so readers are referred there for a full explanation of the reported figures, including confidence intervals around the reported estimates and definitions of the activities included in the participation figures.

Section f: Sanctions

Section f provides statewide and district level data on the monthly average number of recipients in sanction status, the type of sanction, the number of recipients that complied, and the average days to compliance in TANF, SNA MOE (time limited) and SNA Non-MOE cases.^v The monthly average number of sanctioned persons for the report year was 36,261, which represents about 14% of the 259,837 monthly average number of adult recipients for the period. Most (31,740 or 88%) of these sanctioned persons had an employment-related sanction. Each month, an average of 3,074 persons complied with requirements and ended their sanction. The average length of time to compliance for these cases was 141 days.

Section f also provides district level and statewide data on the number of sanction-related fair hearings requested, the number of hearings held, and the outcomes of these hearings, for the full report year.^{vi} These data are reported separately for total TA, FA and SNA cases. Readers of this section should note that the numbers reported are based on issues addressed, not hearings per se: more than one issue can be addressed at a hearing, and separate issues addressed at the same hearing can have different outcomes.

For the purposes of economy of presentation, Section f also contains fair hearings data on work related issues, since these overlap with sanction-related hearings and follow a similar format. Also included in this section are data on the number and outcomes of administrative disqualification hearings, i.e., hearings initiated by districts.

Due to the large number of issues addressed in the fair hearings section, they will not be summarized here. Readers should note, however, that outcome numbers will not sum exactly to hearing numbers since some issues initiated during the report year may still have been pending at the end of the report year.

Section g: Closings

All data available to meet the requirements of this section were presented in Section b, except for data on transitional Medicaid receipt. Here we report statewide and district level data on the percentage of persons from closed “family” cases (TANF or SNA time limited cases) that were Medicaid eligible in the fourth month following case closing, in the situation where those persons have not returned to assistance. We are reporting data for two time periods in the current report year, the final quarter (October to December) of 2004 and the second quarter (April to June of 2005). For the state as a whole, we find about 62% percent of persons closed in these case types are Medicaid eligible four months later. There is substantial district variation on this measure, with many districts showing very high rates of Medicaid eligibility after closing.

Section h: Substance Abuse Treatment Programs

The Department of Health reports that there is no data available for the programs covered in this section for the July 2004 to June 2005 period.

Section i: Pregnancy Prevention Programs

In Section i the Department of Health reports on participants in TANF funded family planning programs, the Comprehensive Family Planning and Reproductive Health Program and the Community Based Adolescent Pregnancy Prevention Program (CBAPP). The outreach and education portions of these programs served 178,183 participants. A detailed description of these programs is included in the body of the report, along with district level data on the number of clients receiving any service provided by the programs. Significantly, the Department of Health cites program success being marked by the award of \$25 million in TANF Bonus Award funds from the Department of Health and Human Services for New York’s reduction in the percentage of births that are out-of-wedlock.

Section j: Disabled and Work-Limited Recipients

Using data from the TANF and SSP-MOE disaggregated samples an estimated monthly average of 15,200 TANF recipients and 6,000 SSP-MOE recipients are exempt from participating in work activities.

Section k: Food Assistance Program

The Food Assistance Program is no longer an active program.

Section l: Domestic Violence

Section l reports district level and statewide data for the report year on the number of persons indicating a current danger on their domestic violence screening form, the number of persons whose domestic violence assessments showed a credible threat, the number of persons with a waiver from program requirements as a result of domestic violence, and the number of persons with new waivers granted during the year. Also reported is the number of active waivers broken down by the program requirements that are waived (e.g., time limits, employment, IV-D, etc.), as well as the average duration of waivers by waiver type.

For the reporting period, 8,752 persons checked that there was a current domestic violence danger to them on the screening form. During the same period, 5,033 persons underwent a full domestic violence assessment where a credible threat was confirmed,^{vii} and 4,481 waivers were granted. Waivers active during the period averaged 4 months in duration.

For the report period, 6,035 persons had domestic violence waivers, including persons whose waivers were granted previous to the report year. These persons had a total of 10,286 active

waivers during the report year. The number of waivers exceeds the number of persons with waivers because waivers are specific to the requirement for which the waiver is granted, not a blanket exception from all program requirements. That is, a single domestic violence victim can have more than one waiver, say for instance if the person is exempted from both child support and work requirements. Note also that the number of active waivers for the year, like the number of persons with active waivers, includes those carried over from previous years.

Most domestic violence waivers were granted either from the requirement to cooperate in the establishment of child support (5,410), or from the requirement to participate in employment activities (4,488).

ENDNOTES

ⁱ The reporting provisions are codified in §149, Part B of Chapter 436 of the laws of 1997. The full text of §149 is reproduced at the end of the report.

ⁱⁱ For various programmatic reasons, there are also a small number of cases with children in the portion of the SNA caseload that has not timed out from TANF. These cases are part of the analysis of the “singles” caseload, but are a very small portion of that caseload.

ⁱⁱⁱ During this time period, between 800 to 900 TANF cases per month reach 60 months of assistance, and about two-thirds of these transfer to SNA.

^{iv} As mentioned above, in New York State the TANF program consists primarily of FA cases, but also includes a relatively small number of SNA family cases who have their grants restricted because they are mandated into substance abuse treatment. These substance abuse cases are referred to as SNA-FP cases, since they are, like the FA cases, partially federally financed. The SSP-MOE cases are primarily SNA cases that would be TANF eligible except that they have exceeded the State 60 month time limit, but also include a relatively small number of cases that would be TANF eligible except that they contain a qualified alien barred from the receipt of TANF.

^v Sanctions are not broken down by the FA and SNA categories used elsewhere in the report, but by the TANF, SNA MOE (time limit and qualified alien) and SNA Non-MOE (“singles”) categories. This is because sanction policy varies significantly between the two latter categories, even though they are both classified as SNA.

^{vi} Fair hearings outcomes described in the report are issues affirmed (i.e., the agency’s determination is deemed correct), issues reversed (i.e., where the agency’s determination is incorrect), issues withdrawn, issues correct when made (i.e., where the agency was correct at the time the decision was made, but changes in circumstances require agency action in favor of the client) and a catch-all “other” category.

^{vii} Note that the percentage of domestic violence interviews that result in a credible threat cannot be determined by taking the ratio of interviews that result in a credible threat to the number of persons indicating a current danger. This is because some of the difference between the number indicating a threat and the number where a credible threat was found is due to the client electing not to proceed to the interview stage.

Table of Contents

	Page i	
Section 149.2 Reports		
a. Applications	Page 1	Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance
b. Case Closings and Transfers	Page 5	Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance
c. Subsidized work activities	Page 9	Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance
d. Unsubsidized work activities	Page 14	Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance
e. Training and educational activities	Page 16	Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance
f. Sanctions	Page 19	Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance
g. Case closings to Medicaid	Page 56	Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance
h. Substance abuse treatment programs	Page 58	Department of Health
i. Pregnancy prevention programs	Page 59	Department of Health
j. Disabled and work-limited recipients	Page 62	Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance
k. Food assistance program	Page 64	Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance
l. Domestic violence	Page 65	Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance
Section 149 of the Welfare Reform Act of 1997 (Part B of Chapter 436 of the Laws of 1997)		

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE
 Applications Approved
 Temporary Assistance Programs
 July 2004 - June 2005

Local District	Total	Family Assistance	Safety Net Assistance
New York State	327,767	112,113	215,654
New York City	231,921	72,646	159,275
Rest of State	95,846	39,467	56,379
Albany	3,706	1,678	2,028
Allegany	649	316	333
Broome	3,285	1,407	1,878
Cattaraugus	866	432	434
Cayuga	478	277	201
Chautauqua	1,843	977	866
Chemung	1,738	768	970
Chenango	248	133	115
Clinton	1,209	476	733
Columbia	680	296	384
Cortland	405	210	195
Delaware	281	135	146
Dutchess	1,477	569	908
Erie	12,117	4,691	7,426
Essex	247	146	101
Franklin	604	247	357
Fulton	748	324	424
Genesee	365	191	174
Greene	598	265	333
Hamilton	21	10	11
Herkimer	390	221	169
Jefferson	1,316	509	807
Lewis	138	70	68
Livingston	918	402	516
Madison	220	140	80
Monroe	8,381	2,740	5,641
Montgomery	581	259	322
Nassau	5,728	2,085	3,643
Niagara	2,975	1,242	1,733
Oneida	1,904	965	939
Onondaga	6,672	3,314	3,358
Ontario	1,212	442	770
Orange	2,226	871	1,355
Orleans	760	330	430
Oswego	1,245	695	550
Otsego	156	81	75
Putnam	149	40	109
Rensselaer	1,570	1,130	440
Rockland	983	419	564
St.Lawrence	1,240	597	643
Saratoga	288	126	162
Schenectady	1,798	797	1,001
Schoharie	201	80	121
Schuyler	200	118	82
Seneca	152	74	78
Steuben	990	491	499
Suffolk	9,736	2,776	6,960
Sullivan	948	504	444
Tioga	361	184	177
Tompkins	888	314	574
Ulster	1,459	702	757
Warren	567	252	315
Washington	602	254	348
Wayne	613	264	349
Westchester	6,333	2,240	4,093
Wyoming	239	111	128
Yates	142	80	62

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE
 Application Withdrawals and Denials
 Temporary Assistance Programs
 July 2004 - June 2005

Local District	Withdrawals	Denials					
	Total	Total	Financial	Residence	Compliance Issues		Other
					Employment	Other	
New York State	187,502	199,244	22,869	4,809	14,386	85,834	71,346
New York City	155,225	91,816	5,571	73	4,867	23,201	58,104
Rest of State	32,277	107,428	17,298	4,736	9,519	62,633	13,242
Albany	1,589	3,937	414	418	87	2,668	350
Allegany	165	307	84	12	34	167	10
Broome	678	2,610	985	120	62	1,186	257
Cattaraugus	126	419	52	29	37	209	92
Cayuga	244	364	56	16	120	168	4
Chautauqua	382	1,410	247	75	260	762	66
Chemung	368	961	277	31	32	600	21
Chenango	118	351	37	30	149	135	0
Clinton	247	572	56	27	24	341	124
Columbia	85	445	90	17	87	178	73
Cortland	114	292	56	17	55	154	10
Delaware	112	261	33	10	27	162	29
Dutchess	566	3,134	1,176	281	169	1,347	161
Erie	1,831	5,689	381	82	1,318	3,897	11
Essex	75	379	74	23	6	252	24
Franklin	158	364	32	12	124	156	40
Fulton	260	642	125	28	11	413	65
Genesee	311	608	142	24	168	248	26
Greene	82	107	27	5	5	67	3
Hamilton	5	6	1	1	0	4	0
Herkimer	255	776	142	32	233	309	60
Jefferson	295	1,406	317	43	75	554	417
Lewis	117	243	56	16	23	118	30
Livingston	186	517	54	49	53	301	60
Madison	26	114	23	15	14	56	6
Monroe	4,198	33,138	3,689	505	1,775	21,029	6,140
Montgomery	363	554	119	21	59	266	89
Nassau	1,703	2,809	426	129	144	1,539	571
Niagara	767	2,711	312	66	89	2,182	62
Oneida	929	3,676	760	120	168	2,297	331
Onondaga	2,904	9,199	1,074	221	2,128	4,845	931
Ontario	371	847	102	88	44	575	38
Orange	478	2,002	687	155	47	966	147
Orleans	270	229	31	5	72	114	7
Oswego	259	596	62	29	245	239	21
Otsego	105	493	67	27	29	330	40
Putnam	247	154	17	6	19	92	20
Rensselaer	694	2,255	801	106	11	1,071	266
Rockland	199	565	71	28	32	241	193
St.Lawrence	342	710	48	23	21	513	105
Saratoga	880	2,209	347	142	248	1,357	115
Schenectady	646	178	39	30	31	56	22
Schoharie	135	196	40	19	50	83	4
Schuyler	204	397	59	30	47	251	10
Seneca	304	807	307	21	12	403	64
Steuben	360	1,223	329	78	200	581	35
Suffolk	2,916	7,859	1,496	358	180	4,880	945
Sullivan	565	572	73	30	109	329	31
Tioga	106	244	45	17	86	89	7
Tompkins	219	636	170	65	47	319	35
Ulster	1,035	1,258	272	134	4	554	294
Warren	108	294	71	10	30	171	12
Washington	275	615	77	29	135	360	14
Wayne	140	584	119	23	58	375	9
Westchester	1,832	4,124	595	786	133	1,879	731
Wyoming	278	207	29	13	68	90	7
Yates	50	173	27	9	25	105	7

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE
 Application Withdrawals and Denials
 Family Assistance Program
 July 2004 - June 2005

Local District	Withdrawals	Denials					
	Total	Total	Financial	Residence	Compliance Issues		Other
					Employment	Other	
New York State	60,825	68,470	11,147	1,280	5,807	30,881	19,355
New York City	47,260	26,181	2,589	16	1,779	4,974	16,823
Rest of State	13,565	42,289	8,558	1,264	4,028	25,907	2,532
Albany	559	1,394	197	87	31	1,041	38
Allegany	105	123	45	7	5	65	1
Broome	389	997	362	29	21	531	54
Cattaraugus	65	143	19	8	14	97	5
Cayuga	138	172	21	3	78	68	2
Chautauqua	198	492	100	21	133	217	21
Chemung	148	447	163	6	19	259	0
Chenango	65	181	17	13	84	67	0
Clinton	112	203	20	6	12	135	30
Columbia	47	208	35	4	28	90	51
Cortland	54	112	17	4	32	58	1
Delaware	63	126	19	4	13	90	0
Dutchess	258	1,354	521	78	82	585	88
Erie	791	2,441	186	22	549	1,679	5
Essex	36	150	30	7	0	111	2
Franklin	82	156	15	4	60	65	12
Fulton	148	296	50	13	4	220	9
Genesee	152	247	53	4	83	104	3
Greene	42	64	15	1	2	45	1
Hamilton	2	2	1	0	0	1	0
Herkimer	125	371	57	15	124	171	4
Jefferson	152	557	145	22	41	231	118
Lewis	51	104	27	3	12	51	11
Livingston	78	175	18	20	26	107	4
Madison	13	58	14	7	8	29	0
Monroe	1,781	12,461	2,117	134	940	7,845	1,425
Montgomery	208	312	74	11	50	132	45
Nassau	654	987	192	61	79	585	70
Niagara	446	1,148	164	21	5	957	1
Oneida	409	1,439	348	35	8	1,041	7
Onondaga	536	3,409	524	81	464	2,293	47
Ontario	136	287	34	14	22	215	2
Orange	259	1,039	368	41	12	546	72
Orleans	162	117	10	2	49	54	2
Oswego	147	323	28	8	145	134	8
Otsego	65	207	22	6	19	160	0
Putnam	96	56	3	3	9	39	2
Rensselaer	254	770	327	46	2	394	1
Rockland	89	216	33	8	5	133	37
St.Lawrence	134	278	16	4	11	240	7
Saratoga	376	958	178	58	125	587	10
Schenectady	225	76	18	12	15	27	4
Schoharie	71	103	22	11	26	44	0
Schuyler	116	205	29	10	26	139	1
Seneca	150	393	169	7	4	203	10
Steuben	217	523	162	17	85	254	5
Suffolk	943	2,955	833	71	75	1,904	72
Sullivan	229	264	42	10	71	128	13
Tioga	48	112	18	3	48	43	0
Tompkins	90	214	63	16	20	112	3
Ulster	582	643	151	26	2	301	163
Warren	63	173	40	7	23	94	9
Washington	167	318	38	8	77	195	0
Wayne	73	242	53	5	28	155	1
Westchester	829	1,314	314	130	73	743	54
Wyoming	113	89	10	6	35	38	0
Yates	24	85	11	4	14	55	1

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE
 Application Withdrawals and Denials
 Safety Net Assistance Program
 July 2004 - June 2005

Local District	Withdrawals	Denials					
	Total	Total	Financial	Residence	Compliance Issues		Other
					Employment	Other	
New York State	126,677	130,774	11,722	3,529	8,579	54,953	51,991
New York City	107,965	65,635	2,982	57	3,088	18,227	41,281
Rest of State	18,712	65,139	8,740	3,472	5,491	36,726	10,710
Albany	1,030	2,543	217	331	56	1,627	312
Allegany	60	184	39	5	29	102	9
Broome	289	1,613	623	91	41	655	203
Cattaraugus	61	276	33	21	23	112	87
Cayuga	106	192	35	13	42	100	2
Chautauqua	184	918	147	54	127	545	45
Chemung	220	514	114	25	13	341	21
Chenango	53	170	20	17	65	68	0
Clinton	135	369	36	21	12	206	94
Columbia	38	237	55	13	59	88	22
Cortland	60	180	39	13	23	96	9
Delaware	49	135	14	6	14	72	29
Dutchess	308	1,780	655	203	87	762	73
Erie	1,040	3,248	195	60	769	2,218	6
Essex	39	229	44	16	6	141	22
Franklin	76	208	17	8	64	91	28
Fulton	112	346	75	15	7	193	56
Genesee	159	361	89	20	85	144	23
Greene	40	43	12	4	3	22	2
Hamilton	3	4	0	1	0	3	0
Herkimer	130	405	85	17	109	138	56
Jefferson	143	849	172	21	34	323	299
Lewis	66	139	29	13	11	67	19
Livingston	108	342	36	29	27	194	56
Madison	13	56	9	8	6	27	6
Monroe	2,417	20,677	1,572	371	835	13,184	4,715
Montgomery	155	242	45	10	9	134	44
Nassau	1,049	1,822	234	68	65	954	501
Niagara	321	1,563	148	45	84	1,225	61
Oneida	520	2,237	412	85	160	1,256	324
Onondaga	2,368	5,790	550	140	1,664	2,552	884
Ontario	235	560	68	74	22	360	36
Orange	219	963	319	114	35	420	75
Orleans	108	112	21	3	23	60	5
Oswego	112	273	34	21	100	105	13
Otsego	40	286	45	21	10	170	40
Putnam	151	98	14	3	10	53	18
Rensselaer	440	1,485	474	60	9	677	265
Rockland	110	349	38	20	27	108	156
St.Lawrence	208	432	32	19	10	273	98
Saratoga	504	1,251	169	84	123	770	105
Schenectady	421	102	21	18	16	29	18
Schoharie	64	93	18	8	24	39	4
Schuyler	88	192	30	20	21	112	9
Seneca	154	414	138	14	8	200	54
Steuben	143	700	167	61	115	327	30
Suffolk	1,973	4,904	663	287	105	2,976	873
Sullivan	336	308	31	20	38	201	18
Tioga	58	132	27	14	38	46	7
Tompkins	129	422	107	49	27	207	32
Ulster	453	615	121	108	2	253	131
Warren	45	121	31	3	7	77	3
Washington	108	297	39	21	58	165	14
Wayne	67	342	66	18	30	220	8
Westchester	1,003	2,810	281	656	60	1,136	677
Wyoming	165	118	19	7	33	52	7
Yates	26	88	16	5	11	50	6

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE

Case Closings

Temporary Assistance Programs

July 2004 - June 2005

Local District	Total Closings	Client Request	Financial Issues	Residence Issues	Compliance Issues		Other
					Employment	Other	
New York State	324,441	17,950	67,965	22,015	54,248	137,422	24,841
New York City	204,600	7,424	39,436	5,603	44,986	86,940	20,211
Rest of State	119,841	10,526	28,529	16,412	9,262	50,482	4,630
Albany	4,856	286	1,140	1,087	102	2,012	229
Allegany	723	105	200	137	70	188	23
Broome	3,659	389	1,257	523	190	1,224	76
Cattaraugus	884	156	231	141	51	277	28
Cayuga	482	98	181	77	36	70	20
Chautauqua	2,176	253	732	450	136	519	86
Chemung	2,265	194	548	340	95	1,026	62
Chenango	390	56	117	45	18	135	19
Clinton	1,311	137	429	205	82	408	50
Columbia	744	74	211	69	84	286	20
Cortland	467	67	190	87	20	81	22
Delaware	340	25	80	36	14	170	15
Dutchess	1,691	124	552	210	99	587	119
Erie	13,356	2,125	3,363	1,634	1,522	4,392	320
Essex	340	27	95	60	16	127	15
Franklin	647	88	124	69	142	205	19
Fulton	894	84	276	72	47	375	40
Genesee	454	39	205	52	13	128	17
Greene	719	75	262	112	41	197	32
Hamilton	20	4	8	4	0	3	1
Herkimer	459	47	154	42	9	189	18
Jefferson	1,520	240	361	194	167	514	44
Lewis	150	28	36	15	5	51	15
Livingston	1,089	154	256	105	90	455	29
Madison	214	38	67	26	4	55	24
Monroe	12,685	604	2,669	1,378	667	6,620	747
Montgomery	725	80	237	99	77	188	44
Nassau	6,380	400	1,384	866	377	3,126	227
Niagara	3,609	285	895	272	305	1,751	101
Oneida	2,376	206	762	393	94	845	76
Onondaga	9,461	530	2,092	993	1,013	4,503	330
Ontario	1,293	112	391	242	117	394	37
Orange	2,681	231	591	599	119	1,057	84
Orleans	843	109	271	136	88	212	27
Oswego	1,601	186	270	138	218	722	67
Otsego	197	31	60	31	3	62	10
Putnam	169	18	58	35	5	43	10
Rensselaer	1,802	130	554	255	15	781	67
Rockland	1,116	109	279	184	69	423	52
St.Lawrence	253	71	46	45	5	81	5
Saratoga	2,073	109	503	262	229	874	96
Schenectady	235	25	73	29	21	70	17
Schoharie	273	29	73	59	23	77	12
Schuyler	190	34	74	38	1	40	3
Seneca	1,485	238	415	160	98	553	21
Steuben	1,311	166	364	235	87	436	23
Suffolk	11,380	687	1,764	1,346	896	6,328	359
Sullivan	995	106	273	185	132	249	50
Tioga	439	55	150	112	28	88	6
Tompkins	1,108	87	310	130	58	463	60
Ulster	1,705	187	435	296	31	599	157
Warren	619	70	211	56	52	204	26
Washington	686	73	141	70	104	274	24
Wayne	719	66	218	100	69	241	25
Westchester	11,147	509	1,757	1,821	1,169	5,397	494
Wyoming	234	51	54	27	34	56	12
Yates	201	19	80	28	5	51	18

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE

Case Closings

Family Assistance Program

July 2004 - June 2005

Local District	Total Closings	Client Request	Financial Issues	Residence Issues	Compliance Issues		Other
					Employment	Other	
New York State	107,399	10,696	24,466	7,973	9,748	47,716	6,800
New York City	59,880	4,491	12,450	1,746	7,545	28,340	5,308
Rest of State	47,519	6,205	12,016	6,227	2,203	19,376	1,492
Albany	2,054	164	601	413	12	803	61
Allegany	341	70	84	69	14	97	7
Broome	1,613	253	507	216	38	572	27
Cattaraugus	440	120	96	69	17	126	12
Cayuga	261	62	88	52	11	42	6
Chautauqua	1,111	183	363	257	39	227	42
Chemung	1,094	132	242	136	25	536	23
Chenango	216	40	56	26	7	79	8
Clinton	505	94	164	68	12	151	16
Columbia	326	49	84	28	30	128	7
Cortland	241	46	99	39	7	39	11
Delaware	164	15	27	18	4	92	8
Dutchess	654	75	205	63	17	249	45
Erie	4,692	827	1,435	634	326	1,407	63
Essex	188	22	45	31	3	83	4
Franklin	281	53	50	31	42	97	8
Fulton	433	58	118	26	6	203	22
Genesee	220	28	98	17	1	68	8
Greene	302	46	112	46	6	80	12
Hamilton	9	3	4	2	0	0	0
Herkimer	262	32	83	18	5	114	10
Jefferson	605	142	154	65	51	183	10
Lewis	82	22	13	8	2	31	6
Livingston	481	87	120	48	26	188	12
Madison	130	30	31	16	3	34	16
Monroe	3,708	336	965	407	96	1,769	135
Montgomery	317	56	106	39	17	80	19
Nassau	2,333	282	514	355	84	1,025	73
Niagara	1,486	180	423	132	22	703	26
Oneida	1,213	142	369	184	23	470	25
Onondaga	4,704	385	947	480	346	2,355	191
Ontario	443	67	138	80	23	121	14
Orange	995	138	223	167	17	422	28
Orleans	358	68	106	62	27	82	13
Oswego	907	136	116	85	90	453	27
Otsego	86	16	19	15	0	31	5
Putnam	47	8	10	15	0	11	3
Rensselaer	1,242	111	310	197	4	577	43
Rockland	448	50	117	85	10	171	15
St.Lawrence	115	46	8	22	1	38	0
Saratoga	925	76	193	95	81	443	37
Schenectady	98	14	24	15	8	33	4
Schoharie	146	14	38	37	13	38	6
Schuyler	91	22	31	16	0	22	0
Seneca	699	145	177	63	20	287	7
Steuben	617	104	143	104	16	246	4
Suffolk	3,314	376	670	361	158	1,667	82
Sullivan	506	75	152	77	60	120	22
Tioga	220	36	66	63	5	48	2
Tompkins	421	58	113	45	11	175	19
Ulster	773	131	193	112	2	261	74
Warren	258	39	86	24	23	75	11
Washington	285	47	53	32	36	110	7
Wayne	300	44	82	38	10	121	5
Westchester	3,536	293	690	399	286	1,729	139
Wyoming	110	40	18	8	9	31	4
Yates	113	17	37	17	1	33	8

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE

Case Closings

Safety Net Assistance Program

July 2004 - June 2005

Local District	Total Closings	Client Request	Financial Issues	Residence Issues	Compliance Issues		Other
					Employment	Other	
New York State	217,042	7,254	43,499	14,042	44,500	89,706	18,041
New York City	144,720	2,933	26,986	3,857	37,441	58,600	14,903
Rest of State	72,322	4,321	16,513	10,185	7,059	31,106	3,138
Albany	2,802	122	539	674	90	1,209	168
Allegany	382	35	116	68	56	91	16
Broome	2,046	136	750	307	152	652	49
Cattaraugus	444	36	135	72	34	151	16
Cayuga	221	36	93	25	25	28	14
Chautauqua	1,065	70	369	193	97	292	44
Chemung	1,171	62	306	204	70	490	39
Chenango	174	16	61	19	11	56	11
Clinton	806	43	265	137	70	257	34
Columbia	418	25	127	41	54	158	13
Cortland	226	21	91	48	13	42	11
Delaware	176	10	53	18	10	78	7
Dutchess	1,037	49	347	147	82	338	74
Erie	8,664	1,298	1,928	1,000	1,196	2,985	257
Essex	152	5	50	29	13	44	11
Franklin	366	35	74	38	100	108	11
Fulton	461	26	158	46	41	172	18
Genesee	234	11	107	35	12	60	9
Greene	417	29	150	66	35	117	20
Hamilton	11	1	4	2	0	3	1
Herkimer	197	15	71	24	4	75	8
Jefferson	915	98	207	129	116	331	34
Lewis	68	6	23	7	3	20	9
Livingston	608	67	136	57	64	267	17
Madison	84	8	36	10	1	21	8
Monroe	8,977	268	1,704	971	571	4,851	612
Montgomery	408	24	131	60	60	108	25
Nassau	4,047	118	870	511	293	2,101	154
Niagara	2,123	105	472	140	283	1,048	75
Oneida	1,163	64	393	209	71	375	51
Onondaga	4,757	145	1,145	513	667	2,148	139
Ontario	850	45	253	162	94	273	23
Orange	1,686	93	368	432	102	635	56
Orleans	485	41	165	74	61	130	14
Oswego	694	50	154	53	128	269	40
Otsego	111	15	41	16	3	31	5
Putnam	122	10	48	20	5	32	7
Rensselaer	560	19	244	58	11	204	24
Rockland	668	59	162	99	59	252	37
St.Lawrence	138	25	38	23	4	43	5
Saratoga	1,148	33	310	167	148	431	59
Schenectady	137	11	49	14	13	37	13
Schoharie	127	15	35	22	10	39	6
Schuyler	99	12	43	22	1	18	3
Seneca	786	93	238	97	78	266	14
Steuben	694	62	221	131	71	190	19
Suffolk	8,066	311	1,094	985	738	4,661	277
Sullivan	489	31	121	108	72	129	28
Tioga	219	19	84	49	23	40	4
Tompkins	687	29	197	85	47	288	41
Ulster	932	56	242	184	29	338	83
Warren	361	31	125	32	29	129	15
Washington	401	26	88	38	68	164	17
Wayne	419	22	136	62	59	120	20
Westchester	7,611	216	1,067	1,422	883	3,668	355
Wyoming	124	11	36	19	25	25	8
Yates	88	2	43	11	4	18	10

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE
**Cases Transferred in
 Temporary Assistance Programs
 July 2004 - June 2005**

Cases Transferred from Family Assistance to Safety Net Assistance		Cases Transferred from Safety Net Assistance to Family Assistance	
New York State	21,053	New York State	10,083
New York City	14,759	New York City	6,784
Rest of State	6,294	Rest of State	3,299
Albany	327	Albany	157
Allegany	40	Allegany	19
Broome	203	Broome	116
Cattaraugus	39	Cattaraugus	19
Cayuga	35	Cayuga	22
Chautauqua	162	Chautauqua	87
Chemung	120	Chemung	56
Chenango	16	Chenango	2
Clinton	55	Clinton	28
Columbia	26	Columbia	13
Cortland	26	Cortland	15
Delaware	6	Delaware	5
Dutchess	75	Dutchess	35
Erie	916	Erie	387
Essex	11	Essex	2
Franklin	29	Franklin	21
Fulton	22	Fulton	9
Genesee	25	Genesee	9
Greene	49	Greene	26
Hamilton	0	Hamilton	0
Herkimer	16	Herkimer	7
Jefferson	70	Jefferson	39
Lewis	5	Lewis	2
Livingston	45	Livingston	27
Madison	5	Madison	4
Monroe	877	Monroe	657
Montgomery	24	Montgomery	15
Nassau	263	Nassau	94
Niagara	181	Niagara	95
Oneida	165	Oneida	69
Onondaga	325	Onondaga	211
Ontario	35	Ontario	30
Orange	136	Orange	54
Orleans	42	Orleans	17
Oswego	64	Oswego	30
Otsego	6	Otsego	3
Putnam	2	Putnam	2
Rensselaer	92	Rensselaer	35
Rockland	57	Rockland	39
St.Lawrence	93	St.Lawrence	41
Saratoga	1	Saratoga	1
Schenectady	98	Schenectady	49
Schoharie	1	Schoharie	3
Schuyler	10	Schuyler	3
Seneca	5	Seneca	5
Steuben	76	Steuben	23
Suffolk	447	Suffolk	292
Sullivan	50	Sullivan	28
Tioga	19	Tioga	12
Tompkins	59	Tompkins	27
Ulster	75	Ulster	42
Warren	14	Warren	10
Washington	17	Washington	11
Wayne	23	Wayne	17
Westchester	699	Westchester	265
Wyoming	10	Wyoming	6
Yates	5	Yates	6

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

TANF

	Annual Averages (10/03 - 9/04)	Approximate Standard Error	Approximate 95% Confidence Limits	
			Lower	Upper
C. Data Related to Work Activities Subsidized or Otherwise Sponsored				
1. No. of Recipients Participating in Work Activities <u>\1</u>	13,900	± 800	12,300	15,500
2. No. of Recipients Receiving an Exemption from Participating in Work Activities or Disregarded from the Participation Rate	29,000	± 1,000	27,000	31,000
Exempt	16,500	± 900	14,700	18,300
Disabled <u>\2</u>	15,200	± 800	13,600	16,800
Other <u>\3</u>	1,200	± 200	800	1,600
Disregarded	12,500	± 800	10,900	14,100
Child Less Than 1 Year <u>\4</u>	4,800	± 500	3,800	5,800
Sanctioned <u>\5</u>	7,800	± 600	6,600	9,000
5. Type of Work Activities <u>\6</u>				
Subsidized Private Sector Employment	200	*	*	*
Subsidized Public Sector Employment	1,400	300	800	2,000
Work Experience	5,400	± 600	4,200	6,600
On the Job Training	100	*	*	*
Job Search/Job Readiness Training	1,400	± 300	800	2,000
Community Service Program	5,900	± 600	4,700	7,100
Child Care Provider	0	*	*	*
6. Hours of Work per Week <u>\7</u>	27.8	± 0.5	26.8	28.8
* Due to the small sample size, the standard error and confidence limits were not computed.				

General Comments:

The figures presented on these tables are based on Federal Fiscal Year 2003 data from the TANF quarterly reports submitted by New York State. These data exclude TANF cases that transitioned into SSP-MOE after Dec. 2001 due to reaching the Federal time limit. Data for SSP-MOE cases are presented in a separate table. Participation rates, characteristics and financial circumstances from these submittals have been released by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services/Administration for Children and Families (HHS/ACF).

The data published in this report reflect all individuals engaged in work activities, regardless of the number of hours in these activities, rather than cases meeting the more restrictive participation criteria, as published by the HHS/ACF. Sample results for each month were inflated to reflect the universe of TANF cases as reported in Section 3 of the TANF quarterly report. For the count of each individual activity/transaction/characteristic appearing in this report, we followed the Federal methodology that averages monthly data to obtain fiscal year figures.

See Footnotes

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

Data Related to Work Activities Subsidized or Otherwise Sponsored

- 1 Average monthly number of TANF participants in subsidized work activities (subsidized private and public employment, and on-the-job training), work experience, job search/job readiness training, community service program, or providing child care services to an individual who is participating in a community service program. Recipients may be participating in more than one work activity. Subsidized work activities are not the only activities that count toward federal participation rate requirements. Additional activities can be found in Sections 149.2.d and 149.2.e.
- 2 Average monthly number of disabled individuals who are exempt from participating in work activities. Under Section 332-b of the Social Services Law, disabled individuals who are determined to be unable to engage in work activities are exempt in New York from participating in work activities. Despite this exemption, the TANF family is included in the calculation of the participation rate.
- 3 Average monthly number of individuals exempt from participating in work activities for other reasons. These data reflect recipients who are exempt from participating in work activities due to age, caring for a disabled family member in the home, being a victim of domestic violence, and VESID involvement. Despite this exemption, the TANF family is included in the calculation of the participation rate.
- 4 Average number of one-parent cases that have a child less than 1 year old who are disregarded from the participation rate calculation. Single custodial parents, or caretakers with a child under 12 months of age, who have not been required to participate are disregarded from the rate. The TANF family is thus excluded from the calculation of the participation rate. This disregard is limited to 12 months total during the 60 months lifetime limit.
- 5 Average monthly number of sanctioned individuals who are disregarded from the participation rate calculation. These data reflect recipients that are required to participate, but have been sanctioned for not participating. The cases in which these individuals are included are disregarded from the participation rate calculation as a result of being sanctioned during the reporting month, unless the case meets the required participation rate hours. These cases may be excluded from the calculation of the participation rate for up to 3 months during each federal fiscal year.
- 6 Average monthly number of TANF participants in subsidized/sponsored work activities by activity.
- 7 Average number of hours of participation per week per TANF participant in subsidized/sponsored work activities.

* Note: The standard error, or standard deviation, of a sample estimate indicates how close the sample estimate comes to the corresponding unknown measurement for the entire population. The standard error of a sample estimate gives us the precision, that is, the range in which the true population value most likely falls. The true population value is expected to lie between these limits. The confidence levels give us the likelihood or probability that it will fall within that range, that is, the assurance that the true value would fall in this range. A 95% confidence level tells us that if we pick 100 similar samples from the population, the sample estimate would fall within approximately plus or minus 2 standard errors of the true value in 95 of those samples. (These are referred to as the upper and lower confidence limits.)

For example, the annual average of the number of TANF recipients found to be exempt due to a disability is 16,500 with an approximate standard error of 900. The approximate lower confidence limit is $16,500 - (2)(900) = 14,700$, and the corresponding upper confidence limit is $16,500 + (2)(900) = 18,300$. While it is not likely that the true population value is exactly 16,500 (the value based on the sample), we are 95% confident that the true population value is between 14,700 and 18,300.

The standard errors of the number of participants possessing a given characteristic, e.g. engaged in a certain type of work activity, were obtained by calculating the standard error of the proportion of those individuals in the sample. The proportions were then applied to the total population of the TANF cases. Therefore, the result is a measure of the number of participants with a given characteristic in the entire population.

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

SSP-MOE

	Annual Averages (10/03 - 9/04)	Approximate Standard Error	Approximate 95% Confidence Limits	
			Lower	Upper
C. Data Related to Work Activities				
Subsidized or Otherwise Sponsored				
1. No. of Recipients Participating in Work Activities \1	8,400	± 400	7,600	9,200
2. No. of Recipients Receiving an Exemption from Participating in Work Activities or Disregarded from the Participation Rate	14,400	± 400	13,600	15,200
Exempt	7,600	± 400	6,800	8,400
Disabled \2	6,000	± 300	5,400	6,600
Other \3	1,600	± 200	1,200	2,000
Disregarded	6,800	± 400	6,000	7,600
Child Less Than 1 Year \4	900	± 100	700	1,100
Sanctioned \5	5,900	± 300	5,300	6,500
5. Type of Work Activities \6				
Subsidized Private Sector Employment	0	*	*	*
Subsidized Public Sector Employment	1,600	± 200	1,200	2,000
Work Experience	4,300	± 300	3,700	4,900
On the Job Training	0	*	*	*
Job Search/Job Readiness Training	300	*	*	*
Community Service Program	2,200	± 200	1,800	2,600
Child Care Provider	0	*	*	*
6. Hours of Work per Week \7	34.3	± 0.3	33.7	34.9
* Due to the small sample size, the standard error and confidence limits were not computed.				

General Comments:

The figures presented on these tables are based on Federal Fiscal Year 2004 data from the SSP-MOE quarterly reports submitted by New York State. These are cases that transition out of TANF into the State's Safety Net program after Dec. 2001 due to reaching the Federal time limit. Participation rates, characteristics and financial circumstances from these submittals have been released by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services/Administration for Children and Families (HHS/ACF).

The data published in this report reflect all individuals engaged in work activities, regardless of the number of hours in these activities, rather than cases meeting the more restrictive participation criteria, as published by the HHS/ACF. Sample results for each month were inflated to reflect the universe of SSP-MOE cases as reported in Section 3 of the SSP-MOE quarterly report. For the count of each individual activity/transaction/characteristic appearing in this report, we followed the Federal methodology that averages monthly data to obtain fiscal year figures.

See Footnotes

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

Data Related to Work Activities Subsidized or Otherwise Sponsored

Footnotes:

- 1 Average monthly number of SSP-MOE participants in subsidized work activities (subsidized private and public employment, and on-the-job training), work experience, job search/job readiness training, community service program, or providing child care services to an individual who is participating in a community service program. Recipients may be participating in more than one work activity. Subsidized work activities are not the only activities that count toward federal participation rate requirements. Additional activities can be found in Sections 149.2.d and 149.2.e.
- 2 Average monthly number of disabled individuals who are exempt from participating in work activities. Under Section 332-b of the Social Services Law, disabled individuals who are determined to be unable to engage in work activities are exempt in New York from participating in work activities. Despite this exemption, the SSP-MOE family is included in the calculation of the participation rate.
- 3 Average monthly number of individuals exempt from participating in work activities for other reasons. These data reflect recipients who are exempt from participating in work activities due to age, caring for a disabled family member in the home, being a victim of domestic violence, and VESID involvement. Despite this exemption, the SSP-MOE family is included in the calculation of the participation rate.
- 4 Average number of one-parent cases that have a child less than 1 year old who are disregarded from the participation rate calculation. Single custodial parents, or caretakers with a child under 12 months of age, who have not been required to participate are disregarded from the rate. The SSP-MOE family is thus excluded from the calculation of the participation rate. This disregard is limited to 12 months total during the 60 months lifetime limit.
- 5 Average monthly number of sanctioned individuals who are disregarded from the participation rate calculation. These data reflect recipients that are required to participate, but have been sanctioned for not participating. The cases in which these individuals are included are disregarded from the participation rate calculation as a result of being sanctioned during the reporting month, unless the case meets the required participation rate hours. These cases may be excluded from the calculation of the participation rate for up to 3 months during each federal fiscal year.
- 6 Average monthly number of SSP-MOE participants in subsidized/sponsored work activities by activity.
- 7 Average number of hours of participation per week per SSP-MOE participant in subsidized/sponsored work activities.

* **Note:** The **standard error**, or standard deviation, of a sample estimate indicates how close the sample estimate comes to the corresponding unknown measurement for the entire population. The standard error of a sample estimate gives us the precision, that is, the range in which the true population value most likely falls. The true population value is expected to lie between these limits. The confidence levels give us the likelihood or probability that it will fall within that range, that is, the assurance that the true value would fall in this range. A 95% confidence level tells us that if we pick 100 similar samples from the population, the sample estimate would fall within approximately plus or minus 2 standard errors of the true value in 95 of those samples. (These are referred to as the upper and lower confidence limits.)

For example, the annual average of the number of SSP-MOE recipients found to be exempt due to a disability is 7,600 with an approximate standard error of 400. The approximate lower confidence limit is $7,600 - (2)(400) = 6,800$, and the corresponding upper confidence limit is $7,600 + (2)(400) = 8,400$. While it is not likely that the true population value is exactly 7,600 (the value based on the sample), we are 95% confident that the true population value is between 6,800 and 8,400.

The standard errors of the number of participants possessing a given characteristic, e.g. engaged in a certain type of work activity, were obtained by calculating the standard error of the proportion of those individuals in the sample. The proportions were then applied to the total population of the SSP-MOE cases. Therefore, the result is a measure of the number of participants with a given characteristic in the entire population.

The results obtained were based on monthly stratified samples and the stratification process varied, thus the results are approximate. Stratification is a statistical process in which the total population is divided into mutually exclusive subpopulations, prior to sampling. This process was required by the federal government in order to better gauge the characteristics of the subpopulations.

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

C. Expenditures in Support of Work Activities

	Work Activities October 2003 through September 2004			
	<u>FEDERAL</u>	<u>STATE</u>	<u>LOCAL</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
Family Assistance (TANF - 265 Fund)	\$198,907,659	\$42,646,627	\$36,462,893	\$278,017,179
Safety Net	\$0	\$17,104,583	\$14,999,951	\$32,104,534
FSET (Food Stamp - 261 Fund)	\$61,477,957	\$23,169,288	\$24,610,726	\$109,257,971
Welfare to Work Block Grant	\$7,844,382	\$3,936,694	\$61,402	\$11,842,478
TOTAL	\$268,229,998	\$86,857,192	\$76,134,972	\$431,222,162

All of the activities supported by the expenditures shown in the chart above are designed to emphasize work and work preparation activities including basic education and post-secondary education.

The above expenditures cannot be distributed by county as several of the welfare employment programs are administered by providers who serve a multi-county geographic area.

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

TANF

	Annual Averages (10/03 - 9/04)	Approximate Standard Error	Approximate 95% Confidence Limits	
			Lower	Upper
D. Data related to Unsubsidized Work Activities				
1. No. of Recipients Hired \bar{x}	17,200	± 900	15,400	19,000

(Also see **General Comments** in the work activities table Section 149.2.c.)

\bar{x} Average monthly number of TANF participants in unsubsidized employment. These data reflect active recipients with budgeted earned income. Unsubsidized employment is not the only activity that counts toward federal participation rate requirements. Additional activities can be found in Sections 149.2.c and 149.2.e.

*** Note:** The **standard error**, or standard deviation, of a sample estimate indicates how close the sample estimate comes to the corresponding unknown measurement for the entire population. The standard error of a sample estimate gives us the precision, that is, the range in which the true population value most likely falls. The true population value is expected to lie between these limits. The confidence levels give us the likelihood or probability that it will fall within that range, that is, the assurance that the true value would fall in this range. A 95% confidence level tells us that if we pick 100 similar samples from the population, the sample estimate would fall within approximately plus or minus 2 standard errors of the true value in 95 of those samples. (These are referred to as the upper and lower confidence limits.)

For example, the annual average of the number of TANF recipients found to be exempt due to a disability is 17,200 with an approximate standard error of 900. The approximate lower confidence limit is $17,200 - (2)(900) = 15,400$, and the corresponding upper confidence limit is $17,200 + (2)(900) = 19,000$. While it is not likely that the true population value is exactly 17,200 (the value based on the sample), we are 95% confident that the true population value is between 15,400 and 19,000.

The standard errors of the number of participants possessing a given characteristic, e.g. engaged in a certain type of work activity, were obtained by calculating the standard error of the proportion of those individuals in the sample. The proportions were then applied to the total population of TANF cases. Therefore, the result is a measure of the number of participants with a given characteristic in the entire population.

The results obtained were based on monthly stratified samples and the stratification process varied, thus the results are approximate. Stratification is a statistical process in which the total population is divided into mutually exclusive subpopulations, prior to sampling. This process was required by the federal government in order to better gauge the characteristics of the subpopulations.

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

SSP-MOE

	Annual Averages (10/03 - 9/04)	Approximate Standard Error	Approximate 95% Confidence Limits	
			Lower	Upper
D. Data related to Unsubsidized Work Activities				
1. No. of Recipients Hired \bar{x}	14,800	± 500	13,800	15,800

(Also see **General Comments** in the work activities table Section 149.2.c.)

\bar{x} Average monthly number of SSP-MOE participants in unsubsidized employment. These data reflect active recipients with budgeted earned income. Unsubsidized employment is not the only activity that counts toward federal participation rate requirements. Additional activities can be found in Sections 149.2.c and 149.2.e.

*** Note:** The **standard error**, or standard deviation, of a sample estimate indicates how close the sample estimate comes to the corresponding unknown measurement for the entire population. The standard error of a sample estimate gives us the precision, that is, the range in which the true population value most likely falls. The true population value is expected to lie between these limits. The confidence levels give us the likelihood or probability that it will fall within that range, that is, the assurance that the true value would fall in this range. A 95% confidence level tells us that if we pick 100 similar samples from the population, the sample estimate would fall within approximately plus or minus 2 standard errors of the true value in 95 of those samples. (These are referred to as the upper and lower confidence limits.)

For example, the annual average of the number of SSP-MOE recipients found to be exempt due to a disability is 6,000 with an approximate standard error of 400. The approximate lower confidence limit is $6,000 - (2)(400) = 5,200$, and the corresponding upper confidence limit is $6,000 + (2)(400) = 6,800$. While it is not likely that the true population value is exactly 6,000 (the value based on the sample), we are 95% confident that the true population value is between 5,200 and 6,800.

The standard errors of the number of participants possessing a given characteristic, e.g. engaged in a certain type of work activity, were obtained by calculating the standard error of the proportion of those individuals in the sample. The proportions were then applied to the total population of SSP-MOE cases. Therefore, the result is a measure of the number of participants with a given characteristic in the entire population.

The results obtained were based on monthly stratified samples and the stratification process varied, thus the results are approximate. Stratification is a statistical process in which the total population is divided into mutually exclusive subpopulations, prior to sampling. This process was required by the federal government in order to better gauge the characteristics of the subpopulations.

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

TANF

	Annual Averages (10/03 - 9/04)	Approximate Standard Error	Approximate 95% Confidence Limits	
			Lower	Upper
E. Data related to Recipient Training and Education Activities				
1. No. of Recipients in Training or Educational Activities by Type of Activity \9	4,300	± 500	3,300	5,300
Vocational Education	3,700	± 500	2,700	4,700
Job Skills Training	100	*	*	*
Education Employment Related	200	*	*	*
School Attendance	300	*	*	*
3. Length of and Duration of Training or Educational Activities				
Hours per Week \10	29.4	± 0.6	28.2	30.6

* Due to the small sample size, the standard error and confidence limits were not computed.

(Also see **General Comments** in the work activities table Section 149.2.c.)

\9 Average monthly number of TANF participants in education and training activities - total and by activity (vocational education, job skills training directly related to employment, education directly related to employment for individuals with no high school diploma or certificate of high school equivalency, or satisfactory school attendance for individuals with no high school diploma or certificate of high school equivalency). Training and educational activities are not the only activities that count toward federal participation rate requirements. Additional activities can be found in Sections 149.2.c and 149.2.d.

\10 Average number of hours of participation per week per TANF participant in education and training activities.

Note: The **standard error**, or standard deviation, of a sample estimate indicates how close the sample estimate comes to the corresponding unknown measurement for the entire population. The standard error of a sample estimate gives us the precision, that is, the range in which the true population value most likely falls. The true population value is expected to lie between these limits. The confidence levels give us the likelihood or probability that it will fall within that range, that is, the assurance that the true value would fall in this range. A 95% confidence level tells us that if we pick 100 similar samples from the population, the sample estimate would fall within approximately plus or minus 2 standard errors of the true value in 95 of those samples. (These are referred to as the upper and lower confidence limits.)

For example, the annual average of the number of TANF recipients found to be exempt due to a disability is 17,400 with an approximate standard error of 900. The approximate lower confidence limit is $17,400 - (2)(900) = 15,600$, and the corresponding upper confidence limit is $17,400 + (2)(900) = 19,200$. While it is not likely that the true population value is exactly 17,400 (the value based on the sample), we are 95% confident that the true population value is between 15,600 and 19,200.

The standard errors of the number of participants possessing a given characteristic, e.g. engaged in a certain type of work activity, were obtained by calculating the standard error of the proportion of those individuals in the sample. The proportions were then applied to the total population of TANF cases. Therefore, the result is a measure of the number of participants with a given characteristic in the entire population.

The results obtained were based on monthly stratified samples and the stratification process varied, thus the results are approximate. Stratification is a statistical process in which the total population is divided into mutually exclusive subpopulations, prior to sampling. This process was required by the federal government in order to better gauge the characteristics of the subpopulations.

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

SSP-MOE

	Annual Averages (10/03 - 9/04)	Approximate Standard Error		Approximate 95% Confidence Limits	
				Lower	Upper
E. Data related to Recipient Training and Education Activities					
1. No. of Recipients in Training or Educational Activities by Type of Activity <u>\9</u>	1,900	±	200	1,500	2,300
Vocational Education	1,700	±	200	1,300	2,100
Job Skills Training	100		*	*	*
Education Employment Related	0		*	*	*
School Attendance	0		*	*	*
3. Length of and Duration of Training or Educational Activities					
Hours per Week <u>\10</u>	32.7	±	0.3	32.1	33.3
* Due to the small sample size, the standard error and confidence limits were not computed.					

(Also see **General Comments** in the work activities table Section 149.2.c.)

\9 Average monthly number of SSP-MOE participants in education and training activities - total and by activity (vocational education, job skills training directly related to employment, education directly related to employment for individuals with no high school diploma or certificate of high school equivalency, or satisfactory school attendance for individuals with no high school diploma or certificate of high school equivalency). Training and educational activities are not the only activities that count toward federal participation rate requirements. Additional activities can be found in Sections 149.2.c and 149.2.d.

\10 Average number of hours of participation per week per SSP-MOE participant in education and training activities.

* **Note:** The **standard error**, or standard deviation, of a sample estimate indicates how close the sample estimate comes to the corresponding unknown measurement for the entire population. The standard error of a sample estimate gives us the precision, that is, the range in which the true population value most likely falls. The true population value is expected to lie between these limits. The confidence levels give us the likelihood or probability that it will fall within that range, that is, the assurance that the true value would fall in this range. A 95% confidence level tells us that if we pick 100 similar samples from the population, the sample estimate would fall within approximately plus or minus 2 standard errors of the true value in 95 of those samples. (These are referred to as the upper and lower confidence limits.)

For example, the annual average of the number of SSP-MOE recipients found to be exempt due to a disability is 6,000 with an approximate standard error of 400. The approximate lower confidence limit is $6,000 - (2)(400) = 5,200$, and the corresponding upper confidence limit is $6,000 + (2)(400) = 6,800$. While it is not likely that the true population value is exactly 6,000 (the value based on the sample), we are 95% confident that the true population value is between 5,200 and 6,800.

The standard errors of the number of participants possessing a given characteristic, e.g. engaged in a certain type of work activity, were obtained by calculating the standard error of the proportion of those individuals in the sample. The proportions were then applied to the total population of SSP-MOE cases. Therefore, the result is a measure of the number of participants with a given characteristic in the entire population.

The results obtained were based on monthly stratified samples and the stratification process varied, thus the results are approximate. Stratification is a statistical process in which the total population is divided into mutually exclusive subpopulations, prior to sampling. This process was required by the federal government in order to better gauge the characteristics of the subpopulations.

E. Expenditures in Support of Training and Education Activities

All of the activities and associated expenditures for the welfare employment programs are designed to emphasize work and work preparation activities including basic education and post-secondary education. As such, please refer to Section 149.2.c "Expenditures in Support of Work Activities" for further information.

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE

Monthly Average Number of Recipients in Sanction Status

Temporary Assistance
July 2004 - June 2005

Local District	Employment		Child Support		Drug/Alcohol		Intentional Program Violation	Other	Total		Grand Total
	Non Durational	Durational	Non Durational	Durational	Non Durational	Durational	Durational	Non Durational	Non Durational	Durational	
New York State	874	31,740	435	0	1,079	1,593	294	246	2,634	33,627	36,261
New York City	352	26,214	4	0	795	533	40	148	1,299	26,787	28,086
Rest of State	522	5,526	431	0	284	1,060	254	98	1,335	6,840	8,175
Albany	18	218	14	0	21	69	8	3	56	295	351
Allegany	5	62	3	0	2	4	1	3	13	67	80
Broome	33	226	18	0	3	34	51	8	62	311	373
Cattaraugus	12	39	1	0	1	10	0	5	19	49	68
Cayuga	5	74	6	0	2	4	0	0	13	78	91
Chautauqua	5	136	3	0	5	14	10	1	14	160	174
Chemung	24	193	1	0	4	11	1	1	30	205	235
Chenango	0	28	4	0	0	2	2	0	4	32	36
Clinton	9	57	1	0	2	8	3	1	13	68	81
Columbia	8	19	5	0	3	11	0	0	16	30	46
Cortland	6	23	0	0	1	2	0	0	7	25	32
Delaware	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	4	4
Dutchess	17	86	5	0	2	20	1	1	25	107	132
Erie	13	616	39	0	51	112	22	10	113	750	863
Essex	3	31	0	0	1	3	2	1	5	36	41
Franklin	6	44	0	0	4	2	3	2	12	49	61
Fulton	8	30	1	0	0	2	0	0	9	32	41
Genesee	3	20	0	0	0	2	0	0	3	22	25
Greene	1	34	1	0	2	12	3	0	4	49	53
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	2	42	1	0	1	3	2	0	4	47	51
Jefferson	7	114	2	0	3	17	2	3	15	133	148
Lewis	4	10	1	0	1	0	0	0	6	10	16
Livingston	3	68	4	0	4	10	0	1	12	78	90
Madison	0	4	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	5	6
Monroe	17	551	84	0	23	331	22	7	131	904	1,035
Montgomery	2	41	1	0	0	5	0	0	3	46	49
Nassau	6	208	14	0	10	39	3	11	41	250	291
Niagara	43	236	11	0	17	36	3	1	72	275	347
Oneida	16	162	29	0	4	11	41	7	56	214	270
Onondaga	85	657	14	0	9	13	2	5	113	672	785
Ontario	9	53	0	0	2	6	2	0	11	61	72
Orange	4	167	13	0	3	16	5	4	24	188	212
Orleans	2	34	0	0	1	5	0	0	3	39	42
Oswego	19	59	3	0	14	10	4	0	36	73	109
Otsego	1	7	1	0	0	1	1	0	2	9	11
Putnam	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	3	5
Rensselaer	37	76	33	0	6	11	0	0	76	87	163
Rockland	0	67	11	0	2	10	1	1	14	78	92
St.Lawrence	9	134	1	0	6	3	5	0	16	142	158
Saratoga	0	3	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	7	7
Schenectady	20	95	3	0	4	30	2	0	27	127	154
Schoharie	0	3	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	4	5
Schuyler	0	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	13	13
Seneca	1	13	0	0	1	2	1	0	2	16	18
Steuben	12	88	2	0	0	7	9	2	16	104	120
Suffolk	5	280	24	0	47	40	11	5	81	331	412
Sullivan	4	57	4	0	2	7	2	0	10	66	76
Tioga	2	21	2	0	0	2	0	0	4	23	27
Tompkins	3	50	0	0	3	9	0	1	7	59	66
Ulster	1	46	2	0	4	25	1	2	9	72	81
Warren	5	13	0	0	4	8	1	0	9	22	31
Washington	2	8	1	0	4	3	0	0	7	11	18
Wayne	7	42	2	0	0	4	1	0	9	47	56
Westchester	13	144	63	0	2	64	23	10	88	231	319
Wyoming	4	12	0	0	2	2	0	0	6	14	20
Yates	0	8	2	0	0	1	1	1	3	10	13

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE

Monthly Average Number of Recipients in Sanction Status

Temporary Assistance to Needy Families

July 2004 - June 2005

Local District	Employment		Child Support		Drug/Alcohol		Intentional Program Violation	Other	Total		Grand Total
	Non Durational	Durational	Non Durational	Durational	Non Durational	Durational	Durational	Non Durational	Non Durational	Durational	
New York State	435	14,627	341	0	259	304	171	127	1,162	15,102	16,264
New York City	68	11,724	2	0	175	28	10	58	303	11,762	12,065
Rest of State	367	2,903	339	0	84	276	161	69	859	3,340	4,199
Albany	13	120	9	0	7	17	6	1	30	143	173
Allegany	4	40	2	0	1	0	1	2	9	41	50
Broome	23	127	17	0	2	9	33	5	47	169	216
Cattaraugus	9	20	1	0	0	5	0	4	14	25	39
Cayuga	4	58	6	0	2	2	0	0	12	60	72
Chautauqua	4	87	2	0	2	6	5	1	9	98	107
Chemung	18	129	1	0	2	4	1	1	22	134	156
Chenango	0	18	3	0	0	0	2	0	3	20	23
Clinton	5	32	1	0	0	1	2	1	7	35	42
Columbia	6	10	4	0	1	4	0	0	11	14	25
Cortland	5	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	5	13	18
Delaware	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
Dutchess	13	46	5	0	0	6	1	1	19	53	72
Erie	7	319	29	0	10	29	11	7	53	359	412
Essex	3	20	0	0	0	2	2	0	3	24	27
Franklin	4	23	0	0	1	0	2	1	6	25	31
Fulton	6	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	17	24
Genesee	2	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	13	15
Greene	1	19	1	0	1	2	3	0	3	24	27
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	2	32	1	0	1	1	1	0	4	34	38
Jefferson	6	58	2	0	1	5	1	1	10	64	74
Lewis	4	8	1	0	1	0	0	0	6	8	14
Livingston	2	37	4	0	2	6	0	1	9	43	52
Madison	0	3	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	4	5
Monroe	10	219	63	0	3	95	12	7	83	326	409
Montgomery	1	32	1	0	0	1	0	0	2	33	35
Nassau	3	56	9	0	3	4	2	8	23	62	85
Niagara	27	126	9	0	5	9	2	0	41	137	178
Oneida	13	102	25	0	2	3	28	6	46	133	179
Onondaga	51	386	11	0	1	4	1	5	68	391	459
Ontario	5	35	0	0	0	0	2	0	5	37	42
Orange	2	77	5	0	1	3	4	3	11	84	95
Orleans	2	17	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	18	20
Oswego	17	32	3	0	8	3	3	0	28	38	66
Otsego	1	3	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	4	6
Putnam	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Rensselaer	35	54	30	0	5	3	0	0	70	57	127
Rockland	0	34	10	0	0	0	1	0	10	35	45
St.Lawrence	5	69	1	0	4	0	4	0	10	73	83
Saratoga	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	2
Schenectady	8	55	3	0	1	8	1	0	12	64	76
Schoharie	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Schuyler	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5
Seneca	1	9	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	10	12
Steuben	10	55	1	0	0	2	6	2	13	63	76
Suffolk	3	107	17	0	12	9	6	4	36	122	158
Sullivan	4	42	3	0	0	0	1	0	7	43	50
Tioga	2	11	2	0	0	0	0	0	4	11	15
Tompkins	2	32	0	0	0	4	0	1	3	36	39
Ulster	1	29	2	0	1	7	1	1	5	37	42
Warren	2	7	0	0	2	2	1	0	4	10	14
Washington	1	5	1	0	1	1	0	0	3	6	9
Wayne	6	15	2	0	0	1	1	0	8	17	25
Westchester	9	24	47	0	0	14	10	5	61	48	109
Wyoming	4	8	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	9	13
Yates	0	5	2	0	0	0	1	1	3	6	9

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE
Monthly Average Number of Recipients in Sanction Status
 Safety Net Maintenance of Effort
 July 2004 - June 2005

Local District	Employment		Child Support		Drug/Alcohol		Intentional Program Violation	Other	Total		Grand Total
	Non Durational	Durational	Non Durational	Durational	Non Durational	Durational	Durational	Non Durational	Non Durational	Durational	
New York State	320	13,361	84	0	342	268	76	83	829	13,705	14,534
New York City	255	12,094	2	0	282	75	28	73	612	12,197	12,809
Rest of State	65	1,267	82	0	60	193	48	10	217	1,508	1,725
Albany	3	70	4	0	6	10	1	0	13	81	94
Allegany	0	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	8
Broome	5	48	1	0	0	8	11	1	7	67	74
Cattaraugus	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4	6
Cayuga	1	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	9
Chautauqua	1	27	1	0	2	3	3	0	4	33	37
Chemung	2	31	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	31	34
Chenango	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4
Clinton	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	6
Columbia	1	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	6
Cortland	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5
Delaware	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dutchess	1	14	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	15	16
Erie	2	166	7	0	16	36	5	1	26	207	233
Essex	0	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	4	5
Franklin	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	6
Fulton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Genesee	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	3
Greene	0	6	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	7	7
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	3
Jefferson	0	21	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	22	23
Lewis	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	0	10	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	11	11
Madison	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	6	204	20	0	15	106	6	0	41	316	357
Montgomery	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3
Nassau	0	48	4	0	0	2	0	1	5	50	55
Niagara	2	51	2	0	3	7	1	1	8	59	67
Oneida	1	38	3	0	1	1	7	1	6	46	52
Onondaga	26	179	3	0	2	1	1	0	31	181	212
Ontario	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	6
Orange	0	66	8	0	0	0	1	0	8	67	75
Orleans	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	3
Oswego	1	9	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	10	12
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	2	11	2	0	0	2	0	0	4	13	17
Rockland	0	19	1	0	0	1	0	1	2	20	22
St.Lawrence	3	23	0	0	1	0	0	0	4	23	27
Saratoga	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	1	24	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	26	27
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4
Seneca	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
Steuben	2	16	1	0	0	0	1	0	3	17	20
Suffolk	0	65	6	0	8	1	2	0	14	68	82
Sullivan	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4
Tioga	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3
Tompkins	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5
Ulster	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	8
Warren	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
Washington	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	3
Wayne	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4
Westchester	1	28	15	0	1	8	7	2	19	43	62
Wyoming	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE

Monthly Average Number of Recipients in Sanction Status

Safety Net Non-Maintenance of Effort

July 2004 - June 2005

Local District	Employment		Child Support		Drug/Alcohol		Intentional Program Violation	Other	Total		Grand Total
	Non Durational	Durational	Non Durational	Durational	Non Durational	Durational	Durational	Non Durational	Non Durational	Durational	
New York State	119	3,752	10	0	478	1,021	47	36	643	4,820	5,463
New York City	29	2,396	0	0	338	430	2	17	384	2,828	3,212
Rest of State	90	1,356	10	0	140	591	45	19	259	1,992	2,251
Albany	2	28	1	0	8	42	1	2	13	71	84
Allegany	1	15	0	0	1	4	0	1	3	19	22
Broome	5	51	0	0	1	17	7	2	8	75	83
Cattaraugus	2	15	0	0	1	5	0	0	3	20	23
Cayuga	0	8	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	10	10
Chautauqua	0	22	0	0	1	5	2	0	1	29	30
Chemung	4	33	0	0	1	7	0	0	5	40	45
Chenango	0	7	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	9	9
Clinton	3	20	0	0	2	7	1	0	5	28	33
Columbia	1	5	0	0	2	7	0	0	3	12	15
Cortland	1	6	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	7	9
Delaware	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	2
Dutchess	3	26	0	0	2	13	0	0	5	39	44
Erie	4	131	3	0	25	47	6	2	34	184	218
Essex	0	7	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	8	9
Franklin	1	16	0	0	3	2	1	1	5	19	24
Fulton	2	13	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	15	17
Genesee	0	5	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	7	7
Greene	0	9	0	0	1	9	0	0	1	18	19
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	8	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	10	10
Jefferson	1	35	0	0	2	11	1	1	4	47	51
Lewis	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
Livingston	1	21	0	0	2	3	0	0	3	24	27
Madison	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Monroe	1	128	1	0	5	130	4	0	7	262	269
Montgomery	1	6	0	0	0	4	0	0	1	10	11
Nassau	3	104	1	0	7	33	1	2	13	138	151
Niagara	14	59	0	0	9	20	0	0	23	79	102
Oneida	2	22	1	0	1	7	6	0	4	35	39
Onondaga	8	92	0	0	6	8	0	0	14	100	114
Ontario	4	12	0	0	2	6	0	0	6	18	24
Orange	2	24	0	0	2	13	0	1	5	37	42
Orleans	0	15	0	0	1	3	0	0	1	18	19
Oswego	1	18	0	0	5	6	1	0	6	25	31
Otsego	0	4	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	5	5
Putnam	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	3	4
Rensselaer	0	11	1	0	1	6	0	0	2	17	19
Rockland	0	14	0	0	2	9	0	0	2	23	25
St.Lawrence	1	42	0	0	1	3	1	0	2	46	48
Saratoga	0	2	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	5	5
Schenectady	11	16	0	0	3	21	0	0	14	37	51
Schoharie	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	3	4
Schuyler	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	4	4
Seneca	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	4	4
Steuben	0	17	0	0	0	5	2	0	0	24	24
Suffolk	2	108	1	0	27	30	3	1	31	141	172
Sullivan	0	12	0	0	2	7	1	0	2	20	22
Tioga	0	7	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	9	9
Tompkins	1	13	0	0	3	5	0	0	4	18	22
Ulster	0	9	0	0	3	18	0	1	4	27	31
Warren	3	4	0	0	2	6	0	0	5	10	15
Washington	1	1	0	0	2	2	0	0	3	3	6
Wayne	1	23	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	26	27
Westchester	3	92	1	0	1	42	6	3	8	140	148
Wyoming	0	4	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	5	6
Yates	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	4	4

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE

Monthly Average Number of Recipients that have Complied and the Average Number of Days Until Compliance

Statewide Total

July 2004 - June 2005

Local District	Employment		Child Support		Drug/Alcohol		Intentional Program Violation		Other		Total	
	Number Complied	Avg Days Until Compliance	Number Complied	Avg Days Until Compliance	Number Complied	Avg Days Until Compliance	Number Complied	Avg Days Until Compliance	Number Complied	Avg Days Until Compliance	Number Complied	Avg Days Until Compliance
New York State	2,682	143	67	80	286	137	13	277	26	66	3,074	141
New York City	2,184	140	0	2,009	141	86	2	351	18	67	2,344	136
Rest of State	498	159	67	78	145	186	11	266	9	63	730	158
Albany	18	165	1	40	7	161	0	286	0	26	26	159
Allegany	7	112	0	95	1	45	0	0	0	23	9	103
Broome	19	178	2	83	2	206	3	211	0	0	26	177
Cattaraugus	4	202	0	50	3	137	0	0	0	91	8	167
Cayuga	5	218	1	111	1	162	0	89	0	21	7	194
Chautauqua	12	141	1	101	2	112	0	264	0	343	16	141
Chemung	20	184	0	48	2	154	0	0	0	0	23	179
Chenango	2	150	0	123	0	65	0	150	0	0	3	137
Clinton	6	175	0	42	2	225	0	0	0	0	7	183
Columbia	3	121	0	198	1	91	0	0	0	0	4	118
Cortland	3	116	0	0	0	66	0	0	0	0	3	111
Delaware	0	424	0	28	0	78	0	0	0	0	0	140
Dutchess	5	152	1	94	1	86	0	374	0	16	7	133
Erie	58	151	9	79	14	152	1	244	1	67	83	144
Essex	3	169	0	18	0	170	0	220	0	18	3	159
Franklin	4	171	0	9	1	78	0	281	0	134	6	149
Fulton	2	102	0	0	0	76	0	0	0	269	3	107
Genesee	2	203	0	0	0	85	0	0	0	0	3	188
Greene	4	127	1	118	1	94	0	437	0	30	5	123
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	2	215	0	0	0	397	0	0	0	0	2	246
Jefferson	8	142	0	47	2	312	0	183	0	73	10	175
Lewis	1	108	0	129	0	38	0	0	0	0	2	100
Livingston	4	122	0	309	2	71	0	0	0	51	7	117
Madison	0	109	1	35	0	174	0	0	0	0	1	71
Monroe	54	174	15	78	38	239	1	196	1	52	109	183
Montgomery	2	121	0	37	0	34	0	68	0	0	2	99
Nassau	12	248	1	82	4	246	0	0	0	130	18	234
Niagara	28	125	5	29	5	299	0	721	0	64	37	136
Oneida	21	104	3	101	1	113	2	346	1	38	28	118
Onondaga	43	129	1	87	2	294	0	0	1	31	47	132
Ontario	4	152	0	110	1	357	0	188	0	0	6	191
Orange	14	196	1	340	2	71	0	91	0	65	17	184
Orleans	4	116	0	15	1	84	0	0	0	0	5	110
Oswego	6	121	0	53	2	178	0	852	0	0	9	140
Otsego	1	86	0	165	0	0	0	247	0	38	1	104
Putnam	0	34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	34
Rensselaer	14	83	6	60	2	141	0	0	0	0	22	83
Rockland	4	136	1	112	1	108	0	425	0	9	7	128
St.Lawrence	11	263	0	49	2	275	0	510	0	0	13	270
Saratoga	0	0	0	0	0	50	0	0	0	0	0	50
Schenectady	6	149	0	45	1	67	0	4	0	0	8	131
Schoharie	0	98	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	16	0	30
Schuyler	1	316	0	6	0	15	0	0	0	0	1	223
Seneca	1	359	0	0	0	176	0	0	0	0	1	302
Steuben	11	127	0	40	1	116	0	243	0	128	12	127
Suffolk	31	176	3	72	15	173	0	408	1	22	51	168
Sullivan	4	154	1	30	1	273	0	0	0	0	6	153
Tioga	3	214	0	30	1	397	0	0	0	0	4	222
Tompkins	6	163	0	2	3	92	0	0	0	0	8	140
Ulster	2	178	0	180	3	173	0	0	0	58	6	170
Warren	1	272	0	0	1	167	0	52	0	17	2	190
Washington	1	108	0	30	1	198	0	0	0	8	2	125
Wayne	4	304	0	76	1	325	0	0	0	0	5	289
Westchester	17	195	9	69	12	137	1	292	1	51	40	147
Wyoming	2	165	0	0	0	133	0	0	0	0	2	160
Yates	0	132	0	136	0	0	0	0	0	61	1	125

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE

Monthly Average Number of Recipients that have Complied and the Average Number of Days Until Compliance

Temporary Assistance to Needy Families

July 2004 - June 2005

Local District	Employment		Child Support		Drug/Alcohol		Intentional Program Violation		Other		Total	
	Number Complied	Avg Days Until Compliance	Number Complied	Avg Days Until Compliance	Number Complied	Avg Days Until Compliance	Number Complied	Avg Days Until Compliance	Number Complied	Avg Days Until Compliance	Number Complied	Avg Days Until Compliance
New York State	1,425	115	52	73	57	111	7	194	14	69	1,554	114
New York City	1,116	112	0	0	20	111	0	309	8	77	1,144	112
Rest of State	309	127	52	73	37	111	6	190	6	58	410	119
Albany	11	128	1	32	2	171	0	47	0	15	13	127
Allegany	6	109	0	101	0	53	0	0	0	23	6	106
Broome	12	140	2	84	0	120	2	101	0	0	16	129
Cattaraugus	3	184	0	62	2	82	0	0	0	24	5	135
Cayuga	4	146	1	111	1	73	0	89	0	21	6	131
Chautauqua	8	119	0	32	1	109	0	413	0	490	9	125
Chemung	14	143	0	48	1	118	0	0	0	0	15	139
Chenango	1	136	0	123	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	133
Clinton	4	160	0	42	0	51	0	0	0	0	4	150
Columbia	2	59	0	284	0	101	0	0	0	0	3	71
Cortland	2	112	0	0	0	182	0	0	0	0	2	115
Delaware	0	424	0	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	225
Dutchess	3	147	1	117	1	117	0	374	0	13	5	142
Erie	35	136	6	96	3	74	0	252	0	26	45	126
Essex	2	149	0	18	0	0	0	220	0	0	3	143
Franklin	3	101	0	9	0	14	0	281	0	33	4	93
Fulton	1	119	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	119
Genesee	2	156	0	0	0	101	0	0	0	0	2	152
Greene	2	179	1	133	0	125	0	437	0	30	3	169
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	2	169	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	169
Jefferson	5	82	0	0	0	526	0	183	0	74	5	113
Lewis	1	114	0	129	0	38	0	0	0	0	2	104
Livingston	3	101	0	309	1	51	0	0	0	51	5	106
Madison	0	109	1	35	0	174	0	0	0	0	1	71
Monroe	26	127	11	75	9	149	1	108	1	52	48	118
Montgomery	1	130	0	13	0	0	0	68	0	0	2	120
Nassau	4	188	1	38	0	301	0	0	0	130	5	164
Niagara	18	106	4	27	1	212	0	721	0	60	24	100
Oneida	16	94	3	89	0	66	1	267	1	38	21	100
Onondaga	29	112	1	73	0	272	0	0	1	31	31	111
Ontario	3	132	0	110	0	30	0	30	0	0	4	127
Orange	7	192	1	83	1	20	0	91	0	91	9	164
Orleans	2	53	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	52
Oswego	5	93	0	53	1	69	0	0	0	0	6	88
Otsego	1	68	0	165	0	0	0	247	0	38	1	93
Putnam	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
Rensselaer	13	77	5	55	1	38	0	0	0	0	19	69
Rockland	3	123	1	112	0	75	0	425	0	0	4	124
St.Lawrence	7	248	0	49	1	144	0	510	0	0	9	247
Saratoga	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	4	144	0	50	0	62	0	0	0	0	5	132
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	16
Schuyler	1	306	0	6	0	13	0	0	0	0	1	218
Seneca	1	447	0	0	0	396	0	0	0	0	1	441
Steuben	8	85	0	43	0	0	0	121	0	128	9	85
Suffolk	16	141	2	64	3	119	0	154	0	22	21	130
Sullivan	4	110	1	30	0	11	0	0	0	0	4	97
Tioga	2	178	0	29	0	38	0	0	0	0	2	143
Tompkins	3	124	0	2	1	39	0	0	0	0	5	97
Ulster	1	250	0	220	0	103	0	0	0	30	1	184
Warren	0	107	0	0	0	90	0	52	0	19	1	84
Washington	1	89	0	30	0	32	0	0	0	0	1	73
Wayne	1	161	0	76	0	315	0	0	0	0	2	162
Westchester	5	83	7	62	3	30	0	139	1	53	15	64
Wyoming	2	143	0	0	0	119	0	0	0	0	2	142
Yates	0	79	0	136	0	0	0	0	0	61	1	104

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE
Monthly Average Number of Recipients that have Complied and the Average Number of Days Until Compliance
 Safety Net Maintenance of Effort
 July 2004 - June 2005

	Employment		Child Support		Drug/Alcohol		Intentional Program Violation		Other		Total	
	Number Complied	Avg Days Until Compliance	Number Complied	Avg Days Until Compliance	Number Complied	Avg Days Until Compliance	Number Complied	Avg Days Until Compliance	Number Complied	Avg Days Until Compliance	Number Complied	Avg Days Until Compliance
Local District												
New York State	1,014	176	13	114	50	168	4	390	9	65	1,090	175
New York City	909	174	0	2,009	33	143	1	357	7	66	950	173
Rest of State	105	186	13	101	18	214	3	403	1	65	139	186
Albany	5	208	0	52	1	176	0	194	0	0	7	193
Allegany	0	203	0	76	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	182
Broome	4	228	0	60	0	372	1	582	0	0	5	269
Cattaraugus	0	256	0	27	0	95	0	0	0	157	1	184
Cayuga	1	329	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	329
Chautauqua	2	153	0	202	0	151	0	103	0	50	3	153
Chemung	4	133	0	0	0	243	0	0	0	0	4	135
Chenango	0	220	0	0	0	60	0	150	0	0	0	163
Clinton	1	128	0	0	0	28	0	0	0	0	1	108
Columbia	1	394	0	112	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	354
Cortland	1	138	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	138
Delaware	0	0	0	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30
Dutchess	1	360	0	15	0	48	0	0	0	0	1	240
Erie	15	182	2	45	2	218	1	265	0	109	20	177
Essex	0	173	0	0	0	170	0	0	0	0	0	172
Franklin	0	261	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	261
Fulton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Genesee	0	314	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	314
Greene	1	48	0	0	0	30	0	0	0	0	1	45
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	1,196	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,196
Jefferson	1	279	0	47	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	263
Lewis	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	1	192	0	0	0	78	0	0	0	0	1	177
Madison	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	17	213	4	90	9	257	0	310	0	0	30	212
Montgomery	0	0	0	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	60
Nassau	3	224	0	294	0	1,034	0	0	0	0	3	269
Niagara	6	195	1	33	0	29	0	0	0	67	6	173
Oneida	4	142	1	162	0	272	1	500	0	0	5	186
Onondaga	10	163	0	146	0	1,158	0	0	0	0	11	171
Ontario	0	371	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	371
Orange	5	180	0	727	0	0	0	0	0	13	6	210
Orleans	0	134	0	0	0	46	0	0	0	0	0	116
Oswego	1	153	0	0	0	65	0	852	0	0	1	172
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	1	116	0	148	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	127
Rockland	1	241	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	1	195
St.Lawrence	2	185	0	0	0	60	0	0	0	0	2	180
Saratoga	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	1	186	0	29	0	60	0	0	0	0	2	171
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Seneca	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Steuben	1	154	0	37	0	0	0	365	0	0	2	164
Suffolk	8	167	1	91	2	117	0	918	0	13	11	156
Sullivan	0	331	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	331
Tioga	1	186	0	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	164
Tompkins	1	88	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	88
Ulster	0	222	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	222
Warren	0	334	0	0	0	244	0	0	0	0	0	289
Washington	0	160	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	160
Wayne	0	363	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	363
Westchester	3	132	2	86	1	70	0	289	0	77	7	109
Wyoming	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE
Monthly Average Number of Recipients that have Complied and the Average Number of Days Until Compliance
 Safety Net Non Maintenance of Effort
 July 2004 - June 2005

Local District	Employment		Child Support		Drug/Alcohol		Intentional Program Violation		Other		Total	
	Number Complied	Avg Days Until Compliance	Number Complied	Avg Days Until Compliance	Number Complied	Avg Days Until Compliance	Number Complied	Avg Days Until Compliance	Number Complied	Avg Days Until Compliance	Number Complied	Avg Days Until Compliance
New York State	243	172	2	60	180	136	2	301	4	54	430	156
New York City	159	134	0	0	88	59	0	385	2	37	249	107
Rest of State	85	243	2	60	91	211	2	297	2	76	181	224
Albany	2	239	0	0	4	153	0	708	0	32	6	186
Allegany	1	95	0	0	1	44	0	0	0	0	2	76
Broome	3	260	0	0	1	207	0	152	0	0	5	236
Cattaraugus	1	238	0	0	1	259	0	0	0	0	2	250
Cayuga	1	648	0	0	0	338	0	0	0	0	1	555
Chautauqua	2	216	0	9	1	103	0	270	0	0	3	180
Chemung	3	433	0	0	2	165	0	0	0	0	5	344
Chenango	0	197	0	0	0	68	0	0	0	0	0	133
Clinton	1	239	0	0	1	275	0	0	0	0	3	256
Columbia	0	150	0	0	0	82	0	0	0	0	1	94
Cortland	0	11	0	0	0	27	0	0	0	0	0	23
Delaware	0	0	0	0	0	78	0	0	0	0	0	78
Dutchess	1	46	0	0	1	69	0	0	0	19	2	53
Erie	8	159	1	21	9	160	0	43	0	107	18	153
Essex	0	308	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	0	250
Franklin	1	412	0	0	1	99	0	0	0	436	2	265
Fulton	1	80	0	0	0	76	0	0	0	269	1	93
Genesee	0	330	0	0	0	69	0	0	0	0	1	256
Greene	1	52	0	30	1	95	0	0	0	0	1	72
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	250	0	0	0	397	0	0	0	0	1	373
Jefferson	2	206	0	0	2	271	0	0	0	73	4	229
Lewis	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
Livingston	1	157	0	0	1	100	0	0	0	0	1	125
Madison	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	10	228	0	60	20	272	0	410	0	0	31	256
Montgomery	0	46	0	0	0	34	0	0	0	0	1	38
Nassau	6	299	0	439	4	203	0	0	0	0	9	263
Niagara	4	116	0	68	4	341	0	0	0	0	7	223
Oneida	0	129	0	0	1	115	0	215	0	0	2	125
Onondaga	4	164	0	96	1	241	0	0	0	0	5	182
Ontario	1	203	0	1	1	384	0	345	0	0	2	299
Orange	1	294	0	0	1	116	0	0	0	0	2	205
Orleans	1	236	0	0	1	90	0	0	0	0	2	192
Oswego	1	232	0	0	1	267	0	0	0	0	2	252
Otsego	0	213	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	213
Putnam	0	41	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	41
Rensselaer	0	335	0	71	1	218	0	0	0	0	2	220
Rockland	1	97	0	0	1	113	0	0	0	0	2	106
St.Lawrence	2	403	0	0	1	413	0	0	0	0	3	406
Saratoga	0	0	0	0	0	50	0	0	0	0	0	50
Schenectady	1	115	0	0	1	70	0	4	0	0	2	89
Schoharie	0	98	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	35
Schuyler	0	350	0	0	0	19	0	0	0	0	0	240
Seneca	0	50	0	0	0	103	0	0	0	0	0	81
Steuben	1	388	0	0	1	116	0	0	0	0	2	281
Suffolk	7	268	0	35	11	194	0	0	0	29	18	219
Sullivan	1	344	0	0	1	317	0	0	0	0	1	332
Tioga	1	336	0	0	0	541	0	0	0	0	1	421
Tompkins	1	336	0	0	1	153	0	0	0	0	2	248
Ulster	1	103	0	58	3	183	0	0	0	73	4	160
Warren	1	343	0	0	1	191	0	0	0	15	1	234
Washington	0	0	0	0	0	264	0	0	0	8	1	191
Wayne	2	399	0	0	1	329	0	0	0	0	3	383
Westchester	9	278	0	127	8	186	1	402	0	12	18	237
Wyoming	0	277	0	0	0	137	0	0	0	0	1	225
Yates	0	186	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	186

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE
 Total Temporary Assistance
 Administrative Hearings - Hearings Requested
 July 2004 - June 2005

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/ Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/ Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	1,414	9,181	66,783	2,759	4,739	2,240
New York City	1,269	7,585	59,786	2,647	4,147	2,124
Rest of State	145	1,596	6,997	112	592	116
Albany	7	104	151	7	16	4
Allegany	0	2	20	0	2	0
Broome	5	33	170	0	4	1
Cattaraugus	0	8	19	0	1	0
Cayuga	0	2	12	0	2	1
Chautauqua	1	11	39	3	10	1
Chemung	1	10	97	0	4	0
Chenango	0	3	12	0	2	0
Clinton	1	7	76	2	4	1
Columbia	1	9	10	1	2	1
Cortland	0	2	17	0	2	1
Delaware	0	3	12	0	0	0
Dutchess	6	8	76	4	6	1
Erie	12	186	671	3	59	12
Essex	0	2	6	0	1	0
Franklin	0	3	73	0	3	1
Fulton	0	5	47	0	3	0
Genesee	0	3	24	0	5	2
Greene	0	0	22	0	2	1
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	2	10	0	3	0
Jefferson	0	10	57	1	7	0
Lewis	0	0	4	0	0	0
Livingston	0	8	45	0	4	2
Madison	0	2	5	0	1	1
Monroe	29	665	1,583	48	54	21
Montgomery	0	1	13	0	0	0
Nassau	5	41	300	5	74	18
Niagara	5	64	271	0	16	2
Oneida	5	15	101	0	2	1
Onondaga	4	30	871	10	43	11
Ontario	1	8	29	2	2	0
Orange	1	10	113	3	27	0
Orleans	1	5	23	1	0	0
Oswego	1	14	66	0	6	0
Otsego	0	0	7	0	0	0
Putnam	0	0	8	0	2	0
Rensselaer	3	10	56	0	1	0
Rockland	1	4	27	0	0	0
St.Lawrence	0	1	97	0	7	1
Saratoga	1	6	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	4	14	123	0	8	1
Schoharie	0	2	2	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	1	9	0	1	0
Seneca	0	2	5	0	0	0
Steuben	2	5	47	0	6	0
Suffolk	20	103	985	6	152	24
Sullivan	2	2	33	0	3	0
Tioga	1	1	11	0	0	0
Tompkins	0	7	14	0	3	0
Ulster	3	17	29	1	1	0
Warren	0	2	11	0	2	1
Washington	0	2	6	0	2	0
Wayne	0	2	25	0	2	1
Westchester	22	136	440	15	30	3
Wyoming	0	2	12	0	4	0
Yates	0	1	5	0	1	2

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE
 Total Temporary Assistance
 Administrative Hearings - Hearings Held
 July 2004 - June 2005

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/ Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/ Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	584	4,263	30,073	1,309	2,758	1,064
New York City	521	3,538	26,805	1,274	2,507	1,009
Rest of State	63	725	3,268	35	251	55
Albany	4	49	87	2	9	2
Allegany	0	2	4	0	0	0
Broome	4	16	75	0	2	0
Cattaraugus	0	6	2	0	0	0
Cayuga	0	1	1	0	0	0
Chautauqua	0	4	14	1	3	0
Chemung	1	4	47	0	1	1
Chenango	0	1	6	0	0	0
Clinton	0	5	23	0	1	1
Columbia	0	6	4	1	0	0
Cortland	0	1	10	0	1	1
Delaware	0	1	4	0	0	0
Dutchess	2	9	43	4	4	2
Erie	3	83	320	0	24	5
Essex	0	0	0	0	0	0
Franklin	0	1	33	0	1	1
Fulton	0	4	14	0	0	0
Genesee	0	1	6	0	2	1
Greene	0	0	11	0	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	1	6	0	2	0
Jefferson	0	5	24	1	1	0
Lewis	0	0	2	0	0	0
Livingston	0	3	27	0	2	2
Madison	0	1	4	0	0	0
Monroe	13	306	814	13	24	7
Montgomery	0	1	10	0	0	0
Nassau	2	27	171	1	25	6
Niagara	0	30	99	0	7	1
Oneida	4	5	50	0	1	1
Onondaga	3	15	498	5	28	8
Ontario	0	3	15	0	0	0
Orange	0	2	26	1	4	0
Orleans	0	1	11	0	0	0
Oswego	0	1	19	0	2	0
Otsego	0	0	2	0	1	0
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	1	7	25	0	0	1
Rockland	0	2	8	0	0	0
St.Lawrence	0	1	61	0	3	1
Saratoga	0	2	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	4	9	61	0	10	1
Schoharie	0	1	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	0
Seneca	0	2	1	0	0	0
Steuben	1	2	14	0	3	0
Suffolk	10	42	399	0	63	11
Sullivan	1	0	16	0	2	0
Tioga	1	1	3	0	0	0
Tompkins	0	0	5	0	2	0
Ulster	0	6	5	0	0	0
Warren	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	1	1	0	2	0
Wayne	0	0	14	0	2	1
Westchester	9	53	163	6	15	0
Wyoming	0	0	4	0	3	0
Yates	0	1	6	0	1	1

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE
 Total Temporary Assistance
 Administrative Hearings - Issues Affirmed
 July 2004 - June 2005

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/ Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/ Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	46	947	3,945	71	681	130
New York City	27	621	2,383	50	605	105
Rest of State	19	326	1,562	21	76	25
Albany	3	23	31	0	2	1
Allegany	0	2	3	0	0	0
Broome	1	11	52	0	2	0
Cattaraugus	0	3	2	0	0	0
Cayuga	0	1	1	0	0	0
Chautauqua	0	3	5	0	1	0
Chemung	0	1	31	0	0	1
Chenango	0	1	5	0	0	0
Clinton	0	5	17	0	0	1
Columbia	0	4	4	1	0	0
Cortland	0	1	8	0	0	0
Delaware	0	1	1	0	0	0
Dutchess	1	6	20	4	0	2
Erie	1	37	99	0	4	1
Essex	0	0	2	0	0	0
Franklin	0	1	27	0	1	1
Fulton	0	1	9	0	0	0
Genesee	0	1	4	0	1	0
Greene	0	0	9	0	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	0	8	0	2	0
Jefferson	0	7	9	0	0	0
Lewis	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	0	1	19	0	0	1
Madison	0	1	2	0	0	0
Monroe	2	104	241	7	2	0
Montgomery	0	1	4	0	0	0
Nassau	0	5	47	0	8	4
Niagara	0	18	51	0	0	1
Oneida	2	4	36	0	1	1
Onondaga	1	5	312	4	9	5
Ontario	0	1	13	0	0	0
Orange	0	1	19	0	3	0
Orleans	0	1	6	0	0	0
Oswego	0	1	13	0	1	0
Otsego	0	0	1	0	0	0
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	1	5	17	0	0	0
Rockland	0	0	0	0	0	0
St.Lawrence	0	0	43	0	2	1
Saratoga	0	2	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	0	7	36	0	4	0
Schoharie	0	1	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	0
Seneca	0	2	1	0	0	0
Steuben	0	2	7	0	1	0
Suffolk	4	19	243	0	24	4
Sullivan	0	0	12	0	0	0
Tioga	1	0	1	0	0	0
Tompkins	0	0	3	0	2	0
Ulster	0	4	4	0	0	0
Warren	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	1	0	0	1	0
Wayne	0	0	12	0	2	1
Westchester	2	30	66	5	3	0
Wyoming	0	0	2	0	0	0
Yates	0	1	4	0	0	0

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE
 Total Temporary Assistance
 Administrative Hearings - Issues Withdrawn
 July 2004 - June 2005

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/ Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/ Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	242	1,659	13,809	149	169	51
New York City	223	1,398	12,829	143	88	36
Rest of State	19	261	980	6	81	15
Albany	1	15	27	0	2	0
Allegany	0	0	0	0	0	0
Broome	1	3	7	0	0	0
Cattaraugus	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cayuga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chautauqua	0	0	3	0	0	0
Chemung	1	0	7	0	1	0
Chenango	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clinton	0	0	3	0	0	0
Columbia	0	1	0	0	0	0
Cortland	0	0	0	0	0	0
Delaware	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dutchess	0	1	5	0	1	0
Erie	0	31	162	0	12	3
Essex	0	0	0	0	0	0
Franklin	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fulton	0	1	0	0	0	0
Genesee	0	0	0	0	0	0
Greene	0	0	2	0	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	0	1	10	1	1	0
Lewis	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	0	0	0	0	0	0
Madison	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	5	150	412	4	15	7
Montgomery	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nassau	2	12	73	1	9	0
Niagara	0	3	25	0	1	0
Oneida	0	1	6	0	0	0
Onondaga	1	8	88	0	6	1
Ontario	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orange	0	0	8	0	0	0
Orleans	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oswego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	0	1	0	0	0	0
Rockland	0	1	7	0	0	0
St.Lawrence	0	1	10	0	1	0
Saratoga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	0	0	10	0	2	1
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	0
Seneca	0	0	0	0	0	0
Steuben	0	0	0	0	0	0
Suffolk	5	10	56	0	22	3
Sullivan	0	0	4	0	1	0
Tioga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tompkins	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ulster	0	1	0	0	0	0
Warren	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wayne	0	0	0	0	0	0
Westchester	3	20	55	0	6	0
Wyoming	0	0	0	0	1	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE
 Total Temporary Assistance
 Administrative Hearings - Issues Reversed
 July 2004 - June 2005

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/ Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/ Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	213	1,278	6,627	766	1,065	281
New York City	207	1,219	6,353	762	1,047	280
Rest of State	6	59	274	4	18	1
Albany	0	2	6	1	1	0
Allegany	0	0	1	0	0	0
Broome	2	1	8	0	0	0
Cattaraugus	0	1	0	0	0	0
Cayuga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chautauqua	0	1	0	0	0	0
Chemung	0	0	8	0	0	0
Chenango	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clinton	0	0	2	0	0	0
Columbia	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cortland	0	0	2	0	0	0
Delaware	0	0	2	0	0	0
Dutchess	0	0	2	0	1	0
Erie	1	8	20	0	1	0
Essex	0	0	0	0	0	0
Franklin	0	0	1	0	0	0
Fulton	0	0	2	0	0	0
Genesee	0	0	0	0	0	1
Greene	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	0	0	0	1	0
Jefferson	0	0	3	0	0	0
Lewis	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	0	0	2	0	0	0
Madison	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	1	27	77	1	2	0
Montgomery	0	0	2	0	0	0
Nassau	0	6	26	0	1	0
Niagara	0	5	16	0	3	0
Oneida	0	0	5	0	0	0
Onondaga	0	0	25	0	0	0
Ontario	0	1	1	0	0	0
Orange	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orleans	0	0	2	0	0	0
Oswego	0	0	3	0	0	0
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	0	1	4	0	0	0
Rockland	0	1	1	0	0	0
St.Lawrence	0	0	4	0	0	0
Saratoga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	0	0	3	0	1	0
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	0
Seneca	0	0	0	0	0	0
Steuben	0	0	0	0	0	0
Suffolk	1	3	26	0	4	0
Sullivan	0	0	0	0	1	0
Tioga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tompkins	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ulster	0	1	2	0	0	0
Warren	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wayne	0	0	0	1	0	0
Westchester	1	1	18	1	2	0
Wyoming	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE
 Total Temporary Assistance
 Administrative Hearings - Agency Correct When Made
 July 2004 - June 2005

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/ Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/ Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	18	187	1,664	3	178	7
New York City	13	159	1,481	3	162	7
Rest of State	5	28	183	0	16	0
Albany	0	2	0	0	0	0
Allegany	0	0	0	0	0	0
Broome	0	1	6	0	0	0
Cattaraugus	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cayuga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chautauqua	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chemung	0	3	4	0	0	0
Chenango	0	0	1	0	0	0
Clinton	0	1	1	0	1	0
Columbia	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cortland	0	0	0	0	0	0
Delaware	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dutchess	0	1	10	0	1	0
Erie	0	1	12	0	0	0
Essex	0	0	0	0	0	0
Franklin	0	0	4	0	0	0
Fulton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Genesee	0	0	0	0	0	0
Greene	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lewis	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	0	1	0	0	0	0
Madison	0	0	2	0	0	0
Monroe	4	4	18	0	3	0
Montgomery	0	0	1	0	0	0
Nassau	0	3	11	0	1	0
Niagara	0	1	5	0	0	0
Oneida	0	0	2	0	0	0
Onondaga	0	0	26	0	0	0
Ontario	0	0	1	0	0	0
Orange	0	0	2	0	1	0
Orleans	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oswego	0	0	0	0	1	0
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	0	0	3	0	0	0
Rockland	0	0	0	0	0	0
St.Lawrence	0	0	2	0	0	0
Saratoga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	0	1	2	0	1	0
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	0
Seneca	0	0	0	0	0	0
Steuben	0	0	2	0	2	0
Suffolk	0	6	47	0	1	0
Sullivan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tioga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tompkins	0	0	1	0	0	0
Ulster	0	0	0	0	0	0
Warren	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wayne	0	0	0	0	0	0
Westchester	1	3	18	0	1	0
Wyoming	0	0	2	0	2	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	1	0

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE
 Total Temporary Assistance
 Administrative Hearings - Other Disposition
 July 2004 - June 2005

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/ Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/ Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	52	118	3,434	293	613	600
New York City	45	92	3,295	284	567	589
Rest of State	7	26	139	9	46	11
Albany	0	6	11	2	2	0
Allegany	0	0	0	0	0	0
Broome	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cattaraugus	0	2	0	0	0	0
Cayuga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chautauqua	0	0	4	1	1	0
Chemung	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chenango	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clinton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Columbia	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cortland	0	0	0	0	1	1
Delaware	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dutchess	0	0	6	0	0	0
Erie	1	3	10	0	4	1
Essex	0	0	0	0	0	0
Franklin	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fulton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Genesee	0	0	0	0	0	0
Greene	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lewis	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	0	1	1	0	1	1
Madison	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	2	10	30	3	0	0
Montgomery	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nassau	0	0	11	0	6	2
Niagara	0	2	6	0	3	0
Oneida	2	0	1	0	0	0
Onondaga	0	1	16	1	9	1
Ontario	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orange	0	0	1	1	0	0
Orleans	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oswego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otsego	0	0	1	0	1	0
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	0	0	1	0	0	1
Rockland	0	0	0	0	0	0
St.Lawrence	0	0	2	0	0	0
Saratoga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	0	1	4	0	2	0
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	0
Seneca	0	0	0	0	0	0
Steuben	0	0	3	0	0	0
Suffolk	0	0	19	0	13	3
Sullivan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tioga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tompkins	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ulster	0	0	1	0	0	0
Warren	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	0	0	0	1	0
Wayne	0	0	2	0	0	0
Westchester	2	0	7	1	2	0
Wyoming	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yates	0	0	2	0	0	1

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE
 Family Assistance Program
 Administrative Hearings - Hearings Requested
 July 2004 - June 2005

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/ Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/ Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	1,289	2,806	42,886	2,472	1,893	1,611
New York City	1,163	1,776	39,542	2,388	1,722	1,544
Rest of State	126	1,030	3,344	84	171	67
Albany	6	43	76	4	5	3
Allegany	0	0	8	0	0	0
Broome	4	25	76	0	3	1
Cattaraugus	0	4	10	0	0	0
Cayuga	0	1	9	0	0	1
Chautauqua	1	9	17	0	1	1
Chemung	1	8	53	0	0	0
Chenango	0	0	10	0	2	0
Clinton	1	1	28	2	1	1
Columbia	1	5	4	0	1	0
Cortland	0	2	13	0	1	1
Delaware	0	0	4	0	0	0
Dutchess	5	4	26	4	1	1
Erie	9	135	383	3	20	7
Essex	0	1	2	0	1	0
Franklin	0	1	26	0	2	0
Fulton	0	0	14	0	0	0
Genesee	0	3	10	0	0	1
Greene	0	0	6	0	1	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	2	3	0	2	0
Jefferson	0	8	20	0	1	0
Lewis	0	0	2	0	0	0
Livingston	0	6	20	0	1	2
Madison	0	1	3	0	1	1
Monroe	28	477	761	42	15	14
Montgomery	0	0	6	0	0	0
Nassau	5	18	115	5	15	6
Niagara	5	36	141	0	2	2
Oneida	5	7	43	0	0	1
Onondaga	4	14	436	7	18	5
Ontario	1	5	11	1	2	0
Orange	1	5	42	2	9	0
Orleans	1	4	7	1	0	0
Oswego	1	8	30	0	0	0
Otsego	0	0	1	0	0	0
Putnam	0	0	1	0	0	0
Rensselaer	3	3	24	0	0	0
Rockland	0	2	9	0	0	0
St.Lawrence	0	0	39	0	2	0
Saratoga	1	4	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	4	6	53	0	3	0
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	0	2	0	0	0
Seneca	0	0	5	0	0	0
Steuben	0	2	17	0	2	0
Suffolk	18	61	517	2	46	14
Sullivan	2	0	14	0	1	0
Tioga	1	0	3	0	0	0
Tompkins	0	5	10	0	0	0
Ulster	0	14	13	1	0	0
Warren	0	2	8	0	0	1
Washington	0	0	2	0	1	0
Wayne	0	0	10	0	1	1
Westchester	18	98	191	10	10	2
Wyoming	0	0	7	0	0	0
Yates	0	0	3	0	0	1

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE
 Family Assistance Program
 Administrative Hearings - Hearings Held
 July 2004 - June 2005

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/ Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/ Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	546	1,336	18,369	1,163	1,063	785
New York City	491	884	16,913	1,136	992	756
Rest of State	55	452	1,456	27	71	29
Albany	4	20	36	2	3	2
Allegany	0	0	0	0	0	0
Broome	2	13	25	0	1	0
Cattaraugus	0	3	0	0	0	0
Cayuga	0	1	1	0	0	0
Chautauqua	0	3	5	0	0	0
Chemung	1	3	27	0	0	0
Chenango	0	0	6	0	0	0
Clinton	0	2	11	0	0	1
Columbia	0	3	0	0	0	0
Cortland	0	1	8	0	1	1
Delaware	0	0	1	0	0	0
Dutchess	1	3	19	4	1	2
Erie	2	58	172	0	7	3
Essex	0	0	0	0	0	0
Franklin	0	0	6	0	1	0
Fulton	0	0	6	0	0	0
Genesee	0	1	2	0	0	0
Greene	0	0	8	0	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	1	2	0	1	0
Jefferson	0	5	9	0	0	0
Lewis	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	0	0	9	0	1	2
Madison	0	1	2	0	0	0
Monroe	12	220	383	12	7	3
Montgomery	0	0	4	0	0	0
Nassau	2	7	51	1	7	2
Niagara	0	17	40	0	2	1
Oneida	3	4	21	0	0	1
Onondaga	3	4	258	4	12	4
Ontario	0	1	4	0	0	0
Orange	0	1	5	0	1	0
Orleans	0	0	5	0	0	0
Oswego	0	1	11	0	0	0
Otsego	0	0	1	0	0	0
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	1	0	8	0	0	0
Rockland	0	1	3	0	0	0
St.Lawrence	0	1	21	0	0	0
Saratoga	0	1	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	4	3	30	0	2	0
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	0
Seneca	0	1	0	0	0	0
Steuben	0	1	4	0	0	0
Suffolk	9	25	173	0	14	6
Sullivan	1	0	6	0	1	0
Tioga	1	0	1	0	0	0
Tompkins	0	0	2	0	0	0
Ulster	0	6	4	0	0	0
Warren	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	0	0	0	1	0
Wayne	0	0	5	0	1	1
Westchester	9	40	57	4	7	0
Wyoming	0	0	2	0	0	0
Yates	0	0	2	0	0	0

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE
 Family Assistance Program
 Administrative Hearings - Issues Affirmed
 July 2004 - June 2005

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/ Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/ Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	44	337	2,170	61	236	78
New York City	27	133	1,491	43	214	63
Rest of State	17	204	679	18	22	15
Albany	2	9	19	0	0	1
Allegany	0	0	0	0	0	0
Broome	1	9	14	0	1	0
Cattaraugus	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cayuga	0	1	1	0	0	0
Chautauqua	0	3	1	0	0	0
Chemung	0	0	15	0	0	0
Chenango	0	0	5	0	0	0
Clinton	0	3	10	0	0	1
Columbia	0	2	0	0	0	0
Cortland	0	1	6	0	0	0
Delaware	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dutchess	1	1	10	4	0	2
Erie	1	28	55	0	1	0
Essex	0	0	0	0	0	0
Franklin	0	0	7	0	1	0
Fulton	0	0	4	0	0	0
Genesee	0	1	2	0	0	0
Greene	0	0	6	0	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	0	2	0	1	0
Jefferson	0	5	3	0	0	0
Lewis	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	0	0	5	0	0	1
Madison	0	1	2	0	0	0
Monroe	2	76	106	7	0	0
Montgomery	0	0	1	0	0	0
Nassau	0	3	18	0	3	1
Niagara	0	11	23	0	0	1
Oneida	1	3	18	0	0	1
Onondaga	1	1	164	3	7	3
Ontario	0	0	3	0	0	0
Orange	0	0	5	0	1	0
Orleans	0	0	2	0	0	0
Oswego	0	1	5	0	0	0
Otsego	0	0	1	0	0	0
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	1	0	7	0	0	0
Rockland	0	0	0	0	0	0
St.Lawrence	0	0	14	0	0	0
Saratoga	0	1	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	0	2	16	0	0	0
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	0
Seneca	0	1	0	0	0	0
Steuben	0	1	2	0	0	0
Suffolk	4	13	100	0	5	3
Sullivan	0	0	2	0	0	0
Tioga	1	0	1	0	0	0
Tompkins	0	0	2	0	0	0
Ulster	0	4	3	0	0	0
Warren	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	0	0	0	1	0
Wayne	0	0	3	0	1	1
Westchester	2	23	12	4	0	0
Wyoming	0	0	2	0	0	0
Yates	0	0	2	0	0	0

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE
 Family Assistance Program
 Administrative Hearings - Issues Reversed
 July 2004 - June 2005

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/ Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/ Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	198	349	4,076	689	406	190
New York City	195	308	3,952	686	400	190
Rest of State	3	41	124	3	6	0
Albany	0	1	5	1	0	0
Allegany	0	0	0	0	0	0
Broome	0	1	5	0	0	0
Cattaraugus	0	1	0	0	0	0
Cayuga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chautauqua	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chemung	0	0	7	0	0	0
Chenango	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clinton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Columbia	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cortland	0	0	2	0	0	0
Delaware	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dutchess	0	0	2	0	1	0
Erie	1	8	12	0	1	0
Essex	0	0	0	0	0	0
Franklin	0	0	1	0	0	0
Fulton	0	0	2	0	0	0
Genesee	0	0	0	0	0	0
Greene	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	0	0	1	0	0	0
Lewis	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	0	0	0	0	0	0
Madison	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	0	20	35	1	0	0
Montgomery	0	0	1	0	0	0
Nassau	0	1	1	0	1	0
Niagara	0	4	3	0	1	0
Oneida	0	0	0	0	0	0
Onondaga	0	0	14	0	0	0
Ontario	0	0	1	0	0	0
Orange	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orleans	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oswego	0	0	3	0	0	0
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	0	0	1	0	0	0
Rockland	0	1	1	0	0	0
St.Lawrence	0	0	2	0	0	0
Saratoga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	0	0	3	0	0	0
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	0
Seneca	0	0	0	0	0	0
Steuben	0	0	0	0	0	0
Suffolk	1	3	16	0	1	0
Sullivan	0	0	0	0	1	0
Tioga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tompkins	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ulster	0	1	2	0	0	0
Warren	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wayne	0	0	0	1	0	0
Westchester	1	0	4	0	0	0
Wyoming	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE
 Family Assistance Program
 Administrative Hearings - Issues Withdrawn
 July 2004 - June 2005

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/ Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/ Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	231	511	8,588	138	55	29
New York City	213	349	8,143	134	35	24
Rest of State	18	162	445	4	20	5
Albany	1	8	4	0	1	0
Allegany	0	0	0	0	0	0
Broome	1	3	2	0	0	0
Cattaraugus	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cayuga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chautauqua	0	0	1	0	0	0
Chemung	1	0	5	0	0	0
Chenango	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clinton	0	0	1	0	0	0
Columbia	0	1	0	0	0	0
Cortland	0	0	0	0	0	0
Delaware	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dutchess	0	0	0	0	0	0
Erie	0	19	88	0	2	2
Essex	0	0	0	0	0	0
Franklin	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fulton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Genesee	0	0	0	0	0	0
Greene	0	0	2	0	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	0	1	3	0	0	0
Lewis	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	0	0	0	0	0	0
Madison	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	5	102	198	3	4	3
Montgomery	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nassau	2	3	23	1	3	0
Niagara	0	0	8	0	0	0
Oneida	0	1	2	0	0	0
Onondaga	1	3	49	0	1	0
Ontario	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orange	0	0	2	0	0	0
Orleans	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oswego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rockland	0	0	2	0	0	0
St.Lawrence	0	1	4	0	0	0
Saratoga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	0	0	2	0	0	0
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	0
Seneca	0	0	0	0	0	0
Steuben	0	0	0	0	0	0
Suffolk	4	4	17	0	5	0
Sullivan	0	0	4	0	0	0
Tioga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tompkins	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ulster	0	1	0	0	0	0
Warren	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wayne	0	0	0	0	0	0
Westchester	3	15	28	0	4	0
Wyoming	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE
 Family Assistance Program
 Administrative Hearings - Agency Correct When Made
 July 2004 - June 2005

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/ Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/ Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	18	53	1,042	3	69	5
New York City	13	39	968	3	64	5
Rest of State	5	14	74	0	5	0
Albany	0	1	0	0	0	0
Allegany	0	0	0	0	0	0
Broome	0	0	2	0	0	0
Cattaraugus	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cayuga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chautauqua	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chemung	0	3	3	0	0	0
Chenango	0	0	1	0	0	0
Clinton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Columbia	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cortland	0	0	0	0	0	0
Delaware	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dutchess	0	1	1	0	0	0
Erie	0	1	6	0	0	0
Essex	0	0	0	0	0	0
Franklin	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fulton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Genesee	0	0	0	0	0	0
Greene	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lewis	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	0	0	0	0	0	0
Madison	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	4	3	9	0	3	0
Montgomery	0	0	1	0	0	0
Nassau	0	0	2	0	0	0
Niagara	0	1	2	0	0	0
Oneida	0	0	0	0	0	0
Onondaga	0	0	8	0	0	0
Ontario	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orange	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orleans	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oswego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rockland	0	0	0	0	0	0
St.Lawrence	0	0	0	0	0	0
Saratoga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	0	0	2	0	1	0
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	0
Seneca	0	0	0	0	0	0
Steuben	0	0	1	0	0	0
Suffolk	0	2	28	0	0	0
Sullivan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tioga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tompkins	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ulster	0	0	0	0	0	0
Warren	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wayne	0	0	0	0	0	0
Westchester	1	2	8	0	1	0
Wyoming	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE
 Family Assistance Program
 Administrative Hearings - Other Disposition
 July 2004 - June 2005

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/ Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/ Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	45	39	2,090	250	263	489
New York City	40	27	2,019	243	249	483
Rest of State	5	12	71	7	14	6
Albany	0	1	4	2	1	0
Allegany	0	0	0	0	0	0
Broome	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cattaraugus	0	2	0	0	0	0
Cayuga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chautauqua	0	0	1	0	0	0
Chemung	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chenango	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clinton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Columbia	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cortland	0	0	0	0	1	1
Delaware	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dutchess	0	0	6	0	0	0
Erie	0	3	5	0	1	1
Essex	0	0	0	0	0	0
Franklin	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fulton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Genesee	0	0	0	0	0	0
Greene	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lewis	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	0	0	1	0	1	1
Madison	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	1	6	14	3	0	0
Montgomery	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nassau	0	0	4	0	0	1
Niagara	0	0	3	0	1	0
Oneida	2	0	1	0	0	0
Onondaga	0	0	8	1	3	0
Ontario	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orange	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orleans	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oswego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rockland	0	0	0	0	0	0
St.Lawrence	0	0	1	0	0	0
Saratoga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	0	0	2	0	1	0
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	0
Seneca	0	0	0	0	0	0
Steuben	0	0	1	0	0	0
Suffolk	0	0	13	0	4	2
Sullivan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tioga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tompkins	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ulster	0	0	1	0	0	0
Warren	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wayne	0	0	2	0	0	0
Westchester	2	0	4	1	1	0
Wyoming	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE
 Safety Net Assistance Program
 Administrative Hearings - Hearings Requested
 July 2004 - June 2005

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/ Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/ Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	125	6,375	23,897	287	2,846	629
New York City	106	5,809	20,244	259	2,425	580
Rest of State	19	566	3,653	28	421	49
Albany	1	61	75	3	11	1
Allegany	0	2	12	0	2	0
Broome	1	8	94	0	1	0
Cattaraugus	0	4	9	0	1	0
Cayuga	0	1	3	0	2	0
Chautauqua	0	2	22	3	9	0
Chemung	0	2	44	0	4	0
Chenango	0	3	2	0	0	0
Clinton	0	6	48	0	3	0
Columbia	0	4	6	1	1	1
Cortland	0	0	4	0	1	0
Delaware	0	3	8	0	0	0
Dutchess	1	4	50	0	5	0
Erie	3	51	288	0	39	5
Essex	0	1	4	0	0	0
Franklin	0	2	47	0	1	1
Fulton	0	5	33	0	3	0
Genesee	0	0	14	0	5	1
Greene	0	0	16	0	1	1
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	0	7	0	1	0
Jefferson	0	2	37	1	6	0
Lewis	0	0	2	0	0	0
Livingston	0	2	25	0	3	0
Madison	0	1	2	0	0	0
Monroe	1	188	822	6	39	7
Montgomery	0	1	7	0	0	0
Nassau	0	23	185	0	59	12
Niagara	0	28	130	0	14	0
Oneida	0	8	58	0	2	0
Onondaga	0	16	435	3	25	6
Ontario	0	3	18	1	0	0
Orange	0	5	71	1	18	0
Orleans	0	1	16	0	0	0
Oswego	0	6	36	0	6	0
Otsego	0	0	6	0	0	0
Putnam	0	0	7	0	2	0
Rensselaer	0	7	32	0	1	0
Rockland	1	2	18	0	0	0
St.Lawrence	0	1	58	0	5	1
Saratoga	0	2	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	0	8	70	0	5	1
Schoharie	0	2	2	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	1	7	0	1	0
Seneca	0	2	0	0	0	0
Steuben	2	3	30	0	4	0
Suffolk	2	42	468	4	106	10
Sullivan	0	2	19	0	2	0
Tioga	0	1	8	0	0	0
Tompkins	0	2	4	0	3	0
Ulster	3	3	16	0	1	0
Warren	0	0	3	0	2	0
Washington	0	2	4	0	1	0
Wayne	0	2	15	0	1	0
Westchester	4	38	249	5	20	1
Wyoming	0	2	5	0	4	0
Yates	0	1	2	0	1	1

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE
 Safety Net Assistance Program
 Administrative Hearings - Hearings Held
 July 2004 - June 2005

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/ Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/ Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	38	2,927	11,704	146	1,695	279
New York City	30	2,654	9,892	138	1,515	253
Rest of State	8	273	1,812	8	180	26
Albany	0	29	51	0	6	0
Allegany	0	2	4	0	0	0
Broome	2	3	50	0	1	0
Cattaraugus	0	3	2	0	0	0
Cayuga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chautauqua	0	1	9	1	3	0
Chemung	0	1	20	0	1	1
Chenango	0	1	0	0	0	0
Clinton	0	3	12	0	1	0
Columbia	0	3	4	1	0	0
Cortland	0	0	2	0	0	0
Delaware	0	1	3	0	0	0
Dutchess	1	6	24	0	3	0
Erie	1	25	148	0	17	2
Essex	0	0	0	0	0	0
Franklin	0	1	27	0	0	1
Fulton	0	4	8	0	0	0
Genesee	0	0	4	0	2	1
Greene	0	0	3	0	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	0	4	0	1	0
Jefferson	0	0	15	1	1	0
Lewis	0	0	2	0	0	0
Livingston	0	3	18	0	1	0
Madison	0	0	2	0	0	0
Monroe	1	86	431	1	17	4
Montgomery	0	1	6	0	0	0
Nassau	0	20	120	0	18	4
Niagara	0	13	59	0	5	0
Oneida	1	1	29	0	1	0
Onondaga	0	11	240	1	16	4
Ontario	0	2	11	0	0	0
Orange	0	1	21	1	3	0
Orleans	0	1	6	0	0	0
Oswego	0	0	8	0	2	0
Otsego	0	0	1	0	1	0
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	0	7	17	0	0	1
Rockland	0	1	5	0	0	0
St.Lawrence	0	0	40	0	3	1
Saratoga	0	1	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	0	6	31	0	8	1
Schoharie	0	1	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	0
Seneca	0	1	1	0	0	0
Steuben	1	1	10	0	3	0
Suffolk	1	17	226	0	49	5
Sullivan	0	0	10	0	1	0
Tioga	0	1	2	0	0	0
Tompkins	0	0	3	0	2	0
Ulster	0	0	1	0	0	0
Warren	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	1	1	0	1	0
Wayne	0	0	9	0	1	0
Westchester	0	13	106	2	8	0
Wyoming	0	0	2	0	3	0
Yates	0	1	4	0	1	1

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE
 Safety Net Assistance Program
 Administrative Hearings - Decisions Affirmed
 July 2004 - June 2005

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/ Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/ Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	2	610	1,775	10	445	52
New York City	0	488	892	7	391	42
Rest of State	2	122	883	3	54	10
Albany	1	14	12	0	2	0
Allegany	0	2	3	0	0	0
Broome	0	2	38	0	1	0
Cattaraugus	0	3	2	0	0	0
Cayuga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chautauqua	0	0	4	0	1	0
Chemung	0	1	16	0	0	1
Chenango	0	1	0	0	0	0
Clinton	0	2	7	0	0	0
Columbia	0	2	4	1	0	0
Cortland	0	0	2	0	0	0
Delaware	0	1	1	0	0	0
Dutchess	0	5	10	0	0	0
Erie	0	9	44	0	3	1
Essex	0	0	2	0	0	0
Franklin	0	1	20	0	0	1
Fulton	0	1	5	0	0	0
Genesee	0	0	2	0	1	0
Greene	0	0	3	0	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	0	6	0	1	0
Jefferson	0	2	6	0	0	0
Lewis	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	0	1	14	0	0	0
Madison	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	0	28	135	0	2	0
Montgomery	0	1	3	0	0	0
Nassau	0	2	29	0	5	3
Niagara	0	7	28	0	0	0
Oneida	1	1	18	0	1	0
Onondaga	0	4	148	1	2	2
Ontario	0	1	10	0	0	0
Orange	0	1	14	0	2	0
Orleans	0	1	4	0	0	0
Oswego	0	0	8	0	1	0
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	0	5	10	0	0	0
Rockland	0	0	0	0	0	0
St.Lawrence	0	0	29	0	2	1
Saratoga	0	1	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	0	5	20	0	4	0
Schoharie	0	1	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	0
Seneca	0	1	1	0	0	0
Steuben	0	1	5	0	1	0
Suffolk	0	6	143	0	19	1
Sullivan	0	0	10	0	0	0
Tioga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tompkins	0	0	1	0	2	0
Ulster	0	0	1	0	0	0
Warren	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	1	0	0	0	0
Wayne	0	0	9	0	1	0
Westchester	0	7	54	1	3	0
Wyoming	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yates	0	1	2	0	0	0

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE
 Safety Net Assistance Program
 Administrative Hearings - Decisions Reversed
 July 2004 - June 2005

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/ Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/ Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	15	929	2,551	77	659	91
New York City	12	911	2,401	76	647	90
Rest of State	3	18	150	1	12	1
Albany	0	1	1	0	1	0
Allegany	0	0	1	0	0	0
Broome	2	0	3	0	0	0
Cattaraugus	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cayuga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chautauqua	0	1	0	0	0	0
Chemung	0	0	1	0	0	0
Chenango	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clinton	0	0	2	0	0	0
Columbia	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cortland	0	0	0	0	0	0
Delaware	0	0	2	0	0	0
Dutchess	0	0	0	0	0	0
Erie	0	0	8	0	0	0
Essex	0	0	0	0	0	0
Franklin	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fulton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Genesee	0	0	0	0	0	1
Greene	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	0	0	0	1	0
Jefferson	0	0	2	0	0	0
Lewis	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	0	0	2	0	0	0
Madison	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	1	7	42	0	2	0
Montgomery	0	0	1	0	0	0
Nassau	0	5	25	0	0	0
Niagara	0	1	13	0	2	0
Oneida	0	0	5	0	0	0
Onondaga	0	0	11	0	0	0
Ontario	0	1	0	0	0	0
Orange	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orleans	0	0	2	0	0	0
Oswego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	0	1	3	0	0	0
Rockland	0	0	0	0	0	0
St.Lawrence	0	0	2	0	0	0
Saratoga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	0	0	0	0	1	0
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	0
Seneca	0	0	0	0	0	0
Steuben	0	0	0	0	0	0
Suffolk	0	0	10	0	3	0
Sullivan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tioga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tompkins	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ulster	0	0	0	0	0	0
Warren	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wayne	0	0	0	0	0	0
Westchester	0	1	14	1	2	0
Wyoming	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE
 Safety Net Assistance Program
 Administrative Hearings - Requests Withdrawn
 July 2004 - June 2005

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/ Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/ Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	11	1,148	5,221	11	114	22
New York City	10	1,049	4,686	9	53	12
Rest of State	1	99	535	2	61	10
Albany	0	7	23	0	1	0
Allegany	0	0	0	0	0	0
Broome	0	0	5	0	0	0
Cattaraugus	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cayuga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chautauqua	0	0	2	0	0	0
Chemung	0	0	2	0	1	0
Chenango	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clinton	0	0	2	0	0	0
Columbia	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cortland	0	0	0	0	0	0
Delaware	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dutchess	0	1	5	0	1	0
Erie	0	12	74	0	10	1
Essex	0	0	0	0	0	0
Franklin	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fulton	0	1	0	0	0	0
Genesee	0	0	0	0	0	0
Greene	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	0	0	7	1	1	0
Lewis	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	0	0	0	0	0	0
Madison	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	0	48	214	1	11	4
Montgomery	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nassau	0	9	50	0	6	0
Niagara	0	3	17	0	1	0
Oneida	0	0	4	0	0	0
Onondaga	0	5	39	0	5	1
Ontario	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orange	0	0	6	0	0	0
Orleans	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oswego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	0	1	0	0	0	0
Rockland	0	1	5	0	0	0
St.Lawrence	0	0	6	0	1	0
Saratoga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	0	0	8	0	2	1
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	0
Seneca	0	0	0	0	0	0
Steuben	0	0	0	0	0	0
Suffolk	1	6	39	0	17	3
Sullivan	0	0	0	0	1	0
Tioga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tompkins	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ulster	0	0	0	0	0	0
Warren	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wayne	0	0	0	0	0	0
Westchester	0	5	27	0	2	0
Wyoming	0	0	0	0	1	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE
 Safety Net Assistance Program
 Administrative Hearings - Agency Correct When Made
 July 2004 - June 2005

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	0	134	622	0	109	2
New York City	0	120	513	0	98	2
Rest of State	0	14	109	0	11	0
Albany	0	1	0	0	0	0
Allegany	0	0	0	0	0	0
Broome	0	1	4	0	0	0
Cattaraugus	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cayuga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chautauqua	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chemung	0	0	1	0	0	0
Chenango	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clinton	0	1	1	0	1	0
Columbia	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cortland	0	0	0	0	0	0
Delaware	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dutchess	0	0	9	0	1	0
Erie	0	0	6	0	0	0
Essex	0	0	0	0	0	0
Franklin	0	0	4	0	0	0
Fulton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Genesee	0	0	0	0	0	0
Greene	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lewis	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	0	1	0	0	0	0
Madison	0	0	2	0	0	0
Monroe	0	1	9	0	0	0
Montgomery	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nassau	0	3	9	0	1	0
Niagara	0	0	3	0	0	0
Oneida	0	0	2	0	0	0
Onondaga	0	0	18	0	0	0
Ontario	0	0	1	0	0	0
Orange	0	0	2	0	1	0
Orleans	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oswego	0	0	0	0	1	0
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	0	0	3	0	0	0
Rockland	0	0	0	0	0	0
St.Lawrence	0	0	0	0	0	0
Saratoga	0	1	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	0
Seneca	0	0	2	0	0	0
Steuben	0	0	1	0	2	0
Suffolk	0	4	19	0	1	0
Sullivan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tioga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tompkins	0	0	1	0	0	0
Ulster	0	0	0	0	0	0
Warren	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wayne	0	0	0	0	0	0
Westchester	0	1	10	0	0	0
Wyoming	0	0	2	0	2	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	1	0

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE
 Safety Net Assistance Program
 Administrative Hearings - Other Dispositions
 July 2004 - June 2005

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/ Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/ Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	7	79	1,344	43	350	111
New York City	5	65	1,276	41	318	106
Rest of State	2	14	68	2	32	5
Albany	0	5	7	0	1	0
Allegany	0	0	0	0	0	0
Broome	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cattaraugus	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cayuga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chautauqua	0	0	3	1	1	0
Chemung	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chenango	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clinton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Columbia	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cortland	0	0	0	0	0	0
Delaware	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dutchess	0	0	0	0	0	0
Erie	1	0	5	0	3	0
Essex	0	0	0	0	0	0
Franklin	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fulton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Genesee	0	0	0	0	0	0
Greene	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lewis	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	0	1	0	0	0	0
Madison	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	1	4	16	0	0	0
Montgomery	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nassau	0	0	7	0	6	1
Niagara	0	2	3	0	2	0
Oneida	0	0	0	0	0	0
Onondaga	0	1	8	0	6	1
Ontario	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orange	0	0	1	1	0	0
Orleans	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oswego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otsego	0	0	1	0	1	0
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	0	0	1	0	0	1
Rockland	0	0	0	0	0	0
St.Lawrence	0	0	1	0	0	0
Saratoga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	0	1	2	0	1	0
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	0
Seneca	0	0	0	0	0	0
Steuben	0	0	2	0	0	0
Suffolk	0	0	6	0	9	1
Sullivan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tioga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tompkins	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ulster	0	0	0	0	0	0
Warren	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	0	0	0	1	0
Wayne	0	0	0	0	0	0
Westchester	0	0	3	0	1	0
Wyoming	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yates	0	0	2	0	0	1

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE
 Administrative Disqualification Hearings
 Eligibility Hearings
 July 2004 - June 2005

Local District	Hearings Requested	Hearings Held	Issues Affirmed	Issues Withdrawn	Issues Reversed	Agency Correct When Made	Other Disposition
New York State	1,125	937	760	9	83	4	4
New York City	514	355	227	9	51	0	0
Rest of State	611	582	533	0	32	4	4
Albany	2	2	2	0	0	0	0
Allegany	8	19	16	0	2	0	0
Broome	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cattaraugus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cayuga	36	12	11	0	2	0	0
Chautauqua	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chemung	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chenango	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clinton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Columbia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cortland	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Delaware	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dutchess	33	34	25	0	3	0	0
Erie	127	91	85	0	2	0	0
Essex	4	4	4	0	0	0	0
Franklin	18	16	16	0	0	0	0
Fulton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Genesee	2	2	2	0	0	0	0
Greene	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	15	29	24	0	5	0	0
Lewis	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Madison	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	85	97	85	0	5	0	0
Montgomery	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nassau	37	44	37	0	4	0	0
Niagara	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oneida	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Onondaga	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ontario	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orange	0	6	12	0	2	0	0
Orleans	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oswego	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Putnam	12	3	3	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rockland	4	3	3	0	0	0	0
St.Lawrence	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Saratoga	11	8	7	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	10	11	11	0	0	0	0
Schoharie	2	2	2	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Seneca	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Steuben	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Suffolk	31	38	43	0	2	0	0
Sullivan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tioga	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tompkins	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ulster	11	7	7	0	0	0	0
Warren	0	2	2	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wayne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Westchester	158	151	136	0	5	4	4
Wyoming	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE
 Administrative Disqualification Hearings
 Administrative Hearings - Hearings Requested
 July 2004 - June 2005

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/ Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/ Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	1,125	0	0	0	0	0
New York City	514	0	0	0	0	0
Rest of State	611	0	0	0	0	0
Albany	2	0	0	0	0	0
Allegany	8	0	0	0	0	0
Broome	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cattaraugus	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cayuga	36	0	0	0	0	0
Chautauqua	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chemung	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chenango	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clinton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Columbia	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cortland	0	0	0	0	0	0
Delaware	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dutchess	33	0	0	0	0	0
Erie	127	0	0	0	0	0
Essex	4	0	0	0	0	0
Franklin	18	0	0	0	0	0
Fulton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Genesee	2	0	0	0	0	0
Greene	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	15	0	0	0	0	0
Lewis	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	0	0	0	0	0	0
Madison	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	85	0	0	0	0	0
Montgomery	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nassau	37	0	0	0	0	0
Niagara	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oneida	0	0	0	0	0	0
Onondaga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ontario	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orange	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orleans	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oswego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Putnam	12	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rockland	4	0	0	0	0	0
St.Lawrence	1	0	0	0	0	0
Saratoga	11	0	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	10	0	0	0	0	0
Schoharie	2	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	0
Seneca	0	0	0	0	0	0
Steuben	4	0	0	0	0	0
Suffolk	31	0	0	0	0	0
Sullivan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tioga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tompkins	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ulster	11	0	0	0	0	0
Warren	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wayne	0	0	0	0	0	0
Westchester	158	0	0	0	0	0
Wyoming	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE
 Administrative Disqualification Hearings
 Administrative Hearings - Hearings Held
 July 2004 - June 2005

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/ Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/ Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	937	0	0	0	0	0
New York City	355	0	0	0	0	0
Rest of State	582	0	0	0	0	0
Albany	2	0	0	0	0	0
Allegany	19	0	0	0	0	0
Broome	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cattaraugus	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cayuga	12	0	0	0	0	0
Chautauqua	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chemung	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chenango	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clinton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Columbia	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cortland	0	0	0	0	0	0
Delaware	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dutchess	34	0	0	0	0	0
Erie	91	0	0	0	0	0
Essex	4	0	0	0	0	0
Franklin	16	0	0	0	0	0
Fulton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Genesee	2	0	0	0	0	0
Greene	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	29	0	0	0	0	0
Lewis	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	0	0	0	0	0	0
Madison	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	97	0	0	0	0	0
Montgomery	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nassau	44	0	0	0	0	0
Niagara	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oneida	0	0	0	0	0	0
Onondaga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ontario	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orange	6	0	0	0	0	0
Orleans	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oswego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Putnam	3	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rockland	3	0	0	0	0	0
St.Lawrence	1	0	0	0	0	0
Saratoga	8	0	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	11	0	0	0	0	0
Schoharie	2	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	0
Seneca	0	0	0	0	0	0
Steuben	0	0	0	0	0	0
Suffolk	38	0	0	0	0	0
Sullivan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tioga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tompkins	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ulster	7	0	0	0	0	0
Warren	2	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wayne	0	0	0	0	0	0
Westchester	151	0	0	0	0	0
Wyoming	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE
 Administrative Disqualification Hearings
 Administrative Hearings - Issues Affirmed
 July 2004 - June 2005

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/ Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/ Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	760	0	0	0	0	0
New York City	227	0	0	0	0	0
Rest of State	533	0	0	0	0	0
Albany	2	0	0	0	0	0
Allegany	16	0	0	0	0	0
Broome	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cattaraugus	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cayuga	11	0	0	0	0	0
Chautauqua	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chemung	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chenango	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clinton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Columbia	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cortland	0	0	0	0	0	0
Delaware	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dutchess	25	0	0	0	0	0
Erie	85	0	0	0	0	0
Essex	4	0	0	0	0	0
Franklin	16	0	0	0	0	0
Fulton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Genesee	2	0	0	0	0	0
Greene	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	24	0	0	0	0	0
Lewis	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	0	0	0	0	0	0
Madison	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	85	0	0	0	0	0
Montgomery	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nassau	37	0	0	0	0	0
Niagara	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oneida	0	0	0	0	0	0
Onondaga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ontario	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orange	12	0	0	0	0	0
Orleans	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oswego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Putnam	3	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rockland	3	0	0	0	0	0
St.Lawrence	0	0	0	0	0	0
Saratoga	7	0	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	11	0	0	0	0	0
Schoharie	2	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	0
Seneca	0	0	0	0	0	0
Steuben	0	0	0	0	0	0
Suffolk	43	0	0	0	0	0
Sullivan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tioga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tompkins	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ulster	7	0	0	0	0	0
Warren	2	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wayne	0	0	0	0	0	0
Westchester	136	0	0	0	0	0
Wyoming	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE
 Administrative Disqualification Hearings
 Administrative Hearings - Issues Reversed
 July 2004 - June 2005

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/ Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/ Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	83	0	0	0	0	0
New York City	51	0	0	0	0	0
Rest of State	32	0	0	0	0	0
Albany	0	0	0	0	0	0
Allegany	2	0	0	0	0	0
Broome	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cattaraugus	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cayuga	2	0	0	0	0	0
Chautauqua	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chemung	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chenango	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clinton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Columbia	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cortland	0	0	0	0	0	0
Delaware	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dutchess	3	0	0	0	0	0
Erie	2	0	0	0	0	0
Essex	0	0	0	0	0	0
Franklin	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fulton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Genesee	0	0	0	0	0	0
Greene	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	5	0	0	0	0	0
Lewis	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	0	0	0	0	0	0
Madison	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	5	0	0	0	0	0
Montgomery	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nassau	4	0	0	0	0	0
Niagara	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oneida	0	0	0	0	0	0
Onondaga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ontario	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orange	2	0	0	0	0	0
Orleans	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oswego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rockland	0	0	0	0	0	0
St.Lawrence	0	0	0	0	0	0
Saratoga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	0
Seneca	0	0	0	0	0	0
Steuben	0	0	0	0	0	0
Suffolk	2	0	0	0	0	0
Sullivan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tioga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tompkins	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ulster	0	0	0	0	0	0
Warren	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wayne	0	0	0	0	0	0
Westchester	5	0	0	0	0	0
Wyoming	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE
 Administrative Disqualification Hearings
 Administrative Hearings - Issues Withdrawn
 July 2004 - June 2005

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/ Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/ Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	9	0	0	0	0	0
New York City	9	0	0	0	0	0
Rest of State	0	0	0	0	0	0
Albany	0	0	0	0	0	0
Allegany	0	0	0	0	0	0
Broome	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cattaraugus	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cayuga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chautauqua	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chemung	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chenango	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clinton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Columbia	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cortland	0	0	0	0	0	0
Delaware	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dutchess	0	0	0	0	0	0
Erie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Essex	0	0	0	0	0	0
Franklin	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fulton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Genesee	0	0	0	0	0	0
Greene	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lewis	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	0	0	0	0	0	0
Madison	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montgomery	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nassau	0	0	0	0	0	0
Niagara	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oneida	0	0	0	0	0	0
Onondaga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ontario	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orange	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orleans	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oswego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rockland	0	0	0	0	0	0
St.Lawrence	0	0	0	0	0	0
Saratoga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	0
Seneca	0	0	0	0	0	0
Steuben	0	0	0	0	0	0
Suffolk	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sullivan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tioga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tompkins	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ulster	0	0	0	0	0	0
Warren	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wayne	0	0	0	0	0	0
Westchester	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wyoming	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE
 Administrative Disqualification Hearings
 Administrative Hearings - Agency Correct when Made
 July 2004 - June 2005

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	4	0	0	0	0	0
New York City	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rest of State	4	0	0	0	0	0
Albany	0	0	0	0	0	0
Allegany	0	0	0	0	0	0
Broome	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cattaraugus	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cayuga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chautauqua	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chemung	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chenango	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clinton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Columbia	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cortland	0	0	0	0	0	0
Delaware	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dutchess	0	0	0	0	0	0
Erie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Essex	0	0	0	0	0	0
Franklin	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fulton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Genesee	0	0	0	0	0	0
Greene	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lewis	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	0	0	0	0	0	0
Madison	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montgomery	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nassau	0	0	0	0	0	0
Niagara	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oneida	0	0	0	0	0	0
Onondaga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ontario	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orange	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orleans	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oswego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rockland	0	0	0	0	0	0
St.Lawrence	0	0	0	0	0	0
Saratoga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	0
Seneca	0	0	0	0	0	0
Steuben	0	0	0	0	0	0
Suffolk	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sullivan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tioga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tompkins	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ulster	0	0	0	0	0	0
Warren	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wayne	0	0	0	0	0	0
Westchester	4	0	0	0	0	0
Wyoming	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE
 Administrative Disqualification Hearings
 Administrative Hearings - Other
 July 2004 - June 2005

Local District	Work Activities Related					
	Sanction Related			Work Supportive Services	Work Exemptions	
	Eligibility	Drug/Alcohol	Work General		Disability Screening/Work Exemptions	Other Work Exemptions
New York State	4	0	0	0	0	0
New York City	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rest of State	4	0	0	0	0	0
Albany	0	0	0	0	0	0
Allegany	0	0	0	0	0	0
Broome	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cattaraugus	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cayuga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chautauqua	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chemung	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chenango	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clinton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Columbia	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cortland	0	0	0	0	0	0
Delaware	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dutchess	0	0	0	0	0	0
Erie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Essex	0	0	0	0	0	0
Franklin	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fulton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Genesee	0	0	0	0	0	0
Greene	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lewis	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	0	0	0	0	0	0
Madison	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montgomery	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nassau	0	0	0	0	0	0
Niagara	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oneida	0	0	0	0	0	0
Onondaga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ontario	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orange	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orleans	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oswego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rockland	0	0	0	0	0	0
St.Lawrence	0	0	0	0	0	0
Saratoga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	0
Seneca	0	0	0	0	0	0
Steuben	0	0	0	0	0	0
Suffolk	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sullivan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tioga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tompkins	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ulster	0	0	0	0	0	0
Warren	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wayne	0	0	0	0	0	0
Westchester	4	0	0	0	0	0
Wyoming	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE

Percent of Former Recipients from "Family" (TANF and SNA Time Limit) Cases
Receiving Medicaid in the 4th Month after Exit

Local District	April to June 2005	October to December 2004
New York State	62%	59%
New York City	52%	49%
Rest of State	73%	70%
Albany	76%	72%
Allegany	73%	71%
Broome	70%	70%
Cattaraugus	73%	69%
Cayuga	78%	81%
Chautauqua	74%	78%
Chemung	70%	61%
Chenango	93%	74%
Clinton	79%	79%
Columbia	67%	72%
Cortland	79%	66%
Delaware	73%	64%
Dutchess	68%	62%
Erie	68%	64%
Essex	71%	64%
Franklin	76%	75%
Fulton	85%	73%
Genesee	92%	91%
Greene	76%	66%
Hamilton	33%	0%
Herkimer	87%	89%
Jefferson	75%	72%
Lewis	94%	84%
Livingston	81%	64%
Madison	69%	76%
Monroe	71%	67%
Montgomery	84%	77%
Nassau	67%	65%
Niagara	75%	78%
Oneida	79%	68%
Onondaga	79%	79%
Ontario	71%	75%
Orange	67%	58%
Orleans	77%	71%
Oswego	77%	80%
Otsego	83%	92%
Putnam	60%	54%
Rensselaer	81%	80%
Rockland	76%	70%
St.Lawrence	71%	81%
Saratoga	88%	71%
Schenectady	71%	68%
Schoharie	90%	55%
Schuyler	74%	74%
Seneca	94%	76%
Steuben	71%	74%
Suffolk	62%	59%
Sullivan	54%	60%
Tioga	90%	76%
Tompkins	76%	72%
Ulster	74%	68%
Warren	73%	58%
Washington	67%	69%
Wayne	80%	73%
Westchester	77%	75%
Wyoming	81%	76%
Yates	83%	82%

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE

G. Data related to Case Closings.

Data available to meet the remaining requirements of this section were reported in Sections a, b, and f.

NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

H. Data related to Substance Abuse Treatment Programs.

The Department of Health reports that there is no data available for the programs covered in this section for the July 2003 to June 2004 period.

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

Accomplishments of Family Planning and CBAPP Programs funded by
TANF Funds for the period July 2004 to June 2005

TANF funds have been utilized to significantly expand the Department of Health's Comprehensive Family Planning and Reproductive Health Program and the Community Based Adolescent Pregnancy Prevention Program (CBAPP). The Family Planning Program provides comprehensive family planning and reproductive health services including medical services, health education and outreach to low income, uninsured women. The CBAPP Program provides peer and parent education, youth development and outreach to high-risk adolescents.

Funding was provided to 57 family planning agencies that provide services in over 214 service sites. These providers used TANF funds to continue expanded outreach and education activities in community settings, including schools, to educate children and adults regarding reproductive health and to provide programs to prevent adolescent pregnancy. TANF funding has provided an opportunity to focus the expansion of the program on state and federal priorities including:

- Provision of community education, public information and counseling to prevent adolescent pregnancy and increase access to clinic services for teens who are already sexually active;
- Increase outreach to women not likely to seek services, including traditionally under-served minorities and homeless and substance abusing women; and
- Improved access in under-served areas to women and adolescents at risk for unintended pregnancy

Health educators work in schools, in conjunction with community-based organizations, and other youth-serving organizations to provide education on issues such as contraception, abstinence, HIV/AIDS and sexually transmitted diseases. They participate in health fairs, organize community youth forums and parent-teen retreats, and outreach to high-risk populations. Each family planning agency has at least one full-time health educator on staff. Many of the larger agencies employ several educators. These educators provided more than 10,600 education and training sessions for adolescents and adults, and reached an audience of 138,578 individuals in the past year.

Family Planning Providers:Audience	Sessions	Participants	% of Total Sessions	% of Total Participants
Youth (1-12)	481	7,515	5%	5%
Teens	5,154	64,267	49%	46%
Parents/Adults	3,219	48,367	30%	35%
Professionals	1055	11,212	10%	8%
Families	716	7,217	7%	5%
Total	10,625	138,578	100%	100%

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

Accomplishments of Family Planning and CBAPP Programs funded by
TANF Funds for the period July 2004 to June 2005

These figures represent an additional 1,722 program sessions conducted compared to the previous year. While agencies reported increased requests for educational programs, and teen and youth participants increased substantially, it appears that overall fewer participants attended those sessions

Despite a slight (4%) decrease in total clients, Family Planning Program providers continued their successful expanded outreach and education programs, expending all available TANF funds. The attached chart shows on a county-specific basis the 178,183 individuals served in the reporting period. The income level of the vast majority of these clients would indicate that they are eligible for TANF, and the remainder is needy. The Department is projecting that 19,838 pregnancies and 12,815 births have been averted among clients accessing family planning services in 2004. This represents a nearly 2 percent increase in pregnancies averted and a nearly 3% increase in births averted over the 2003 projections. As a marker of program success, NYS was awarded bonus TANF dollars in the amount of \$25 million for a significant reduction in out-of-wedlock pregnancies. In combination with the funds saved from prevention of unintended pregnancies, which cost many thousands of dollars each to the state and federal governments for Medicaid prenatal and delivery costs, the TANF initiatives in family planning have more than repaid themselves in savings.

CBAPP programs work with peers, schools, parents, community health and human service organizations, local governments, businesses and the media to reduce adolescent pregnancy in targeted high-risk communities. Twenty-three CBAPP contractors provide services in 54 high-risk areas in the state. Major program strategies include:

- Providing community information and education to sensitize the public about the local need to address the prevention of unintended pregnancy among adolescents;

- Promote abstinence and delay the onset of sexual activity among all adolescents;

- Expand educational, recreational and vocational opportunities for teens to provide alternatives to sexual activity and develop skills that can lead to higher earning power and reduce the need for public assistance; and,

- Provide access to comprehensive reproductive health care services to sexually active teens to prevent pregnancies, sexually transmitted diseases and HIV infection.

During this time period, CBAPP providers recruited 2,137 peer educators and provided 1,266 education sessions. These peer educators led 1,247 school/community education sessions for a total of 16,066 participants. CBAPP providers also provide individual and group counseling to promote individual responsibility. A total of 12,928 teens received individual counseling while 13,349 received counseling through 6,078 group counseling sessions. CBAPP emphasizes training sessions with the specific purpose being to develop alternatives to sexual activity. 2,855 sessions that included 18,104 participants focused on educational priorities. Vocational information was stressed to 7,954 participants at 2,499 sessions, and finally, 32,597 teens participated in 2,571 recreational sessions.

An emphasis is also placed on providing information and education to parents and other adults to address the prevention of unintended pregnancy. During this period, 4,607 parents participated in 609 educational sessions, 4,142 health and human service workers participated in 401 educational sessions, and 866 teachers attended 172 educational sessions. Such strategies implemented through CBAPP aim to build community and individual awareness and support for teens in the prevention of unintended pregnancy.

There are a number of family planning agencies that also receive CBAPP funding. As a result of the co-location of programs, CBAPP clients often benefit from family planning health education and medical services.

NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

New York State Family Planning Program
 Number of Clients Served *
 July 2004 - June 2005

Local District	Total
New York State	178,183
New York City	49,247
Rest of State	128,936
Albany	3,694
Allegany	726
Broome	2,135
Cattaraugus	911
Cayuga	1,352
Chautauqua	1,516
Chemung	1,026
Chenango	726
Clinton	1,674
Columbia	714
Cortland	1,449
Delaware	723
Dutchess	4,471
Erie	6,533
Essex	660
Franklin	657
Fulton	809
Genesee	649
Greene	662
Hamilton	280
Herkimer	843
Jefferson	2,975
Lewis	402
Livingston	754
Madison	724
Monroe	6,081
Montgomery	889
Nassau	10,050
Niagara	1,475
Oneida	3,024
Onondaga	5,000
Ontario	935
Orange	7,180
Orleans	292
Oswego	2,203
Otsego	1,201
Putnam	576
Rensselaer	1,435
Rockland	2,643
St. Lawrence	1,463
Saratoga	2,151
Schenectady	1,734
Schoharie	532
Schuyler	191
Seneca	405
Steuben	1,348
Suffolk	16,666
Sullivan	1,204
Tioga	782
Tompkins	2,845
Ulster	3,662
Warren	887
Washington	91
Wayne	598
Westchester	11,790
Wyoming	1,417
Yates	1,122

*Clients served equals the number of participants in outreach and education activities and the increase in the number of FP clients since the availability of TANF funds.

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

TANF

	Annual Averages (10/03 - 9/04)	Approximate Standard Error	Approximate 95% Confidence Limits	
			Lower	Upper
J. Data related to disabled and work-limited recipients				
4. No. of current recipients of public assistance found to be disabled ^{\11}	15,200	± 800	13,600	16,800

(Also see **General Comments** in the work activities table Section 149.2.c.)

^{\11} Average monthly number of disabled individuals who are exempt from participating in work activities. Under Section 332-b of the Social Services Law disabled individuals who are determined to be unable to engage in work activities are exempt in New York from participating in work activities. Despite this exemption, the TANF family is included in the calculation of the participation rate.

* **Note:** The **standard error**, or standard deviation, of a sample estimate indicates how close the sample estimate comes to the corresponding unknown measurement for the entire population. The standard error of a sample estimate gives us the precision, that is, the range in which the true population value most likely falls. The true population value is expected to lie between these limits. The confidence levels give us the likelihood or probability that it will fall within that range, that is, the assurance that the true value would fall in this range. A 95% confidence level tells us that if we pick 100 similar samples from the population, the sample estimate would fall within approximately plus or minus 2 standard errors of the true value in 95 of those samples. (These are referred to as the upper and lower confidence limits.)

For example, the annual average of the number of TANF recipients found to be exempt due to a disability is 17,400 with an approximate standard error of 900. The approximate lower confidence limit is $17,400 - (2)(900) = 15,600$, and the corresponding upper confidence limit is $17,400 + (2)(900) = 19,200$. While it is not likely that the true population value is exactly 17,400 (the value based on the sample), we are 95% confident that the true population value is between 15,600 and 19,200.

The standard errors of the number of participants possessing a given characteristic, e.g. engaged in a certain type of work activity, were obtained by calculating the standard error of the proportion of those individuals in the sample. The proportions were then applied to total population of the TANF cases. Therefore, the result is a measure of the number of participants with a given characteristic in the entire population.

The results obtained were based on monthly stratified samples and the stratification process varied, thus the results are approximate. Stratification is a statistical process in which the total population is divided into mutually exclusive subpopulations, prior to sampling. This process was required by the federal government in order to better gauge the characteristics of the subpopulations.

SSP-MOE

	Annual Averages (10/03 - 9/04)	Approximate Standard Error	Approximate 95% Confidence Limits	
			Lower	Upper
J. Data related to disabled and work-limited recipients				
4. No. of current recipients of public assistance found to be disabled \11	6,000	± 300	5,400	6,600

(Also see **General Comments** in the work activities table Section 149.2.c.)

\11 Average monthly number of disabled individuals who are exempt from participating in work activities. Under Section 332-b of the Social Services Law disabled individuals who are determined to be unable to engage in work activities are exempt in New York from participating in work activities. Despite this exemption, the SSP-MOE family is included in the calculation of the participation rate.

*** Note:** The **standard error**, or standard deviation, of a sample estimate indicates how close the sample estimate comes to the corresponding unknown measurement for the entire population. The standard error of a sample estimate gives us the precision, that is, the range in which the true population value most likely falls. The true population value is expected to lie between these limits. The confidence levels give us the likelihood or probability that it will fall within that range, that is, the assurance that the true value would fall in this range. A 95% confidence level tells us that if we pick 100 similar samples from the population, the sample estimate would fall within approximately plus or minus 2 standard errors of the true value in 95 of those samples. (These are referred to as the upper and lower confidence limits.)

For example, the annual average of the number of SSP-MOE recipients found to be exempt due to a disability is 6,000 with an approximate standard error of 400. The approximate lower confidence limit is $6,000 - (2)(400) = 5,200$, and the corresponding upper confidence limit is $6,000 + (2)(400) = 6,800$. While it is not likely that the true population value is exactly 6,000 (the value based on the sample), we are 95% confident that the true population value is between 5,200 and 6,800.

The standard errors of the number of participants possessing a given characteristic, e.g. engaged in a certain type of work activity, were obtained by calculating the standard error of the proportion of those individuals in the sample. The proportions were then applied to total population of the SSP-MOE cases. Therefore, the result is a measure of the number of participants with a given characteristic in the entire population.

The results obtained were based on monthly stratified samples and the stratification process varied, thus the results are approximate. Stratification is a statistical process in which the total population is divided into mutually exclusive subpopulations, prior to sampling. This process was required by the federal government in order to better gauge the characteristics of the subpopulations.

NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE

k. Data related to the Food Assistance Program

The Food Assistance Program is no longer an active program.

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE
 Domestic Violence
 Summary
 July 2004 - June 2005

Local District	Number of Persons Indicating Current Danger	Persons for Whom assessments Were Credible	Total Persons with Waivers	Persons with New Waivers Granted During the Year
New York State	8,752	5,033	6,035	4,481
New York City	5,438	2,958	3,952	2,947
Rest of State	3,314	2,075	2,083	1,534
Albany	289	178	115	87
Allegany	39	31	16	13
Broome	128	98	138	82
Cattaraugus	7	6	6	5
Cayuga	58	35	43	34
Chautauqua	48	41	31	23
Chemung	45	23	41	17
Chenango	14	13	15	10
Clinton	11	6	9	6
Columbia	32	20	29	23
Cortland	44	29	36	24
Delaware	21	21	13	8
Dutchess	143	85	80	80
Erie	379	278	352	274
Essex	12	7	4	4
Franklin				
Fulton	30	4	2	2
Genesee				
Greene	1	1	2	
Hamilton				
Herkimer	2	2	3	2
Jefferson				
Lewis	14	14	6	6
Livingston	25	4	1	1
Madison	1	1		
Monroe	351	209	109	85
Montgomery	42	16	2	1
Nassau	92	68	52	45
Niagara	71	38	3	2
Oneida	166	105	35	23
Onondaga	158	92	123	87
Ontario	58	26	27	19
Orange	130	71	72	50
Orleans	22	8	8	7
Oswego	64	30	26	15
Otsego	12	5	6	5
Putnam	1			
Rensselaer	5	4	8	3
Rockland	31	26	29	21
St.Lawrence				
Saratoga	25	3		
Schenectady	155	90	117	96
Schoharie	8	6	9	4
Schuyler	8	8	3	3
Seneca				
Steuben	57	19	33	17
Suffolk	304	199	255	195
Sullivan				
Tioga	21	16	23	15
Tompkins			8	
Ulster	10		3	1
Warren	9	3	2	2
Washington	14	8	8	7
Wayne	31	16	14	13
Westchester	119	110	163	115
Wyoming	7	2	3	2
Yates				

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE
 Domestic Violence
 Total Number of Active Waivers
 July 2004 - June 2005

Local District	Total	IV-D	Employment	Drug/ Alcohol	Time Limits	Alien Deeming	Residency	Learnfare	Minor Parent	Other
New York State	10,286	5,410	4,488	50	3	2	10	3	7	313
New York City	6,697	3,939	2,747	8			2			1
Rest of State	3,589	1,471	1,741	42	3	2	8	3	7	314
Albany	169	75	50						3	41
Allegany	32	8	13	6	1		1			3
Broome	196	157	38	1						
Cattaraugus	10	2	5	1	1					1
Cayuga	52	6	46							
Chautauqua	40	16	17	3	1				1	2
Chemung	64	31	24							9
Chenango	20	7	8	2						3
Clinton	11	2	8	1						
Columbia	54	21	30	2						1
Cortland	53	31	14	1			1		1	5
Delaware	15	4	11							
Dutchess	127	64	52	1						10
Erie	782	188	500	3			1	1		89
Essex	5	2	2							1
Franklin										
Fulton	2	2								
Genesee										
Greene	2		2							
Hamilton										
Herkimer	4	1	3							
Jefferson										
Lewis	9	4	5							
Livingston	1		1							
Madison										
Monroe	139	60	59	3		1		1		15
Montgomery	2	1	1							
Nassau	62	19	36	1						6
Niagara	3		2				1			
Oneida	37	27	10							
Onondaga	198	73	121							4
Ontario	46	8	29							9
Orange	110	51	42	1						16
Orleans	10	1	5				1	1		2
Oswego	34	5	25	3			1			
Otsego	7	3	1							3
Putnam										
Rensselaer	10		2				2			6
Rockland	44	36	8							
St.Lawrence										
Saratoga										
Schenectady	228	82	101	1					1	43
Schoharie	12	2	7	3						
Schuyler	5		4	1						
Seneca										
Steuben	42	31	10							1
Suffolk	545	239	282	5						19
Sullivan										
Tioga	37	19	18							
Tompkins	12	8	4							
Ulster	5	2	2	1						
Warren	2		2							
Washington	14	6	7	1						
Wayne	28	10	17	1						
Westchester	305	166	114			1			1	23
Wyoming	4	1	3							
Yates										

2005 STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF NYS TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE
 Domestic Violence
 Average Duration of Active Waivers
 July 2004 - June 2005

Local District	Total	IV-D	Employment	Drug/ Alcohol	Time Limits	Alien Deeming	Residency	Learnfare	Minor Parent	Other
New York State	4	5	4	4	9	5	4	26	4	4
New York City	5	5	4	4			4			4
Rest of State	4	4	4	4	9	5	5	26	4	4
Albany	5	5	5						3	4
Allegany	5	5	5	5	6		6			5
Broome	5	5	4	4						
Cattaraugus	4	3	3	4	18					3
Cayuga	3	3	3							
Chautauqua	4	3	5	4	4				4	4
Chemung	5	5	6							6
Chenango	5	4	5	4						5
Clinton	4	4	4	1						
Columbia	5	5	5	6						2
Cortland	5	5	6	5			5		6	5
Delaware	5	6	5							
Dutchess	4	4	4	5						3
Erie	3	3	3	4			4	69		3
Essex	3	3	3							3
Franklin										
Fulton	3	3								
Genesee										
Greene	4		4							
Hamilton										
Herkimer	3	1	3							
Jefferson										
Lewis	4	3	4							
Livingston	4		4							
Madison										
Monroe	4	4	3	4		4		4		4
Montgomery	6	7	6							
Nassau	3	3	3	3						1
Niagara	3		2				4			
Oneida	4	4	4							
Onondaga	4	4	5							2
Ontario	4	3	4							3
Orange	5	5	5	6						5
Orleans	4	2	4				4	4		4
Oswego	3	3	4	2			4			
Otsego	4	3	4							4
Putnam										
Rensselaer	3		1				4			3
Rockland	5	5	5							
St.Lawrence										
Saratoga										
Schenectady	4	4	4	3						3
Schoharie	4	5	4	3						
Schuyler	6		6	6						
Seneca										
Steuben	5	5	5							6
Suffolk	4	4	4	5						4
Sullivan										
Tioga	4	4	4							
Tompkins	5	5	5							
Ulster	4	3	3	6						
Warren	4		4							
Washington	4	5	4	4						
Wayne	4	3	4	3						
Westchester	5	5	4			6			6	7
Wyoming	6	6	5							
Yates										

Welfare Reform Act of 1997

(section 149 of Part B of Chapter 436 of the Laws of 1997)

S 149.

1. The department of social services or its successor agencies and the department of labor shall collect data related to the operation of public assistance programs including, but not limited to, information that must be submitted to the department of health and human services pursuant to the personal responsibility and work opportunities reconciliation act of 1996 (P.L. 104-193) and any other provision of federal or state law or regulations governing programs administered under title iv-a of the social security act or the social services law. Social services districts shall assist each agency in accumulating such data in accordance with instructions from the appropriate agency.
2. Each agency shall make reasonable efforts to collect and maintain the data required pursuant to this subdivision. Data collection shall begin as soon as practicable, but in no case later than upon the completion of the redesign of the welfare management system and the completion of welfare to work caseload management system. The responsible agency shall collect the data related to applicants and recipients of public assistance programs established pursuant to the personal responsibility and work opportunities reconciliation act of 1996 (P.L. 104-193) and the social services law including, but not limited to, the following:
 - a. Data related to applicants seeking public assistance including but not limited to:
 1. Number of applicants accepted to participate in each program;
 2. Number of applicants who are denied public assistance and the reason for denial;
 3. Number of applicants who were previous recipients of public assistance on or after December 2, 1997 including length of time previously on assistance; reason for initial termination; length of time between termination and reapplication; and reason for reapplication; and
 4. Number of applicants who have resided in the state for fewer than twelve months at the time of application.
 - b. Data related to recipients of public assistance including but not limited to:
 1. Number of terminated recipients of public assistance and reason for termination; and
 2. Number of recipients that move between public assistance programs.
 - c. Data related to work activities subsidized or otherwise sponsored by the department of social services, its successor agencies, the department of labor, or social services districts including but not limited to:
 1. Number of recipients participating in work activities;
 2. Number of recipients receiving an exemption from participating in work activities;
 3. The duration of and reasons for such exemptions;
 4. Number of participants receiving subsidized child care and the number of exemptions granted due to lack of available child care;
 5. The type of work activities;
 6. Hours of work per week per recipient;
 7. Duration of enrollment in such work activity;

Welfare Reform Act of 1997

(section 149 of Part B of Chapter 436 of the Laws of 1997)

8. Reason for termination from work activity;
9. Number of requests for administrative hearings related to work activity participation including the nature of such requests by general categories and the outcome of such hearings;
10. Number of participants receiving subsidized transportation services;
11. Participant retention in work activities by type of work activity;
12. Length of time before assignment to a work activity;
13. Number of recipients changing work activity assignments and reasons for such changes; and
14. Expenditures by social services districts in support of work activities.

d. Data related to unsubsidized work activities including but not limited to:

1. Number of recipients hired;
2. Initial rate of pay by either annual salary or wage basis;
3. Retention rates;
4. Means of obtaining unsubsidized employment including, but not limited to, referral by the social services district and direct contact with employer; and
5. Number of participants receiving subsidized child care and/or transportation services.

e. Data related to recipient training and education activities including but not limited to:

1. Number of recipients in training or educational activities by type of activity;
2. Number of recipients mandated to attend training or education activities that fulfill work participation requirements;
3. Length and duration of training or education activity including the number of hours per week and duration;
4. Number of recipients receiving subsidized child care and/or transportation services;
5. Number of recipients transferred to work activities;
6. To the extent available, the number of recipients who obtain work directly from training or education activities including starting salary and employee retention rates; and
7. Expenditures by social services districts in support of training and education activities.

f. Data related to recipient sanctions including but not limited to:

1. Number of sanctions, by type of sanction;
2. Number of sanctions with defined minimum period, by type of sanction;
3. Average length of sanction until recipient compliance, by type of sanction;
4. Amount of sanction, by type of sanction;
5. Period of time starting on or after December 2, 1996 that the participant was in the program prior to the sanction;
6. Number and outcome of administrative hearings related to sanctions, by type of sanction; and
7. Number of sanctions against entire assistance unit.

Welfare Reform Act of 1997

(section 149 of Part B of Chapter 436 of the Laws of 1997)

- g. Data related to case closings including but not limited to:
1. Number of case closings;
 2. Reason for case closing including, but not limited to, self-termination without reason;
 3. Length of time receiving assistance on or after December 2, 1996 before case closing;
 4. On an aggregate basis, the length of time recipients do not receive public assistance in New York state following case closing occurring on or after December 2, 1996; and
 5. Number of former public assistance recipients receiving subsidized child care and/or transitional medical assistance.
- h. Data related to substance abuse treatment programs including but not limited to:
1. Number of recipients receiving substance abuse treatment services for which the cost of such services is paid for by the social services district using public assistance monies;
 2. Length of reimbursed recipient participation in treatment program; and
 3. Types of substance abuse treatment programs receiving reimbursement from social services districts.
- i. Data related to pregnancy prevention programs funded through federal monies received by the state pursuant to title iv-a of the social security act including but not limited to:
1. Number of program participants;
 2. Duration of program participation; and
 3. Types of services provided.
- j. Data related to disabled and work limited recipients of public assistance pursuant to provisions of the welfare reform act of 1997 including, but not limited to:
1. Number of persons applying for public assistance that are screened;
 2. Number of current recipients of public assistance screened;
 3. Number of persons applying for public assistance that are found to be disabled;
 4. Number of current recipients of public assistance found to be disabled;
 5. Number of persons applying for public assistance found to be work limited;
 6. Number of current recipients of public assistance found to be work limited;
 7. Duration of finding by category;
 8. Number of changes in work activity exemption status;
 9. Number and outcome of administrative hearings related to the disability screening and the determination of work activity exemptions; and
 10. Data relating to work and training and education activities pursuant to subsections c, d and e of this section.
- h. Data related to the food assistance program including but not limited to:

Welfare Reform Act of 1997

(section 149 of Part B of Chapter 436 of the Laws of 1997)

1. Number of applicants under eighteen years of age by chronological age;
2. Number of applications denied by reason for denial;
3. Number of recipients under eighteen years of age by chronological age and the average amount of food assistance benefits per recipient issued by the social services district;
4. Number of recipients under eighteen years of age by case and by household and the average amount of food assistance benefits issued per case and per household by the social services district;
5. Number of recipients under eighteen years of age in a case and/or in a household where other individuals in the case and/or household are in receipt of federal food stamp benefits and the average amounts of federal and state food stamp benefits issued per case and/or per household by social services district;
6. Number of recipients under eighteen years of age in a case or household receiving other public assistance benefits and the average amounts of food assistance benefits issued per recipient and per public assistance case by the social services district;
7. Number of case closings for cases where the recipient is under eighteen years of age by reason for case closing including but not limited to case closing as a result of naturalization;
8. Number of applicants applying for food assistance benefits where the primary reason for potential eligibility is a disability as defined by provisions of this act establishing a food assistance program;
9. Number of applications denied by reason for denial;
10. Number of disabled recipients by chronological age and the average amount of food assistance benefits per recipient issued by the social services district;
10. Number of disabled recipients by case and by household and the average amount of food assistance benefits issued per case and per household by the social services district;
11. Number of disabled recipients in a case and/or in a household where other individuals in the case and/or household are in receipt of federal food stamp benefits and the average amounts of federal and state food stamp benefits issued per case and/or per household by social services district;
12. Number of disabled recipients in a case or household receiving other public assistance benefits and the average amount of food assistance benefits issued per recipient and per public assistance case by the social services district including, but not limited to, receipt of federal and/or state supplemental payment made pursuant to title xvi of the social security act;
13. Number of case closings for cases where the recipient is disabled by reason for termination including but not limited to case closing as a result of naturalization;
14. Number of applicants applying for food assistance benefits where the primary reason for potential eligibility is that the applicant is elderly as defined by provisions of this act establishing a food assistance program;
15. Number of applications denied by reason for denial;
16. Number of elderly recipients by chronological age and the amount of food assistance benefits per recipient issued by the social services district;
17. Number of elderly recipients by case and by household and the average amount of food assistance benefits issued per case and per household by the social services district;

Welfare Reform Act of 1997

(section 149 of Part B of Chapter 436 of the Laws of 1997)

18. number of elderly recipients in a case and/or in a household where other individuals in the case and/or household are in receipt of federal food stamp benefits and the average amount of federal and state food stamp benefits issued per case and/or per household by the social services district;
19. Number of elderly recipients in a case or household receiving other public assistance benefits and the average amount of food assistance benefits issued per recipient and per public assistance case by the social services district including, but not limited to, receipt of federal and/or state supplemental payment made pursuant to title xvi of the social security act; and
20. Number of case closings for cases where the recipient is elderly by reason for termination including but not limited to case closing as a result of naturalization; and
21. Number and outcome of administrative hearings related to the food assistance program by general category.

h. Data related to the domestic violence screening process including but not limited to:

1. Number of applicants and recipients screened;
2. Number of positive findings;
3. Number of waivers by category granted as a result of a positive finding; and
4. Duration of such waiver.

3. To the extent possible, the data collection activities required by this act shall be designed to collect data in the least expensive and least time consuming manner possible. Scientifically acceptable statistical sampling methods may be used to collect and maintain data on an aggregate basis when authorized by the department of health and human services or when the commissioner of social services or commissioners of its successor agencies determines that collection of such data by any other means is not practicable or would result in significant additional costs. To the extent that statistical sampling is used to collect and maintain data, and unless otherwise required by the department of health and human services, the sample size shall be no larger than the sample size required by the United States department of agriculture for food stamp quality control purposes pursuant to the food stamp act of 1977 and subsequent amendments.
4. The commissioner of social services or commissioners of its successor agencies, and the commissioner of labor shall provide reports summarizing data collected pursuant to this act to the temporary president of the senate, the chairperson of the senate finance committee, the speaker of the assembly, and the chairperson of the assembly ways and means committee. The first such report shall be provided to the temporary president of the senate, the chairperson of the senate finance committee, the speaker of the assembly, and the chairperson of the assembly ways and means committee no later than December 2, 1998 and annually thereafter. The commissioner of social services or the commissioners of its successor agencies, in conjunction with the commissioner of health and the commissioner of labor, shall provide the temporary president of the senate, the chairperson of the senate finance committee, the speaker of the assembly, and the chairperson of the assembly ways and means committee with an annual report summarizing data collected pursuant to this act.

Welfare Reform Act of 1997

(section 149 of Part B of Chapter 436 of the Laws of 1997)

5. Each agency shall provide the temporary president of the senate, the chairperson of the senate finance committee, the speaker of the assembly, and the chairperson of the assembly ways and means committee with all reports filed with the department of health and human services pursuant to the data collection and reporting requirements of the personal responsibility and work opportunities reconciliation act of 1996 (P.L. 104-193).

6. The commissioner of social services or the commissioners of its successor agencies, in conjunction with the commissioner of labor, and the commissioner of health shall develop a plan to provide the legislature with the ability to have online access to the welfare management system and the welfare to work caseload management system upon completion of the redesign of the welfare management system and implementation of the welfare to work caseload management system for purposes of obtaining aggregate program data. Such plan shall provide for security measures necessary to ensure the confidentiality of data residing on the welfare management system and the welfare to work caseload management system in conformance with all applicable federal and state laws and regulations. The plan shall be submitted to the temporary president of the senate and the speaker of the assembly no later than February 15, 1998.